

Rate class (• denotes proposed rate or fee adjustment)	Present rate	Proposed rate
Minimum charge, or	132.00	132.00
Charge per horsepower	6.00	6.00
Monthly charge per rated horsepower of pump	11.05	11.05
Energy charge per kilowatt-hour	0.03586	0.03586
Area lighting rate class, monthly charge:		
Install on existing pole or structure:	6.87	7.20
• 7,000 lumen, mercury vapor unit (existing only)	9.82	10.30
• 20,000 lumen, mercury vapor unit (existing only)	6.36	6.70
• 9,000 lumen, high-pressure sodium unit	8.60	9.00
• 22,000 lumen, high-pressure sodium unit.		
Install with new pole:	8.62	9.05
• 7,000 lumen, mercury vapor unit (existing only)	11.28	11.85
• 20,000 lumen, mercury vapor unit (existing only)	8.12	8.50
• 9,000 lumen, high-pressure sodium unit	10.32	10.85
• 22,000 lumen, high-pressure sodium unit.		
Street lighting service:		
Metered Service (not including street light fixtures):		
Basic monthly charge	5.00	5.00
Energy charge	0.05495	0.05495
Unmetered Service:		
This rate class is available only to municipalities or communities for ten or more lighting units in a group.		
The charges for this service are subject to a negotiated contract with MVP.	(²)	(²)
Unmetered service charge per month:		
• Charges for an unmetered service under the present rate structure are determined on an individual basis.		
The rate proposed for this service is a flat monthly charge (unmetered street light service is not part of this rate class).	(²)	15.00

¹ Not used.² Negotiated.

Consultation and Coordination With Tribal Governments (Executive Order 13175)

The CSKT operates the utility under a Public Law 93–638 contract. As part of the contractual relationship, there are continuing consultations between the CSKT and the BIA. These consultations meet the spirit and intent of the Executive Order.

Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use (Executive Order 13211)

This is a notice for a proposed rate adjustment at a BIA owned electric power utility. These rate adjustments will have no adverse effects on energy supply, distribution, or use (including a shortfall in supply, price increases, and increased use of foreign supplies) should the proposed rate adjustment be implemented.

Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Order 12866)

This rate adjustment is not a significant regulatory action and does not need to be reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rate adjustment is not a rule for the purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because it is “a rule of

particular applicability relating to rates”. 5 U.S.C. 601(2)(1996).

Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995

This rate adjustment imposes no unfunded mandates on any governmental or private entity and is in compliance with the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Act of 1995.

Takings (Executive Order 12630)

The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not have significant “takings” implications. The rate adjustment does not deprive the public, state, or local governments of rights or property.

Federalism (Executive Order 13132)

The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not have significant Federalism effects because it pertains solely to Federal-tribal relations and will not interfere with the roles, rights, and responsibilities of states.

Civil Justice Reform (Executive Order 12988)

In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that this rule does not unduly burden the judicial system and meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This rate adjustment does not affect the collections of information which have been approved by the Office of

Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The OMB control number is 1076–0141 and expires November 30, 2002.

National Environmental Policy Act

The Department has determined that this rate adjustment does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement is required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321) *et. seq.* (1996).

Dated: December 3, 2001.

Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01–30882 Filed 12–13–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Indian Gaming

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of approved Tribal-State Compact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA), Public Law 100–497, 25 U.S.C. 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish, in the **Federal Register**, notice

of approved Tribal-State Compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through his delegated authority, has approved the Class III Gaming Agreement between the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Nation and the State of Montana, which was executed on October 12, 2001.

DATES: This action is effective December 14, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240; (202) 219-4066.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-30906 Filed 12-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Indian Gaming

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of approved Tribal-State Compacts.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA), Public Law 100-497, 25 U.S.C. 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish, in the **Federal Register**, notice of approved Tribal-State Compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through his delegated authority, has approved the Tribal-State Compacts between the Pueblos of Isleta, Laguna, Sandia, San Juan, Santa Ana, Santa Clara and Acoma and the State of New Mexico, which were executed on or about October 3, 2001.

DATES: This action is effective December 14, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240; (202) 219-4066.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-30908 Filed 12-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Indian Gaming

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of approved Tribal-State Compacts.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA), Public Law 100-497, 25 U.S.C. 2710, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish, in the **Federal Register**, notice of approved Tribal-State Compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through his delegated authority, has approved the Tribal-State Compacts between the Pueblos of Tesuque and San Felipe and the State of New Mexico, which were executed on October 12, 2001.

DATES: This action is effective December 14, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240; (202) 219-4066.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Neal A. McCaleb,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 01-30907 Filed 12-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-448]

In the Matter of Certain Oscillating Sprinklers, Sprinkler Components, and Nozzles; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, the public interest, and bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission previously found the only remaining respondent in the investigation to be in default.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurent de Winter, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-

708-5452. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-Line) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain oscillating sprinklers, sprinkler components, and nozzles, on February 9, 2001. 66 FR 9721.

On June 12, 2001, the Commission determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) finding respondent Watex International Co. Ltd., ("Watex") to be in default for claims pending against it relating to U.S. Letters Patent 5,645,218, ("the '218 patent'") and U.S. Letters Patent 5,511,727 ("the '727 patent'"). On October 1, 2001, complainant L.R. Nelson Corp. ("Nelson") filed a declaration seeking, pursuant to section 337(g)(1) and rule 210.16(c)(1), entry of a limited exclusion order against Watex barring importation into the United States of Watex sprinklers infringing the claims in issue of the '218 and '727 patents. In its declaration, Nelson did not seek issuance of a cease and desist order against Watex.

On September 13, 2001, Nelson moved to withdraw all allegations related to U.S. Letters Patent 6,036,117 ("the '117 patent'") from the investigation. On September 25, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 26) granting the motion to withdraw the allegations relating to the '117 patent, and on October 26, 2001, the Commission determined not to review that ID. This withdrawal terminated the investigation with respect to all respondents except Watex, which still has claims relating to the '218 and '727 patents pending against it.

Section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. (g)(1), authorizes the Commission to order