and net savings to the government as a result of those exceptions; records containing contractor information; records containing reimbursable billing information; name, Social Security Number, pay grade and (optionally) address information.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

5 U.S.C. 301, Departmental Regulations and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

PURPOSE(S):

To provide managers and supervisors with timely, on-line information regarding audit requirements, programs, and performance.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, these records or information contained therein may specifically be disclosed outside the DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

The DoD "Blanket Routine Uses" that appear at the beginning of DCAA's compilation of systems of records notices apply to this system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Records are maintained in an on-line database and on magnetic tape at secure offsite storage.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are retrieved by organizational levels, name of employee, Social Security Number, office symbol, audit activity codes, or any other combination of these identifiers.

SAFEGUARDS:

Automated records are protected by restricted access procedures. Access to records is strictly limited to authorized officials with a bona fide need for the records.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records are retained indefinitely.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111–7492.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111–7492.

Individuals must furnish name, Social Security Number, approximate date of record, and geographic area in which consideration was requested for record to be located and identified. Official mailing addresses are published as an appendix to the DCAA's compilation of systems notices.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Chief, Information Technology Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 4075 Park Avenue, Memphis, TN 38111–7492.

Individuals must furnish name, Social Security Number, approximate date of record, and geographic area in which consideration was requested for record to be located and identified.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

DCAA's rules for accessing records, for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in DCAA Regulation 5410.10; 32 CFR part 317; or may be obtained from the system manager.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Individual employees, supervisors, audit reports and working papers.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

[FR Doc. 02–671 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 5001–08–P**

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report for the San Clemente Shoreline Feasibility Study, San Clemente, CA

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Corps and the city of San Clemente propose to study alternatives to provide shoreline protection to the San Clemente Shoreline. The study is for that portion of the shoreline that runs from Shorecliff Beach to San Mateo Point, approximately eight kilometers (five miles).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding the scoping process or preparation of the EIS/EIR may be directed to Mr. Paul Rose, Chief, Environmental Resources Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, California, 90053–2325, (213) 452–3840.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Proposed Action

Provide shoreline protection against wave attack from coastal storms to the San Clemente shoreline. Running along the entire length of the San Clemente shoreline is a portion of the Los Angeles to San Diego (Lossan) railroad corridor. The Lossan is a major passenger rail line linking San Diego to the rest of the United States. The Lossan is owned by the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA). This commuter rail corridor is among the busiest in the country and separates the beach from the bluff. Loss of shoreline protection and recreational beach width is a continuous problem for the city of San Clemente. Damages to coastal residential and commercial properties from storm-induced waves have become a serious threat over the past several years. The study will investigate alternatives to provide shoreline protection.

2. Alternatives

Alternatives that may be considered include non-structural and/or structural measures to provide protection against wave attack from coastal storms. Non-structural measures include beach and near-shore nourishment with dredged sand.

3. Scoping Process

The Corps and the city of San Clemente are preparing a joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) to address potential impacts associated with the proposed project. The Corps is the Lead Federal Agency for compliance with NEPA for the project, and the city of San Clemente is the Lead State Agency for compliance with the CEQA for the non-Federal aspects of the project. The Draft EIS/EIR (DEIS/EIR) document will incorporate public concerns in the analysis of impacts associated with the Proposed Action and associated project alternatives. The DEIS/EIR will be sent out for a 45-day public review period, during which time both written and verbal comments will be solicited on the adequacy of the document. The Final EIS/EIR (FEIS/EIR) will address the comments received on the DEIS/EIR during public review, and will be furnished to all who commented on the DEIS/EIR, and is made available to anyone that requests a copy during

the 30-day public comment period. The final step involves, for the Federal EIS, preparing a Record of Decision (ROD) and, for the state EIR, certifying the EIR and adopting a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan. The ROD is a concise summary of the decisions made by the Corps from among the alternatives presented in the FEIS/EIR. The ROD can be published immediately after the FEIS public comment period ends. A certified EIR indicates that the environmental document adequately assesses the environmental impacts of the proposed project with respect to CEQA. A formal scoping meeting to solicit public comment and concerns on the proposed action and alternatives will be held on January 10, 2002 at 7 p.m., in the Multipurpose Room (1 & 2) in the San Clemente Senior Center, 242 Avenue Del Mar, San Clemente, California.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 02–771 Filed 1–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–KF-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, Ventura County, CA

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The Los Angeles District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will prepare a DEIS to support the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, Ventura County, California. The study area is the Matilija Dam area and downstream to the Venture River Estuary. This study will investigate feasible alternatives to restore the Matilija Creek riverine ecosystem, primarily by removing Matilija Dam. Also, feasible alternatives for the removal of sediment behind the dam and the beneficial use of that sediment will be investigated.

The DEIS will analyze the potential impacts (beneficial and adverse) on the environment of a range of alternatives, including the proposed action and the no action alternative. The Los Angeles District and the Ventura County Flood Control District will cooperate in conducting this feasibility study.

ADDRESSES: District Engineer, U.S.

Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles

District, ATTN: CESPL-PD-RQ (R.

Farve), P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, California 90053–2325.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Rey Farve, Environmental Coordinator, telephone (213) 452–3864, or Mr. Jonathan Vivanti, Study Manager, telephone (213) 452–3809.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Authorization

This feasibility study was authorized by U.S. House of Representatives Committee Resolution on Transportation and Infrastructure (Docket 2593), dated April 15, 1999, which states, in part: "that the Secretary of the Army is requested to review the report of the Chief of Engineers on the Ventura River, Ventura County, California, published as House Document 323, 77th Congress, 1st Session, and other pertinent reports, with a view to determining whether any modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at this time, in the interest of environmental restoration and protection, and related purposes, with particular attention to restoring anadromous fish populations on Matilija Creek and returning natural sand replenishment to Ventura and other Southern California beaches.'

2. Background

Matilija Dam is located on Matilija Creek, a tributary of the Ventura River, approximately 16 miles upstream from the Pacific Ocean. The dam is located in Ventura County California, approximately 7 miles and 25 miles from the Cities of Ojai and Ventura, California, respectively. The feasibility study area currently includes the Matilija Dam and the area immediately upstream, and downstream of the dam to the Ventura River Estuary. The nonfederal sponsor of the feasibility study is the Ventura County Flood Control District.

Matilija Dam was constructed in the late 1940's by Ventura County Flood Control to provide water storage for agricultural needs. Matilija Dam is a concrete arch structure 190 feet in height with an arc length of 620 feet at its crest. Sediment carried by Matilija Creek has deposited behind the dam and filled the reservoir, rendering the structure useless as a water storage facility. It is estimated that 6,000,000 cubic yards of sediment lies trapped behind the dam.

The dam no longer provides any flood control protection due to sedimentation behind the dam. There is some continued water supply use. The Casitas Municipal Water District currently operates the dam under a lease agreement from the County of Ventura, which expires in 2009. The operation is an integral part of the Robles/Casitas Reservoir water supply facilities and is estimated to currently contribute approximately 400 acre-feet of water per year. This water function, however, is projected to diminish rapidly as the reservoir continues to fill with sediments, and is expected to effectively cease by 2010 after the reservoir fills completely with sediment.

Presently, the dam is considered to be a major contributor to the declining numbers of steelhead trout in Matilija Creek. If no action is taken to secure passage for the steelhead trout to reach the upper watershed and its tributaries, the dam will continue to obstruct this endangered species, thereby limiting the amount of spawning and rearing habitat. In addition, the dam would continue to act as a barrier for wildlife movement for other terrestrial and aquatic species.

3. Alternatives

The feasibility study will focus on addressing the problems and needs caused by Matilija Dam with the primary objective of the feasibility study being to restore the Matilija Creek riverine ecosystem. Other objectives that are considered appropriate may involve possible beneficial use of the sediment behind the dam for beach nourishment or other environmental restoration.

In general, alternative plans will investigate reasonable alternatives to restore Matilija Creek, primarily by removing Matilija Dam. Feasible alternatives for the removal of sediment behind the dam and the beneficial use of that sediment will also be investigated. Significant beneficial impacts to the riverine ecosystem (especially to steelhead trout) are expected from restoration alternatives identified in the feasibility study.

4. Scoping Process

Participation of all interested Federal, State, and County agencies, groups with environmental interests, and any interested individuals are encouraged. Public involvement will be most beneficial and worthwhile in identifying the scope of pertinent, significant environmental issues to be addressed, identifying and eliminating from detailed study issues that are not significant, offering useful information such as published or unpublished data, providing direct personal experience or knowledge which informs decision making, and recommending suitable mitigation measures to offset potential impacts from the proposed action or alternatives.