viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9905 Filed 4–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02-1021-000]

Ontario Energy Trading International Corporation; Notice of Issuance of Order

April 17, 2002.

Ontario Energy Trading International Corporation (Ontario Energy) filed, under section 205 of the Federal Power Act in the above-docketed proceeding, seeking to sell capacity, energy, and ancillary services and to resell transmission capacity, at market based rates. Ontario Energy also requested certain waivers and authorizations. In particular, Ontario Energy requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by Ontario Energy

On April 11, 2002, the Commission issued an Order Conditionally Granting Market-Based rate Authority And Granting Waivers (Order) that granted Ontario Energy's request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (C), (D), and (F):

(C) Within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by Ontario Energy should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(D) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (C) above, Ontario Energy is hereby authorized to issue securities and assume obligations and liabilities as guarantor, indorser, surety or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the Ontario Energy, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

(F) The Commission reserves the right to modify this order to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of Ontario Energy's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities. * * *

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is May 13, 2002.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at

http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9898 Filed 4–22–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR02-6-000]

Sinclair Oil Corporation, 550 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, UT 84102, Complainant, v. Rocky Mountain Pipeline System LLC, 555 Seventeenth Street, Denver, CO 80202 and BP Pipelines (North America), Inc., 801 Warrenville Road, Suite 700, Lisle, IL 60532, Respondents; Notice of Complaint

April 17, 2002.

Take notice that on April 15, 2002, Sinclair Oil Corporation (Sinclair) tendered for filing a Complaint against Rocky Mountain Pipeline System LLC (Rocky Mountain) and BP Pipelines (North America), Inc. (BP Pipelines).

Sinclair states in its Complaint that it purchases crude oil shipped on the Western Corridor pipeline from International Boundary, Montana to Casper, Wyoming. Sinclair alleges that BP Pipelines has denied Sinclair access to the Western Corridor pipeline and has charged unjust and unreasonable and unduly discriminatory and unduly preferential rates for pipeline transportation services on the Western Corridor line and has therefore violated the Interstate Commerce Act. Sinclair further alleges that Rocky Mountain has violated and is continuing to violate the Interstate Commerce Act by charging unjust and unreasonable and unduly discriminatory and unduly preferential rates for pipeline transportation services on the Western Corridor line.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before May 6, 2002. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before May 6, 2002. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, interventions and answers may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9902 Filed 4–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 372]

Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Teleconference

April 17, 2002.

a. Date and time of Teleconference: Thursday, May 2, 2002, 1 p.m..

b. FERC Contact: Nan Allen at 202–219–2938, nan.allen@ferc.gov.

- c. Purpose of the Teleconference: A teleconference will be convened by Commission staff to discuss measures proposed by the Southern California Edison Company (SCE) to protect the federally-listed, threatened bald eagle and valley elderberry longhorn beetle. SCE has applied for a new license to operate the Lower Tule River Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 372, Tulare County, California.
- d. Proposed Agenda: (1) Introduction; (2) Recognition of Participants; (3) Teleconference Procedures; (4) SCE's proposed measures; and (5) Follow-up actions
- e. Only the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Commission are consulting parties for purposes of the teleconference. However, the license applicant and other interested parties to the relicensing proceeding will be permitted to provide relevant information, consistent with the limited purpose of the teleconference.

Any party wishing to participate in the teleconference should contact Nan Allen, 202–219–2938 or nan.allen@ferc.gov, by April 26, 2002.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9903 Filed 4–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-312-068]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Negotiated Rates

April 17, 2002.

Take notice that on April 2, 2002, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing a notice of a change in the rates for the October 18, 2001 Negotiated Rate Agreement between Tennessee and NJR Energy Services (Negotiated Rate Agreement) which was accepted by the Commission in Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 97 FERC ¶ 61,248 (2001) (November 30 Order). As agreed to in the November 30 Order, Tennessee is providing notice of substitution of a fixed price effective April 1, 2002.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance

with section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–9904 Filed 4–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC98-40-000, et al.]

American Electric Power Company., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 15, 2002.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission. Any comments should be submitted in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

1. American Electric Power Company

[Docket Nos. EC98–40–000, ER98–2770–000, and ER98–2786–000]

Take notice that on April 11, 2002, the Market Monitor filed Market Monitoring of American Electric Rower their seventh quarterly report to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Comment Date: May 2, 2002.

2. Las Vegas Cogeneration II, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG02-117-000]

Take notice that on April 11, 2002, Las Vegas Cogeneration II, L.L.C. (Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant is a Delaware limited liability company formed for the exclusive purpose of owning and selling the output at wholesale of a generating facility located in North Las Vegas, Nevada (the Facility). The Facility will consist of four gas-fired turbine generators and ancillary equipment having a generating capability of approximately 230 MW.

Applicant stated that it served its application on the following: Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, South Dakota Public Utility Commission, Wyoming Public Service Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and Nevada Power Company.

Comment Date: May 6, 2002.

3. Las Vegas Cogen Energy Financing Company, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG02-118-000]

Take notice that on April 11, 2002, Las Vegas Cogen Energy Financing Company, L.L.C. (Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant is a Delaware limited liability company formed for the exclusive purpose of acquiring, owning, and leasing to Las Vegas Cogeneration II, L.L.C. (LV Cogen II), four gas-fired turbine generators and associated generator step-up transformers having a generating capability of approximately 230 MW. LV Cogen II will incorporate the generating equipment into its generating facility located in North Las Vegas, Nevada (the Facility) and sell the output of the Facility exclusively at wholesale.

Applicant stated that it served its application on the following: Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, South Dakota Public Utility Commission, Wyoming Public Service Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and Nevada Power Company.

Comment Date: May 6, 2002.

4. Exelon Generation Company, LLC

[Docket No. ER01-948-000]

Take notice that on April 10, 2002, Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon Generation), requested the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to act on Exelon Generation's January 12, 2001, filing in the captioned docket, which Exelon Generation on February 20, 2001, requested be held in abeyance pending further action by Exelon Generation.

Comment Date: May 1, 2002.