these informal meetings, NPT, CTUIR, ODFW, USFS, USFWS, and BPA will provide detailed information about the proposed facilities and modifications to existing facilities. Written information will also be available, and BPA staff will answer questions and accept oral and written comments. When completed, the Draft EIS will be circulated for review and comment, and BPA will hold public comment meetings for the Draft EIS. BPA will consider and respond in the Final EIS to comments received on the Draft EIS.

The proposed action and alternatives will be examined for environmental effects on the affected environment. The types of impacts that will be considered include foreseeable direct and indirect effects as well as past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future cumulative effects. Issues raised during the scoping process will be examined and addressed in the Draft EIS.

Maps and further information are available from BPA at the address above.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on November 14, 2001.

#### Stephen J. Wright,

Acting Administrator and Chief Executive Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–29247 Filed 11–21–01; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-415-000]

### East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Public Working Meetings

November 16, 2001.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will hold additional public working meetings to discuss the environmental impacts of the East Tennessee Natural Gas Company's (East Tennessee) Patriot Project in Tennessee, Virginia, and North Carolina.

The locations and times for these meetings are listed below.

Tuesday, November 27, 2001, 7:30–10 p.m. Carroll County High School Auditorium, 100 Cavs Lane, Hillsville, VA 24343, (540) 728– 2165 or (540) 236–4455

Thursday, November 29, 2001, 7:30– 10 p.m. Martinsville Middle School Auditorium, 201 Brown Street, Martinsville, VA 24112, (276) 634– 5728

These public working meetings are designed to provide you with more

information about the project, and an opportunity for you to discuss the project and alternatives with FERC staff. You may also submit written comments at the meeting.

On the dates of the meetings, we will also be conducting limited site visits of the project area, and on November 28, 2001, staff will conduct overflight of the project area. Anyone interested in participating in the site visits may contact the Commission's Office External Affairs at (201) 208–1088 for more details and must provide their own transportation.

#### David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–29237 Filed 11–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-128-012]

### Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 16, 2001.

Take notice that on November 6, 2001, Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (Eastern Shore) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets with a proposed effective date of November 1, 2001:

First Revised Sheet No. 1 First Revised Sheet No. 9 Original Sheet No. 10

Eastern Shore states that the purpose of this filing is to provide the requisite information concerning the specific negotiated rate service agreement with PECO Energy Company (PECO). Such requisite information includes the exact legal name of the shipper, the negotiated rate and other applicable charges, the applicable rate schedule, the primary receipt and delivery points, contract quantity and a statement affirming that the negotiated rate service agreement does not deviate in any material aspect from the form of service agreement contained in Eastern Shore's FERC Gas Tariff.

Eastern Shore states that copies have been mailed to all customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and

Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

#### David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–29236 Filed 11–21–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02-24-000]

# PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation; Notice of Application

November 16, 2001.

Take notice that on November 9, 2001, PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation (PG&E) filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing PG&E to construct a total of 53.6 miles of 42-inch diameter loop of its existing mainline system in Boundary County in Idaho, and Spokane, Whitman, and Walla Walla Counties in Washington, and Umatilla County in Oregon and to increse system compression by adding 19,500 ISO hp of compression at one existing compressor station (Station 14) in Klamath County, Oregon, all as more fully set forth in the application that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the Web at http://www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

PG&E proposes this project to increase its system capacity by approximately

143,000 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of annual pipeline capacity and by 20,000 Dth/d of winter only capacity. PG&E estimates that the cost of the facilities is estimated to be approximately \$111.3 million, which it states will be financed using internally-generated funds. PG&E proposes to install the looping and compression facilities in order to provide the additional transportation service by November 2003 or sooner. PG&E requests Commission approval by December 31, 2002, at the latest, in order to complete the installation of the proposed facilities in time for the 2003/ 2004 winter heating season.

PG&E states that it held an open season in which it made capacity on its system available to interested shippers on a not unduly discriminatory basis. PG&E states that as a result it has executed binding, long term precedent agreements for a total of 143,000 Dth/d of annual service and 20,000 Dth/d of winter-only service for terms averaging 25.3 years with five shippers to serve new electric generation projects and other uses in the Pacific Northwest and California. This represents 100% of the proposed expansion capacity. PG&E states that these precedent agreements demonstrate that there is sufficient market demand for natural gas transportation service on PG&E's system to support this project.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to John A. Roscher, Director, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation; 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900; Portland, Oregon; 97201, (503) 833–4254.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before December 7, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on nonenvironmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. The preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the nonenvironmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the

instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

#### David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–29239 Filed 11–21–01; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER02-303-000]

# Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company; Notice of Filing

November 15, 2001.

Take notice that on November 13, 2001, Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company (Williams EM&T) tendered for filing with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission** (Commission) pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. 824d (1994), and part 35 of the Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR part 35, revised pages to the Reliability Must-Run Service Agreements (RMR Agreements) between Williams EM&T and the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) for certain RMR units located at the Alamitos and, Huntington Beach Generating Stations.

The purpose of the filing is to update Williams EM&T's existing RMR Agreements to reflect an extension of the two existing RMR Agreements and certain annual updates to Schedules A, B, D and J of the RMR Agreements. Copies of the filing were served upon the ISO and Southern California Edison Company.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before December 4, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on