to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings' issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 15, 2002. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Dated: October 22, 2001. Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(230)(i)(D)(2), (269)(i)(C)(2), and (277)(i)(D) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

* * (c) * * * (230) * * * (i) * * * (Ď) * * * (2) Rule 4304 adopted on October 19, 1995. (269) * * * (i) * * * (Ć) * * * (2) Rule 4452 adopted on December 17, 1992. * (277) * * * (i) * ^{*} * (D) South Coast Air Quality Management District. (1) Rule 1121 adopted on December 10, 1999. * *

[FR Doc. 01–28345 Filed 11–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-7100-4]

Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is amending certain regulations to reflect the current delegation status of national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants in Arizona. Several NESHAPs were delegated to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on March 5, 2001, and the purpose of this action is to update the listing in the Code of Federal Regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective on January 15, 2002 without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comments by December 17, 2001. If EPA receives such comments, then it will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule did not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the request for delegation and other supporting documentation are available for public inspection (docket number A–96–25) at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105– 3901, (415) 744–1200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Delegation of NESHAPs

Section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA), authorizes EPA to delegate to state or local air pollution control agencies the authority to implement and enforce the standards set out in 40 CFR part 63, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. On November 26, 1993, EPA promulgated regulations, codified at 40 CFR part 63, subpart E (hereinafter referred to as "subpart E"), establishing procedures for EPA's approval of state rules or programs under section 112(l) (see 58 FR 62262). Subpart E was later amended on September 14, 2000 (see 65 FR 55810).

Any request for approval under CAA section 112(l) must meet the approval criteria in 112(l)(5) and subpart E. To streamline the approval process for future applications, a state or local agency may submit a one-time demonstration that it has adequate authorities and resources to implement and enforce any CAA section 112 standards. If such demonstration is approved, then the state or local agency would no longer need to resubmit a demonstration of these same authorities and resources for every subsequent request for delegation of CAA section 112 standards. However, EPA maintains the authority to withdraw its approval if the State does not adequately

implement or enforce an approved rule or program.

B. ADEQ Delegations

On July 17, 1998, EPA published a direct final action delegating to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) several NESHAPs and approving ADEQ's delegation mechanism for future standards (see 63 FR 38478). That action explained the procedure for EPA to grant delegations to ADEQ by letter, with periodic **Federal Register** listings of standards that have been delegated. On January 5, 2001, ADEQ requested delegation of the following NESHAPs contained in 40 CFR part 63:

- Subpart AA—NESHAP from Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing Plants
- Subpart BB—NESHAP from Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants
- Subpart HH—NESHAP from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities
- Subpart SS—National Emission Standards for Closed Vent Systems, Control Devices, Recovery Devices and Routing to a Fuel Gas System or a Process
- Subpart TT—National Emission Standards for Equipment Leaks— Control Level 1
- Subpart UU—National Emission Standards for Equipment Leaks— Control Level 2 Standards
- Subpart WW—National Emission Standards for Storage Vessels (Tanks)—Control Level 2
- Subpart YY—NESHAP for Source Categories: Generic MACT Standards
- Subpart CCC—NESHAP for Steel Pickling—HCl Process Facilities and Hydrochloric Acid Regeneration Plants
- Subpart DDD—NESHAP for Mineral Wool Production
- Subpart GGG—National Emission Standards for Pharmaceuticals Production
- Subpart HHH—NESHAP from Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities
- Subpart III—NESHAP for Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production
- Subpart LLL—NESHAP from the Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry
- Subpart MMM—NESHAP for Pesticide Active Ingredient Production
- Subpart NNN—NESHAP for Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing
- Subpart PPP—NESHAP for Polyether Polyols Production
- Subpart TTT—NESHAP for Primary Lead Smelting

• Subpart XXX—NESHAP for Ferroalloys Production: Ferromanganese and Silicomanganese On March 5, 2001, EPA granted delegation to ADEQ for these NESHAPs. EPA also delegated to ADEQ any amendments to previously-delegated NESHAPs, as of July 1, 1999. Today's action is serving to notify the public of the March 5, 2001, delegation and to codify these delegations into the Code of Federal Regulations.

II. EPA Action

Today's document serves to notify the public that on March 5, 2001, EPA granted delegation to ADEQ for the NESHAPs listed above, as well as any amendments to previously-delegated NESHAPs as of July 1, 1999. Today's action will codify these delegations into the Code of Federal Regulations.

III. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use'' (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely updates the list of approved delegations in the Code of Federal Regulations and imposes no additional requirements. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule does not impose any additional enforceable duty, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255,

August 10, 1999). This action merely updates the list of already-approved delegations, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing state delegation submissions, our role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), we have no authority to disapprove state submissions for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews state submissions, to use VCS in place of state submissions that otherwise satisfy the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. We will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal **Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 15, 2002. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: October 30, 2001. Jack P. Broadbent,

Director, Air Division, Region IX.

Title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 63—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities

2. Section 63.99 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

§63.99 Delegated Federal Authorities. (a) * * *

(3) Arizona. The following table lists the specific Part 63 standards that have been delegated unchanged to the air pollution control agencies in the State of Arizona. The (X) symbol is used to indicate each category that has been delegated.

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA

Subpart	Description	ADEQ ¹	MCESD ²	PDEQ ³	PCAQCD ⁴
A	General Provisions	Х	X	Х	Х
F	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry	X	x	X	X
G	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry: Process Vents, Stor-	X	x	X	X
-	age Vessels, Transfer Operations, and Wastewater.			Χ	Λ
Н	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Equipment Leaks	Х	X	Х	Х
1	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Certain Processes Subject to the Nego- tiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks.	Х	X	Х	Х
L	Coke Oven Batteries	Х	X	Х	Х
 M	Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning	X	X	X	x
Ν	Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing	X	X	X	X
	Tanks.			X	~
0	Ethylene Oxide Sterilization Facilities	Х	X	Х	Х
Q	Industrial Process Cooling Towers	Х	X	Х	Х
R	Gasoline Distribution Facilities	Х	X	Х	Х
S	Pulp and Paper	Х			
Т	Halogenated Solvent Cleaning	Х	X	Х	Х
U	Group I Polymers and Resins	Х	X		Х
Ŵ	Epoxy Resins Production and Non-Nylon Polyamides Production	Х	X	Х	Х
Χ	Secondary Lead Smelting	Х	X	X	X
AA	Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing Plants	X			
BB	Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants	X			
CC	Petroleum Refineries	X	X	Х	Х
DD	Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations	X	X		x
EE	Magnetic Tape Manufacturing Operations	X	X	X	x
GG	Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities	X	x	X	x
HH	Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities	X			~
JJ	Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations	x	X	Х	Х
KK		x	X	x	x
	Printing and Publishing Industry	x			^
LL	Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants Tanks—Level 1	x	X		х
PP		X	x		X
	Containers				
QQ	Surface Impoundments	Х	X		X
RR	Individual Drain Systems	Х	X		Х
SS	Closed Vent Systems, Control Devices, Recovery Devices and Routing to a Fuel Gas System or a Process.	Х			•••••
TT	Equipment Leaks—Control Level 1	Х			
UU	Equipment Leaks— Control Level 2	Х			
VV	Oil-Water Separators and Organic-Water Separators	Х	X		Х
WW	Storage Vessels (Tanks) — Control Level 2	Х			
YY	Generic MACT Standards	Х			
CCC	Steel Pickling	Х			
DDD	Mineral Wool Production	Х			
EEE	Hazardous Waste Combustors	Х			
GGG	Pharmaceuticals Production	X			
ННН	Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities	X			
	Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production	X			
JJJ	Group IV Polymers and Resins	X	X		X
LLL	Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry	X			
MMM	Pesticide Active Ingredient Production	X			
NNN	Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing	X			
PPP	Polyether Polyols Production	x			
TTT	Primary Lead Smelting	X			
	T minary Load Omening	~			

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA—Continued

XXX Ferroalloys Production	Subpart	Description	ADEQ 1	MCESD ²	PDEQ ³	PCAQCD ⁴
		Ferroalloys Production	х			

¹ Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.

²Maricopa County Environmental Services Department. ³Pima County Department of Environmental Quality. ⁴Pinal County Air Quality Control District.

[FR Doc. 01-28342 Filed 11-15-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-301191; FRL-6810-2]

RIN 2070-AB78

Linear alkyl C_{12–16} propoxyamine ethoxylate; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of poly[oxy(methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)], α-[2bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]propyl]-ωhydroxy,-ether with α-hydro-ωhydroxypoly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl) (1:2), mono-C_{12–16} alkyl ethers (hereinafter "linear alkyl C12–16 propoxyamine ethoxylate'') when used as an inert ingredient (surfactant) when applied to growing crops, or to raw agricultural commodities after harvest. Huntsman Corporation submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) requesting an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of linear alkyl C₁₂₋₁₆ propoxyamine ethoxylate.

DATES: This regulation is effective November 16, 2001. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket control number OPP-301191, must be received by EPA on or before January 15, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted by mail, in person, or by courier. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit VIII. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, your objections and hearing requests must identify docket control number OPP-301191 in

the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: BV

mail: Treva Alston, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308-8373; and e-mail address: alston.treva@epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Categories	NAICS Codes	Examples of Po- tentially Affected Entities
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufac- turing Pesticide manufac- turing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically*. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http:// www.epa.gov/. To access this document, on the Home Page select

"Laws and Regulations," "Regulations and Proposed Rules," and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the Federal Register listings at http:// www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/. A frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 is available at http:// www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/ cfrhtml 180/Title 40/40cfr180 00.html, a beta site currently under development.

2. In person. The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP-301191. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

II. Background and Statutory Findings

In the Federal Register of August 14, 1998 (63 FR 43708) (FRL-6019-8), EPA issued a notice pursuant to section 408 of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a, as amended by the FQPA (Public Law 104-170) announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP 5E4487) by Huntsman Petrochemical Corporation, 3040 Post Oak Blvd., Houston, TX 77056. This notice included a summary of the petition prepared by the petitioner. There were no comments received in response to the notice of filing.

The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.1001(c) be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of