Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10900 Filed 5–1–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–M**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,124]

Krones, Inc. Franklin, WI Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of February 1, 2002, the petitioners, requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on December 17, 2001, based on the finding that imports of labeling machines did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant. Company imports of labeling equipment were negligible. The Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's customers. The survey revealed that none of the respondents imported products like or directly competitive with what the subject plant produced. The denial notice was published in the Federal Register on January 11, 2002 (67 FR 1509).

The petitioners allege that the company lost orders to an affiliated company that imported labeling machines and that this was not evident during the investigation due to the long lead-time required to fill the orders.

New information provided by the company bear out the fact that the company increased their reliance on imported labeling machines from an affiliated foreign facility, thus contributing to the layoffs at the subject plant during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of

articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Krones, Inc., Franklin, Wisconsin, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Krones, Inc., Franklin, Wisconsin, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 17, 2000 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 10th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10901 Filed 5–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,745]

New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V., Including Temporary Workers of Kelly Services and Manpower, Belleville, Pennsylvania; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 6, 2002, applicable to workers of New Holland North American, Inc., CNH Global N.V., Belleville, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15226).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the State and the company shows that temporary workers of Kelly Services and Manpower were employed at New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V. to produce industrial machinery and component parts at the Belleville, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include temporary workers of Kelly Services and Manpower, Belleville, Pennsylvania employed at New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V., Belleville, Pennsylvania.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V. who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,745 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V., Belleville, Pennsylvania including temporary workers of Kelly Services and Manpower, Belleville, Pennsylvania engaged in employment related to the production of industrial machinery and component parts at New Holland North America, Inc., CNH Global N.V., Belleville, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 13, 2000, through March 6, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 16th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10893 Filed 5–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40, 243]

Paulson Wire Rope Corp., Sunbury, PA; Including Employees of Paulson Wire Rope Corp. Located in California, Georgia, Indiana and Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 14, 2002, applicable to workers of Paulson Wire Rope Corp., Sunbury, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 31, 2002 (67 FR 4750).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations occurred involving employees of the Sunbury, Pennsylvania facility of Paulson Wire Rope Corp. located in California, Georgia, Indiana, and Texas. These employees were engaged in employment related to the production of wire rope at the Sunbury, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the Sunbury, Pennsylvania location of Paulson Wire Rope Corp. located in California, Georgia, Indiana and Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Paulson Wire Rope Corp. adversely affected by increased imports of wire rope.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,243 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Paulson Wire Rope Corp., Sunbury, Pennsylvania, including employees of Paulson Wire Rope Corp., Sunbury, Pennsylvania, located in California, Georgia, Indiana, and Texas, who become totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 4, 2000, through January 14, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC., this 12th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-10896 Filed 5-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-A-40,521 and TA-A-40,521K]

Republic Technologies International, Corporate Office, Akron, OH and Republic Technologies International, Canton Special Metals Plant, Canton, OH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 19, 2002, applicable to workers of Republic Technologies, International, Headquartered in Akron, Ohio, including various facilities located in Ohio, Illinois, New York, Pennsylvania and Indiana. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2002 (67 FR 93225).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that worker separations occurred at the Corporate Office, Akron, Ohio location of the subject firm. The Corporate Office provides administrative support functions including finance, sales,

marketing customer service and Human Resource services to the subject firms' many production facilities. Findings also show that worker separations occurred at the Canton Special Metals Plant, Canton, Ohio location of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of hot rolled steel bars, cold finished steel bars and specialty steel. The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Republic Technologies International adversely affected by increased imports.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers of Republic Technologies International, Corporate Office, Akron, Ohio and the Canton Special Metals Plant, Canton, Ohio.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–40,521 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Republic Technologies International, Corporate Office, Akron, Ohio (TA–W–40,521) and the Canton Special Metals Plant, Canton, Ohio (TA–W–40,521K) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 19, 2000, through February 19, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of April, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10897 Filed 5–1–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,959]

Teccor Electronics, a Division of Invensys, Irving, Texas; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of January 23, 2002, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of Teccor Electronics, A Division of Invensys, Irving, Texas was issued on December 11, 2001, and was published in the **Federal Register** on December 26, 2001 (66 FR 66426).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the

- determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The investigation findings revealed that criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. The decision was based on imports not contributing importantly to the decline in employment at the subject plant. The investigation further revealed that the production of wafers at the subject firm was transferred to a foreign plant.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the final testing and categorizing (referred to as back-end production) of the thyristor semiconductor was moved to that foreign source. The petitioners further allege that the equipment to test and categorize the thyristor semiconductors was also shifted to a foreign source.

Since the workers are engaged solely in the final testing and categorizing of imported thyristor semiconductors, they are not considered engaged in the production of an article. Testing and categorizing of thyristor semiconductors are post-production activities and are thus outside of the scope of workers engaged in the production of thyristor semiconductors produced at an affiliated foreign source. Therefore, the shift in testing and categorizing functions to a foreign source does not satisfy criterion (3) requirements.

Additionally, upon reconsideration the subject workers do not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(3) of the Act.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of April 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–10898 Filed 5–1–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M