

*Annual Burden Hours:* 931 (Includes 538 recordkeeping hours).

*Needs and Uses:* Dod needs this information to evaluate whether the purposes of the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program have been met. The purposes of the program are to: (1) Provide incentive to major DoD contractors to assist protégé firms in enhancing their capabilities to satisfy contract and subcontract requirements; (2) increase the overall participation of protégé firms as subcontractors and suppliers; and (3) foster the establishment of long-term relationships between protégé firms and major DoD contractors. This program implements Section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Pub. L. 101-510) and Section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Pub. L. 106-65) (10 U.S.C. 2302 note).

*Affected Public:* Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Lewis W. Oleinick.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Oleinick at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD (Acquisition), Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DOD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: January 25, 2001.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-2696 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Title, Form, and OMB Number:*  
National Security Education Program

NSEP Grants to Institutions of Higher Education); DD Forms 2729, 2730; OMB Number 0704-0366.

*Type of Request:* Extension.

*Number of Respondents:* 185.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 185.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 8.75 hours.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 1,619.

*Needs and Uses:* The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain data on a candidate's background and aptitude in determining eligibility and selection to the Air Force Academy. The information is required by 10 U.S.C. 9346. Respondents are students who are applying for admission to the Air Force Academy. If the information on this form is not collected, the individual cannot be considered for admittance to the Air Force Academy.

*Affected Public:* Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DOD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: January 24, 2001.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-2697 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Title, Form, and OMB Number:*  
National Security Education Program (Service Agreement Report for

Scholarship and Fellowship Awards); DD Forms 2752, 2753; OMB Number 0704-0368.

*Type of Request:* Extension.

*Number of Respondents:* 300.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 2.

*Annual Responses:* 600.

*Average Burden Per Response:* 20 minutes.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 100.

*Needs and Uses:* The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain verification that applicable scholarship and fellowship recipients are fulfilling service obligations mandated by the National Security Education Act of 1991, Title VIII of Pub. L. 102-183, as amended. DD Form 2752 is the Service Agreement that award recipients sign in order to acknowledge their understanding of the service obligation, and agree to the obligation. DD Form 2753 is the Service Agreement Report Form on which the student provides an account of his or her work toward fulfilling the service obligation, or justifies a request for deferment.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Frequency:* Semi-Annually.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DOD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: January 24, 2001.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-2698 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Intelligence Agency, Science and Technology Advisory Board Closed Panel Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, Defense Intelligence Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (d) of Section 10 of Public

Law 92-463, as amended by Section 5 of Public Law 94-409, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the DIA Science and Technology Advisory Board has been scheduled as follows:

**DATES:** 15 February 2001 (0830am to 1600pm).

**ADDRESSES:** The Defense Intelligence Agency, 200 MacDill Blvd., Washington, DC 20340.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Victoria J. Prescott, Executive Secretary, DIA Science and Technology Advisory Board, Washington, DC 20340-1328, (202) 231-4936.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The entire meeting is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552b(c)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code, and therefore will be closed to the public. The Board will receive briefings on and discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, DIA, on related scientific and technical matters.

Dated: January 26, 2001.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-2766 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Science Board

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on Chemical Warfare Defense will meet in closed sessions on February 12, 2001; February 26, 2001; March 12-13, 2001; and March 26, 2001; at SAIC, Inc., 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA. The Task Force will assess the possibility of controlling the risk and consequences of a chemical warfare (CW) attack to acceptable national security levels within the next five years.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Task Force will assess current national security and military objectives with respect to CW attacks; CW threats that significantly challenge these objectives today and in the future; the basis elements (R&D, materiel, acquisition, personnel,

training, leadership) required to control risk and consequences to acceptable levels, including counterproliferation; intelligence, warning, disruption; tactical detection and protection (active and passive); consequence management; attribution and deterrence; and policy. The Task Force will also assess the testing and evaluation necessary to demonstrate and maintain the required capability and any significant impediments to accomplishing this goal.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board meetings, concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 26, 2001.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-2765 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy

**AGENCY:** United States Military Academy.

**ACTION:** Notice of Open Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy.

*Date of Meeting:* 28 February 2001.

*Place of Meeting:* Veteran Affairs Conference Room, Room 418, Senate Russell Office Bldg., Washington, DC.

*Start Time of Meeting:* Approximately 9:30 a.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information, contact Lieutenant Colonel John L. Pothin, United States Military Academy, West Point, NY 10996-5000, (845) 938-4200.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Proposed Agenda:* Organizational Meeting of the Board of Visitors. All proceedings are open.

**Gregory D. Showalter,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-2778 Filed 1-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the South Shore, Staten Island, New York, Beach Erosion Control and Storm Damage Protection Study: Feasibility Phase

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The New York District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is preparing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) to ascertain compliance with and to lead to the production of a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document in accordance with the President's Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) Rules and Regulations, as defined and amended in 40 Code Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508, Corps' Principals and Guidelines as defined in Engineering Regulation (ER) 1105-2-100 and (ER) 1105-1-200 and other applicable Federal and State environmental laws for the proposed beach erosion control and storm damage protection improvements to the south shore of Staten Island, New York.

The study area includes New York Harbor (Lower Bay), western Atlantic Ocean, southern Staten Island shoreline consisting of approximately 13 miles of coast in the Borough of Staten Island from Ft. Wadsworth to Tottenville.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information, please contact Jenine Gallo, Project Biologist at (212) 264-0912, Planning Division, Corps of Engineers, New York District, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278-0090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This study is authorized by a resolution of the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Public Works and Transportation and adopted May 13, 1993 which reads:

"The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is requested to review the report of the Chief of Engineers on the Staten Island Coast from Fort Wadsworth to Arthur Kill, New York, published as House Document 181, Eighty-ninth Congress, First Session, and other pertinent reports, to determine whether modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at the present time, in the interest of beach erosion control and storm damage reduction and related purposes on the South Shore of Staten Island, New York,