

PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 558 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b, 371.

2. Section 558.618 is amended by redesignating paragraphs (a) through (d) as paragraphs (b) through (e), respectively; by adding new paragraph (a); and by revising newly redesignated paragraphs (b), (c), and (e)(3) to read as follows:

§ 558.618 Tilmicosin.

(a) *Specifications.* Type A medicated article containing 20 percent tilmicosin as tilmicosin phosphate (90.7 grams per pound).

(b) *Approvals.* See No. 000986 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(c) *Special considerations.* (1) Federal law limits this drug to use under the professional supervision of a licensed veterinarian. See § 558.6 of this chapter for additional requirements for the use of products regulated as veterinary feed directives (VFDs).

(2) The expiration date of VFDs for tilmicosin must not exceed 90 days from the time of issuance. VFDs for tilmicosin shall not be refilled.

(3) Do not use in Type B or Type C medicated feeds containing bentonite.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(3) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as the sole ration for 21-day period, beginning approximately 7 days before an expected disease outbreak. Feed containing tilmicosin shall not be fed to pigs for more than 21 days during each phase of production without ceasing administration for reevaluation of antimicrobial use by a licensed veterinarian before reinitiating a further course of therapy with an appropriate antimicrobial. The safety of tilmicosin has not been established in pregnant swine or swine intended for breeding purposes. Do not allow horses or other equines access to feeds containing tilmicosin. Withdraw 7 days before slaughter.

Dated: April 9, 2002.

Andrew J. Beaulieu,

Acting Director, Office of New Animal Drug Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine.
[FR Doc. 02-10792 Filed 5-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[CGD01-02-050]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations: Newtown Creek, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary final rule governing the operation of the Pulaski Bridge, mile 0.6, across Newtown Creek between Brooklyn and Queens, New York. This temporary final rule allows the bridge to remain closed from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on May 5, 2002. This action is necessary for public safety, to facilitate the running of the Five Borough Bike Tour Race.

DATES: This temporary final rule is effective on Sunday, May 5, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket (CGD01-02-50) and are available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch Office, 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110, 6:30 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph Schmied, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, (212) 668-7165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Regulatory Information**

The Coast Guard has determined that good cause exists under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) to forego notice and comment for this rulemaking and for making this regulation effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Processing and publication of this temporary rule 30 days prior to the effective date was not possible due to the late notification provided to the Coast Guard. The Coast Guard believes notice and comment are not necessary because the requested closure is of short duration on a Sunday when there have been few requests to open this bridge. The Newtown Creek is used mostly by commercial vessels and those vessels normally pass under the draws without openings. The commercial vessels that do require openings are work barges that do not operate on Sundays. The Coast Guard, for the reasons just stated, has also determined that good cause exists

for this rule to be effective less than 30 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**.

Background

The Pulaski Bridge, mile 0.6, across the Newtown Creek between Brooklyn and Queens, has a vertical clearance of 39 feet at mean high water and 43 feet at mean low water in the closed position. The existing operating regulations listed at 117.801(g) require the draw to open on signal, if at least a two-hour advance notice is given.

New York City Department of Transportation requested a temporary change to the operating regulations to allow the Pulaski Bridge to remain in the closed position from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on May 5, 2002, for the running of the Five Borough Bike Tour. Vessels that can pass under the bridges without bridge openings may do so at all times.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). This conclusion is based on the fact that the requested closure is of short duration and on Sunday morning when there have been few requests to open the bridge.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. “Small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This conclusion is based on the fact that the requested closure is of short duration and on Sunday when there have been few requests to open the bridge.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121),

we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

Federalism

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132 and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those unfunded mandate costs. This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk

to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations have been found to not have a significant effect on the environment. A written "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is not required for the temporary final rule.

Indian Tribal Governments

This final rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. In section 117.801, from 9:30 a.m. through 11:30 a.m. on May 5, 2002,

paragraph (g) is suspended and a new paragraph (h) is added to read as follows:

§ 117.801 Newtown Creek, Dutch Kills, English Kills, and their tributaries.

* * * * *

(h) The draw of the Pulaski Bridge, mile 0.6, across the Newtown Creek between Brooklyn and Queens, need not open for vessel traffic, on May 5, 2002, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

Dated: April 22, 2002.

G.N. Naccara,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 02-10935 Filed 5-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17

RIN 2900-AK50

Copayments for Inpatient Hospital Care and Outpatient Medical Care

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document affirms amendments to VA's medical regulations to set forth a mechanism for determining copayments for inpatient hospital care and outpatient medical care. These amendments were made by an interim final rule and were necessary to implement provisions of the Veterans Millennium Health Care and Benefits Act and to set forth exemptions from copayment requirements as mandated by statute.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 2, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy L. Howard at (202) 273-8198, Revenue Office (174), Office of Finance, Veterans Health Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20420. (The telephone number is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An interim final rule amending VA's medical regulations to set forth a mechanism for determining copayments for inpatient hospital care and outpatient medical care provided to veterans by VA was published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2001 (66 FR 63446).

We provided a 60-day comment period that ended February 4, 2002. No comments have been received. Based on the rationale set forth in the interim final rule we now affirm as a final rule the changes made by the interim final rule.