

be the “no action” alternative, under which there would be no change from current management of the area. Additional alternatives will represent a range of strategies to manage natural resources in the area. The Idaho Panhandle National Forests Land and Resource Management Plan provides guidance for management objectives within the potentially affected area through its goals, objectives, standards and guidelines, and management area direction. Inland Native Fish Strategy guidelines (USDA Forest Service, 1995) supersede Forest Plan guidelines established for riparian areas.

The public was first notified of this proposal and the intention to prepare an environmental impact statement in February 2002. Comments provided by the public and other agencies will be used to develop strategies for management of natural resources in the project area. The public is encouraged to visit with Forest Service officials during the analysis and prior to the decision. The Forest Service is also seeking information, comments, and assistance from federal, state and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed actions.

The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in October 2002. At that time, the EPA will publish a Notice of Availability of the draft environmental impact statement in the **Federal Register**. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions (*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts (*City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close

of the 45-day scoping comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns regarding the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft environmental impact statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulation for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments may not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR part 215. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

I am the responsible official for this environmental impact statement. My address is Coeur d'Alene River Ranger District, 2502 E. Sherman Avenue, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814.

Dated: March 4, 2002.

**Joseph P. Stringer**,  
District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 02-5840 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory Committee (RAC); Meetings

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committees Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet on April 4-5, 2002 in John Day, Oregon; May 30-31 in Baker City, Oregon; and June 14 in Pendleton, Oregon. The purpose of the meetings is to meet as a Committee to review and recommend the selection of Title II projects under Public Law 106-393, H.R. 2389, the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, also called the “Payments to States” Act.

**DATES:** The meetings will be held as follows: April 4, 2002, 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., John Day, Oregon; April 5, 2002, 8:30 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., John Day, Oregon; May 30, 2002, 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Baker City, Oregon; May 31, 2002, 8 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., Baker City, Oregon; See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for one additional meeting date.

**ADDRESSES:** The April 4-5, 2002 meetings will be held in Juniper Hall, at the Malheur National Forest Headquarters Office located at 431 Patterson Bridge Road, John Day, Oregon. The May 30-31, 2002 meetings will be held in the conference room at the Baker Ranger District office located at 3165 10th Street, Baker City, Oregon. See Supplementary Information section for the location of one additional meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bonnie Wood, Designated Federal Official, USDA, Malheur National Forest, PO Box 909, John Day, Oregon 97845. Phone: (541) 575-3100.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At the April 4-5, 2002 meeting the committee will determine the overhead rate for projects and then will review and recommend Fiscal Year 2002 project proposals for funding under Public Law 106-393, H.R. 2389, the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. A public input opportunity will be provided at 11:15 a.m. on April 4, and individuals will have the opportunity to address the committee at that time. At the May 30-31, 2002 meeting the committee will determine if they wish to change their review process and will then review and recommend Fiscal Year 2003 project

proposals for funding under Public Law 106-393, H.R. 2389, the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000. A public input opportunity will be provided at 11:15 a.m. on May 30, and individuals will be able to address the committee at that time. One additional meeting will be held on June 14, 2002 in Pendleton, Oregon to finish reviewing project proposals for Fiscal Year 2003 funding. This meeting will be held at the Oregon Trail room, Red Lion Inn, in Pendleton, Oregon. The meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and end at 4 p.m. A public input opportunity will be provided at 11:15 a.m., and individuals will be able to address the committee at that time.

Dated: March 5, 2002.

**Bonnie J. Wood,**

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 02-5839 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-DK-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Export Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Miscellaneous Activities

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Export Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Renewal of an existing collection.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before May 13, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, DOC Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6608, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Dawnielle Battle, BXA ICB Liaison, (202) 482-0637, Department of Commerce, Room 6883, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### I. Abstract

On September 30, 1993, the Secretary of Commerce submitted to the Congress a report of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee, entitled *Toward a National Export Strategy*. The report included the goal to "Undertake a comprehensive review of the Export Administration Regulations to simplify, clarify, and make the regulations more user-friendly". To carry out this recommendation, BXA has rewritten the entire EAR. To the extent activities have been added or changed but not deleted, this collection represents the authority to collect, on rare occasions, certain information from the public. This assembly of information collection activities is comprised of two activities. "Registration Of U.S. Agricultural Commodities For Exemption From Short Supply Limitations On Export", and "Petitions For The Imposition Of Monitoring Or Controls On Recyclable Metallic materials; Public Hearings" are statutory in nature and though they never have been applied must remain a part of BXA's information collection budget authorization. The third—The Commerce Control List—became necessary as the rewrite of the Export Administration Regulations sought to harmonize the U.S. ECCN system with the European system for consistency and future simplicity. However, this activity is no longer needed since the transformation from the old system to the new system is complete.

For the purpose of clarity, this abstract will refer to the two activities as follows: USAG will refer to Registration Of U.S. Agricultural Commodities For Exemption From Short Supply Limitations On Export activities; and, PETITIONS will refer to Petitions For The Imposition Of Monitoring Or Controls On Recyclable Metallic materials; Public Hearings activities.

### II. Method of Collection

For USAG, the method is a written application for the exemption from Short Supply Limitations on Export Activities.

For PETITIONS, the method is a written petition requesting the monitoring of exports or the imposition of export controls, or both, with respect to certain materials.

The same mailing address is used for both submissions: P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC 20230.

### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0694-0102.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* USAG: 5 hours per response; PETITION: 5 hours per response.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 10.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* No capital expenditures are required.

### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 1, 2002.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02-5372 Filed 3-11-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1212]

#### Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Nissan North America, Inc. (Motor Vehicles); Canton, MS

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for " \* \* \* the establishment \* \* \* of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) to grant to qualified corporations the