eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increased imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

## **Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA–TAA–05332; Mercury Marine, Brunswick Corp., Fond du Lac, WI

- NAFTA–TAA–05501; Huhtamaki, Food Services Div., Formerly Known as
- Packaging Resources, Mt. Carmel, PA NAFTA–TAA–05481; Texfi Industries, Jefferson, GA
- NÁFTA–TAA–05405; W.G. Benjey, Inc., Alpena, MI
- NAFTA–TAA–05471; Syst-A-Matic Tool and Design, Inc., Meadville, PA
- NAFTA–TĂA–05514; Pennsylvania Tool and Gages, Inc., Meadville, PA
- NAFTA–TAA–05605; Hershey Foods Corp., Pennsburg, PA
- NAFTA–TAA–05291; Kraft Foods North America, Inc., Lehigh Valley, PA
- NAFTA-TAA-05060; ABC-NACO, Inc., Superior, WI
- NAFTA–TAA–05037; Precision Mold, Inc., Kent, WA
- NAFTA–TAA–05218; Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, GA
- NAFTA–TAA–05327; Parker Hannifin Corp., Brass Department, Otsego, MI
- NAFTA–TAA–04569; Blount, Inc., Prentice, WI
- NAFTA-TAA-05298; Craftsman
- Fabrics, Phoenix Mills, Concord, NC NAFTA–TAA–04914; Boss Industries,
- NAFTA–TAA–04914; Boss Industries, Inc., Erie, PA

NAFTA–TAA–05453; Fibermark, Inc., Rochester, MI

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

NAFTA–TAA–05512; Sunbrand, A Div. Of Wilcox and Gibbs, Inc., Norcross, GA

# Affirmative Determinations NAFTA– TAA

NAFTA–TAA–05136; Federal Mogul Corp., Powertrain Systems, St. Johns, MI: July 26, 2000.

- NAFTA-TAA-05473; Madill Corp., Kalama, WA: October 25, 2000.
- NAFTA-TAA-05419; Thermatex Corp., Newton Falls, OH: August 28, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05028; Parker Hannifin Corp., Engineered Seals Div., Goshen, IN: June 29, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05497; Cardinal Brands, Inc., Hazel Promotional Products, Washington, MO: October 23, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05538; Leased Workers of Employment Group at St. Clair Technologies, Charlotte, MI: November 2, 2000.
- NAFTA-TAA-05569; NACCO Materials Handling Group, Inc., Americas Div., Greenville, NC: November 15, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–04932; Kentucky Electric Steel, Ashland, KY: April 25, 2000.
- NAFTA–TAA–05425; Solectron Corp., Durham, NC: October 9, 2000.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of December, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write

to the above address.

Dated: January 2, 2002.

# Edward A. Tomchick,

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.* 

[FR Doc. 02–719 Filed 1–11–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

## [Docket No. TA-W-40,096]

## Crenlo, Inc. Rochester, Minnesota; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on September 24, 2001, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at CRENLO, Inc., Rochester, Minnesota.

Further examination of the Trade Adjustment Assistance petition form shows that the filing does not meet the Trade Act requirements for a valid petition. The petition is invalid because it contains the signature of only one worker, not the required three. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 3rd day of January, 2002.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–718 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,452]

# N & H Corporation, Mohnton, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 17, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at N & H Corporation, Mohnton, Pennsylvania.

A petition for this worker group is currently under investigation (TA–W– 40,394). Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of December, 2001.

# Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–715 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40, 202]

# Renaissance Woodworking, Inc. Brooklyn, New York; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 15, 2001, in response to a petition that was filed on behalf of workers at Renaissance Woodworking, Inc., Brooklyn, New York. The Department was unable to locate an official of the company to obtain the information necessary to conduct the investigation. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 2nd day of January, 2002.

#### Linda G. Poole,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.* [FR Doc. 02–717 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–M** 

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# Employment and Training Administration

# [TA-W-40,385]

# Steag Hamatech, Inc., Saco, Maine; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 3, 2001, in response to a worker petition, which was filed on behalf of workers at Steag Hamatech, Inc., Saco, Maine.

An active certification covering the petitioning group of workers remains in effect (TA–W–38,953). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 31st day of December, 2001.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–713 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# Employment and Training Administration

# Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Standardized Record Data (WIASRD), Quarterly Summary Report, and Annual Report; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

# **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

(PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the Workforce Investment Act Management Information and Reporting System.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee's section below on or before March 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S–4231, Washington, DC, 20210. Attention: William Rabung, Telephone: (202) 693– 3031 (not a toll-free number), Facsimile number: (202) 693–3229, E-mail address: wrabung@doleta.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

In implementing the performance accountability and reporting provisions of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA), all state jurisdictions and territories operating programs under WIA Title I–B are required to submit three types of participation and performance reports electronically to DOL on a periodic basis that allow the Department to manage its responsibilities under: (1) WIA section 136(d); (2) WIA section 185(a)(2), (c)(2), and (d); and (3) WIA section 189(d). These responsibilities include reporting the progress of States in achieving negotiated levels of performance on the required core and customer satisfaction measures, reports and recordkeeping, and responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA). The Department seeks to extend these data collection and reporting requirements without change. The Department originally received approval of this reporting package on March 1 of this year with an expiration date of October 31 of this year. This very limited approval period made it impossible for the Department to receive required information from states or to perform any analyses of the data for purposes of program administration. The Department has requested and

received a 90 day extension of this reporting package in order for the Department to at least receive the next quarterly report and the first annual report and WIASRD data from states. In order to meet the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Department is submitting this package as approved on March 1, 2000 with only minor clarification and grammatical corrections for extension of data collection and reporting requirements.

There are two basic report systems financial and program. The financial reports are required quarterly, as provided for in WIA sec. 185(e). To avoid unnecessary reporting, the quarterly financial report looks at expenditures and records related to WIA sec. 185(f) and (g). The quarterly financial report was addressed in a separate **Federal Register** notice (65 FR 5897–5898, Feb. 7, 2000). Turning to the program report system, there are three types of reports submitted by states: individual records, quarterly summary reports, and annual reports.

## A. Individual Records

The Department established a standard set of core data elements that must be maintained for each individual who receives WIA Title I–B services beyond self-service and informational activities. The number of data elements collected for each individual is driven by the level of service. States submit individual record-level electronic records for program exiters annually. The Workforce Investment Act Standardized Record Data (WIASRD) contains:

—Relevant demographic characteristics including race, ethnicity, sex and age and other related information on the participants (WIA sec. 185(d)(1)(A));

—WIA Title I–B and partner program activities in which the participants are enrolled (WIA sec. 185(d)(1)(B)); and

—Outcomes for the participants, including occupations and placement in non-traditional employment (WIA sec. 185(d)(1)(C)).

The WIASRD and related documents can be viewed at the Department's Internet Web site, *http:// www.usworkforce.org.* 

# B. Quarterly Summary Reports

The quarterly summary reports reflect statewide activity for negotiated performance and actual performance levels as well as the number of current participants and those participants who exited during the program period. These reports provide DOL with key information necessary for program oversight purposes. This information