significantly or uniquely affect small governments.

## Executive Order 13132, "Federalism"

The Director of Administration and Management, Office of the Secretary of Defense, hereby certifies that the Privacy Act rules for the Department of Defense do not have federalism implications. The rules do not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 806b

Privacy.

32 CFŘ part 806b is amended as follows;

# PART 806b—AIR FORCE PRIVACY ACT PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 806b continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Pub. L. 93–579, 88 Stat. 1896 (5 U.S.C. 552a).

2. Appendix C to section 806b is amended by revising paragraph a.(3) and paragraph b.(6) to read as follows:

# Appendix C to Part 806b—General and Specific Exemptions

- a. General exemptions.\* \* \*
- (3) System identifier and name: F031 AF SP E, Security Forces Management Information System (SFMIS).
- (i) Exemption: Parts of this system may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) if the information is compiled and maintained by a component of the agency which performs as its principle function any activity pertaining to the enforcement of criminal laws. Portions of this system of records may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) from the following subsections of 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3), (c)(4), (d), (e)(1), (e)(2), (e)(3), (e)(4)(G), (H) and (I), (e)(5), (e)(8), (f), and (g).
- (ii) Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2).
- (iii) Reasons: (A) To protect ongoing investigations and to protect from access criminal investigation information contained in this record system, so as not to jeopardize any subsequent judicial or administrative process taken as a result of information contained in the file.
- (B) From subsection (c)(3) because the release of the disclosure accounting, for disclosures pursuant to the routine uses published for this system, would permit the subject of a criminal investigation or matter under investigation to obtain valuable information concerning the nature of that investigation which will present a serious impediment to law enforcement.
- (C) From subsection (c)(4) because an exemption is being claimed for subsection (d), this subsection will not be applicable.
- (D) From subsection (d) because access to the records contained in this system would inform the subject of an investigation of the

- existence of that investigation, provide the subject of the investigation with information that might enable him to avoid detection, and would present a serious impediment to law enforcement.
- (E) From subsection (e)(4)(H) because this system of records is exempt from individual access pursuant to subsection (j) of the Privacy Act of 1974.
- (F) From subsection (f) because this system of records has been exempted from the access provisions of subsection (d).
- (G) Consistent with the legislative purpose of the Privacy Act of 1974, the Department of the Air Force will grant access to nonexempt material in the records being maintained. Disclosure will be governed by the Department of the Air Force's Privacy Instruction, but will be limited to the extent that the identity of confidential sources will not be compromised; subjects of an investigation of an actual or potential violation will not be alerted to the investigation; the physical safety of witnesses, informants and law enforcement personnel will not be endangered, the privacy of third parties will not be violated; and that the disclosure would not otherwise impede effective law enforcement. Whenever possible, information of the above nature will be deleted from the requested documents and the balance made available. The controlling principle behind this limited access is to allow disclosures except those indicated above. The decisions to release information from these systems will be made on a caseby-case basis
  - b. \* \* \*
- (6) System identifier and name: F44 AF SG Q, Family Advocacy Program Records.
- (i) Exemption: (A) Investigative material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than material within the scope of subsection 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2). However, if an individual is denied any right, privilege, or benefit for which he would otherwise be entitled by Federal law or for which he would otherwise be eligible, as a result of the maintenance of the information, the individual will be provided access to the information exempt to the extent that disclosure would reveal the identify of a confidential source. NOTE: When claimed, this exemption allows limited protection of investigative reports maintained in a system of records used in personnel or administrative actions.
- (B) Investigative material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for federal civilian employment, military service, federal contracts, or access to classified information may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5), but only to the extent that such material would reveal the identity of a confidential source.
- (C) Therefore, portions of the system of records may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) and (d), but only to the extent that disclosure would reveal the identity of a confidential source.
- (ii) Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) and (k)(5).
- (iii) Reasons: From subsections (c)(3) and (d) because the exemption is needed to

encourage those who know of exceptional medical or educational conditions or family maltreatments to come forward by protecting their identities and to protect such sources from embarrassment or recriminations, as well as to protect their right to privacy. It is essential that the identities of all individuals who furnish information under an express promise of confidentiality be protected. Granting individuals access to information relating to criminal and civil law enforcement, as well as the release of certain disclosure accounting, could interfere with ongoing investigations and the orderly administration of justice, in that it could result in the concealment, alteration, destruction, or fabrication of information; could hamper the identification of offenders or alleged offenders and the disposition of charges; and could jeopardize the safety and well being of parents and their children. Exempted portions of this system also contain information considered relevant and necessary to make a determination as to qualifications, eligibility, or suitability for Federal employment and Federal contracts, and that was obtained by providing an express or implied promise to the source that his or her identity would not be revealed to the subject of the record.

Dated: October 23, 2001.

# L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01–27186 Filed 10–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 117 [CGD07-01-127]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; SR 84 Bridge, South Fork of the New River, Mile 4.4, Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District, has approved a temporary deviation from the regulations governing the operation of the SR 84 Bridge across the South Fork of the New River, mile 4.4, Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. This deviation allows the drawbridge owner or operator to not open the Bridge from October 29, 2001 to November 3, 2001. This temporary deviation is required to allow the bridge owner to safely complete repairs of the Bridge.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from October 29, 2001 to November 3, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Barry Dragon, Chief, Operations Section, Seventh Coast Guard District, Bridge Section at (305) 415–6743.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The SR 84 Bridge across the South Fork of the New River at Ft. Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida is a single leaf bridge with a vertical clearance of 21.0 feet above mean high water (MHW) measured at the fenders in the closed position with a horizontal clearance of 40 feet. On October 2, 2001, the Florida Department of Transportation, the drawbridge owner, requested a deviation from the current operating regulation in 33 CFR 117.315(b) which requires the draw of the SR 84 Bridge, mile 4.4 at Fort Lauderdale, to open on signal if at least 24 hours notice is given. Public vessels of the Unites States, regularly scheduled cruise vessels, tugs with tows, and vessels in distress shall be passed through the draw as soon as possible. This temporary deviation was requested to allow necessary repairs to the drawbridge in a critical time sensitive manner.

The District Commander has granted a temporary deviation from the operating requirements listed in 33 CFR 117.315(b) to complete repairs to the drawbridge. Under this deviation, the SR 84 Bridge need not open from October 29, 2001 through November 3, 2001, except in the event of an emergency with 24 hours advance notification.

Dated: October 25, 2001.

#### Greg E. Shapley,

Chief, Bridge Administration, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 01–27386 Filed 10–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Forest Service** 

36 CFR Part 242

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 100

Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska, Subpart D; Emergency Closures and Adjustments—Kuskokwim River Drainage

**AGENCIES:** Forest Service, USDA; Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Emergency closures and adjustments.

SUMMARY: This provides notice of the Federal Subsistence Board's in-season management actions to protect chinook and chum salmon escapement in the Kuskokwim River drainage. These regulatory adjustments and the closures provide an exception to the Subsistence Management Regulations for Public Lands in Alaska, published in the Federal Register on February 13, 2001. Those regulations established seasons, harvest limits, methods, and means relating to the taking of fish and shellfish for subsistence uses during the 2001 regulatory year.

**DATES:** The fourth Kuskokwim River drainage closure and regulatory adjustment was effective June 17, 2001, through June 19, 2001, for Districts 1 and 2. The fifth Kuskokwim River drainage closure and regulatory adjustment was effective June 24, 2001, through June 26, 2001, for Districts 1 and 2. The sixth Kuskokwim River drainage closure and regulatory adjustment was effective July 1, 2001, through July 10, 2001, for Districts 1 and 2. The seventh Kuskokwim River drainage closure and regulatory adjustment was effective July 11, 2001, through July 31, 2001, for Districts 1 and 2. The eighth Kuskokwim River drainage closure and regulatory adjustment was effective July 27, 2001, through July 31, 2001, for Districts 1 and

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas H. Boyd, Office of Subsistence Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, telephone (907) 786–3888. For questions specific to National Forest System lands, contact Ken Thompson, Subsistence Program Manager, USDA— Forest Service, Alaska Region, telephone (907) 786–3592.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

Title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) (16 U.S.C. 3111-3126) requires that the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture (Secretaries) implement a joint program to grant a preference for subsistence uses of fish and wildlife resources on public lands in Alaska, unless the State of Alaska enacts and implements laws of general applicability that are consistent with ANILCA and that provide for the subsistence definition, preference, and participation specified in Sections 803, 804, and 805 of ANILCA. In December 1989, the Alaska Supreme Court ruled that the rural preference in the State subsistence statute violated the Alaska Constitution

and, therefore, negated State compliance with ANILCA.

The Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture (Departments) assumed, on July 1, 1990, responsibility for implementation of Title VIII of ANILCA on public lands. The Departments administer Title VIII through regulations at Title 50, Part 100 and Title 36, Part 242 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Consistent with Subparts A, B, and C of these regulations, as revised January 8, 1999, (64 FR 1276), the Departments established a Federal Subsistence Board to administer the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Board's composition includes a Chair appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture; the Alaska Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; the Alaska Regional Director, National Park Service; the Alaska State Director, Bureau of Land Management; the Alaska Regional Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs; and the Alaska Regional Forester, USDA Forest Service. Through the Board, these agencies participate in the development of regulations for Subparts A, B, and C, which establish the program structure and determine which Alaska residents are eligible to take specific species for subsistence uses, and the annual Subpart D regulations, which establish seasons, harvest limits, and methods and means for subsistence take of species in specific areas. Subpart D regulations for the 2001 fishing seasons, harvest limits, and methods and means were published on February 13, 2001, (66 FR 10142). Because this rule relates to public lands managed by an agency or agencies in both the Departments of Agriculture and the Interior, identical closures and adjustments would apply to 36 CFR part 242 and 50 CFR part 100.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), under the direction of the Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF), manages sport, commercial, personal use, and State subsistence harvest on all lands and waters throughout Alaska. However, on Federal lands and waters, the Federal Subsistence Board implements a subsistence priority for rural residents as provided by Title VIII of ANILCA. In providing this priority, the Board may, when necessary, preempt State harvest regulations for fish or wildlife on Federal lands and waters.

These emergency closures (restricted subsistence fishing schedules) and adjustments were necessary because of predictions of extremely weak returns of chinook and chum salmon in the Kuskokwim River drainage. These