	F# ti		F# C		F#	
Subject, city, state	Effective date	Subject, city, state	Effective date	Subject, city, state	Effective date	
TEHACHAPI, CA MOORE, LAURIE LEE	04/18/2002	SAN ANTONIO, TX RODRIGUEZ, ROLANDO X	08/16/2000	INOLA, OK LEIBLIE-BRITTAIN, TONYA		
OMAHA, NE NAJJAR, SAMIR SHIBLY	04/18/2002	SAN ANTONIO, TX		BETHINDEPENDENCE, MO	04/18/2002	
YAZOO CITY, MS NIKRAVESH, DAVID NEJAT	04/18/2002	OWNED/CONTROLLED BY CONVICTED ENTITIES		LOGUE, JENNI LYNFRED LOS ANGELES, CA	04/18/2002	
VAN NUYS, CA ORTIZ, TINA MARIE	04/18/2002	ALLIED MEDICAL CLINIC	04/18/2002	LOWMAN, JOHN R PHOENIX, AZ	04/18/2002	
DALLAS, TX PACROPIS, GARY WILLIAM	04/18/2002	HOMESTEAD, FL AUREX, INC	04/18/2002	MINK, PAUL ALANSING, MI	04/18/2002	
AMBLER, PA PATTERSON, CHRISTINA A	04/18/2002	JACKSONVILLE, FL BODY SCAN TESTING CEN-		MOTLEY, REBECCA K		
PALESTINE, IL PEARL, RICHARD E	04/18/2002	TERST GEORGE, UT	04/18/2002	RODRIGUEZ, FRANKIE 04/18/200		
BROOKLYN, NY PEREZ, EDWIN	04/18/2002	BOOKER CHIROPRACTIC BOOKER, TX	04/18/2002	KISSIMMEE, FL SHAW, MICHAEL W 04/18/2002		
JOHNSTON CITY, IL PIERCE, KENNETH ALAN JR	04/18/2002	COAST MEDICAL SUPPLY LOS ANGELES, CA	04/18/2002	CHICAGO, IL STARACE, WILLIAM J	04/18/2002	
CARSON CITY, NV PONCHO, PAUL L	04/18/2002	HIGHLAND DRUG CO JACKSONVILLE, FL	04/18/2002	STOCKTON, CA THOMAS, JAMES C	04/18/2002	
PHOENIX, AZ PRINCE, WAYNE LAGUORI	04/18/2002	HIGHLAND PARK MEDICAL SUPPLY	04/18/2002	WAUWATOSA, WI TYSON, RICKY D	04/18/2002	
OAKLAND PARK, FL PYLES, JOCELYN N	04/18/2002	LOS ANGELES, CA		OKLAHOMA CITY, OK VAN WINKLE, DAVID WAYNE	04/18/2002	
THE WOODLANDS, TX RAI, PARMJIT KAUR	04/18/2002	PACOIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY PACOIMA, CA	04/18/2002	MENA, AR WALDMAN, MARC M	04/18/2002	
VALLEYVIEW, OH SANGA, JODY T EASTFORD, CT	04/18/2002	SYNCHRONIZED MONTROSE CHIRO	04/18/2002	MERRICK, NY WASHINGTON, DIANE L		
SCHENTHAL, STEPHEN JO-	04/49/2002	HOUSTON, TX		S HOLLAND, IL	04/18/2002	
SEPH  DESTIN, FL	04/18/2002	DEFAULT ON HEAL LO	DAN	WINTERS, MARK I		
SCHLAU, GAIL MARGATE, FL SCOTTI, STEPHEN DOUGLAS	04/18/2002	BARNES, STANLEY GEORGE	04/18/2002	WISE, HARRY MICHAEL LITTLETON, CO	04/18/2002	
EDINA, MN SHARPE, RICHARD J	04/18/2002	BULLHEAD CITY, AZ BLAND, HENRY NELSON JR	04/18/2002	YANG, JINGMIAO WYNNE WOOD, PA	04/18/2002	
WALPOLE, MA SMALLEY, MARK RUSSELL	04/18/2002	MIAMI, FL BLOOMER, ANDERSAN M	03/19/2002	OWNERS OF EXCLUDED ENTITIES		
ODGEN, UT SNYDER, STEVEN P	04/18/2002	BALTIMORE, MD CAPEHART, TIMOTHY S	04/18/2002	PARKER, ROBERTA	04/18/2002	
LOUISVILLE, KY STEEN, PATRICIA A	04/18/2002	LA RUSSELL, MO COOK, ROBERT DENNIS	03/19/2002	MINNEAPOLIS, MN		
WYANTSKILL, NY STOREY, GEORGE	04/18/2002	TENNILLE, GA DIPIETRO, MICHAEL V	04/18/2002	Dated: April 3, 2002.		
WICHITA FALLS, TX TAYLOR, BARBARA J	04/18/2002	SEAFORD, NY DUPUIS, KENNETH J	04/18/2002	Calvin Anderson, Jr., Director, Health Care Administrative		
ARVADA, CO TESTERMAN, JEANNE	04/10/2002	ORONO, ME DURANT, BILL	04/18/2002	Sanctions, Office of Inspector General.		
TOWERYNEWTON, NC	04/18/2002	ENCINO, CA FERNANDEZ-LORIO, ANA-	0 1, 10,2002	[FR Doc. 02–9486 Filed 4–17–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–04–P		
TROESTER, DENNISBAY CITY, MI	04/18/2002	MARIACOLUMBIA, MO	04/18/2002			
VOIGT, DIONE WAYNETUCSON, AZ	04/18/2002	GHALBI, ABDOLLNASER ANAHEIM, CA	04/18/2002	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HUMAN SERVICES	AND	
WEERASINGHE, SHERRY LYNN	04/18/2002	GORBAHN, MARK KENNETH ATASCADERO, CA	04/18/2002	National Institutes of Health		
EDMOND, OK WEITZMAN, DAVID DOAH	04/18/2002	HOBSON, DONALD A	04/18/2002	Submission for OMB Review	ı·	
ROCHESTER, IN WELLS, ROBERT NELSON	04/18/2002	INGLEWOOD, CA HOPENSTAND, BOAZ JACOB	04/18/2002	Comment Request; The Nati	onal	
FRESNO, CA WEST, TRINA SUE	04/18/2002	BEVERLY HILLS, CA HOULE, CHERYL T	04/18/2002	Cancer Institute Cancer Information Service Comprehensive Evaluation		
ARDMORE, OK WILLIAMS, MICHAEL T	04/18/2002	WINDHAM, ME IBRAHIM, NAHLA	04/18/2002	Plan		
TAMPA, FL WINKLHOFER, BONNIE JO	04/18/2002	ALHAMBRA, CA JACKSON, ROBYN ANNE	04/18/2002	<b>SUMMARY:</b> Under the provision section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the P		
SAN BERNARDINO, CA WINNETT, JAMIE SUE	04/18/2002	CALUMET CITY, IL JANKORD, DEAN F	01/16/2002	Reduction Act of 1995, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted		
SULPHUR SPRINGS, TX		BURNSVILLE, MN JUSTICE, GLENN RAY	04/18/2002			
FRAUD/KICKBACKS		LAS VEGAS, NV LANDOU, LISSA S	04/18/2002	to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and		
GONZALEZ-SANCHEZ, EDULFO	08/09/2000	ELM WOOD PARK, NJ LASTER, STEVEN SCOTT	04/18/2002	approval of the information collection listed below. This proposed information		
	_			1 1		

collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 2001, pages 52925–52926 and allowed 60 days for public comment. No public comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### **Proposed Collection**

Title: The National Cancer Institute Cancer Information Service Comprehensive Evaluation Plan. Type of Information Collection Request: New. Need and Use of Information Collection: The NCI Office of Communications has dedicated resources to the Cancer Information Service Branch to conduct an independent, scientifically designed and implemented evaluation of the Cancer Information Service (CIS), an NCI program that serves as a national resource for information and education about cancer. The study will assess the extent to which the program has been implemented and the impact and outcomes of the program in affecting the public and CIS partners. Partners are national, state, and regional organizations that collaborate with CIS.

For this study, three separate data collection efforts will be conducted: (1) The National User Survey, a survey of a sample of CIS Information Service users; (2) the National Partner Survey, a survey of a sample of CIS Networking, Education Program, Program Development, and Research partners; and (3) the Case Study Audience Survey, a survey of audiences served by

selected partners. The National User Survey and the National Partner Survey will be conducted using telephone interviews. The Case Study Audience Survey will be conducted using a survey mode that is most appropriate for the targeted audiences (i.e., telephone, selfadministered, or in person). The findings will form the basis of annual reports on evaluation findings. These reports will provide assistance in improving the programs, products, and services of CIS. Frequency of Response: One time only with the exception of the Case Study Audience Survey, which includes a pre- and postsurvey. Affected *Public:* Organizations and not-for-profit institutions; state, local, or tribal government; individuals and households. *Type of Respondents:* Adults using CIS services and CIS partners. The annual reporting burden is as follows:

TABLE 1.—RESPONDENT AND BURDEN ESTIMATE

Type of respondent	Estimated annual number of respondents	Estimated number of responses of per respond- ent	Total annual responses	Average bur- den hours per response	Estimated annualized burden
	National User	Survey			
Screener respondents	983 833	1 1	983 833	0.08 0.42	79 350
	National Partner	Survey			
CIS partners	333	1	333	0.75	250
Ca	se Study Audier	nce Survey			
Case study audience	133	2	266	0.25	67
Total	2,282		2,415		746

The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at \$7,554.00. There are no capital costs to report. There are no operating or maintenance costs to report.

# **Request for Comments**

Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

### **Direct Comments To OMB**

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for NIH. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans, contact Madeline La Porta,

Project Office for Evaluation, Cancer Information Service Branch, National Cancer Institute, 6116 Executive Boulevard, MSC 8322, Bethesda, Maryland 20892–8322; by telephone at (301) 594–8025; by fax at (301) 402– 0555.

# **Comments Due Date**

Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Dated: April 11, 2002.

#### Reesa Nichols,

OMB Clearance Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02–9453 Filed 4–17–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M