INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-365-366 and 731-TA-734-735 (Review)]

Pasta From Italy and Turkey

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of expedited fiveyear reviews concerning the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on pasta from Italy and Turkey.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on pasta from Italy and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 4, 2001. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Debra Baker (202-205-3180), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 4, 2001, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (66 FR 29831, June 1, 2001) were adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. Accordingly,

the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on October 15, 2001, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written Submissions

As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before October 17, 2001, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by October 17, 2001. However, should Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI

service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: September 27, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–24668 Filed 10–2–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on May 8, 2001, Cambridge Isotope Lab, 50 Frontage Road, Andover, Massachusetts 01810, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Methaqualone (2565)	

The firm plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substances to produce isotope labeled standards for drug analysis.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any

individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by American Italian Pasta Co., Borden Foods Corp., Dakota Growers Pasta Co., and New World Pasta Co. (all U.S. producers of pasta); by Molisana U.S., Inc. and Rienzi & Sons, Inc. (both U.S. importers of Italian product); and by La Molisana Industrie Alimentari S.p.A. and N. Puglisi & F. Industria Paste Alimentari S.p.A. (both producers in Italy of pasta) to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than December 3, 2001.

Dated: September 25, 2001.

Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-24641 Filed 10-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on April 16, 2001, Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Attn: Regulatory Compliance, 9115 Hague Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46250, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of Alphamethadol (9605), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule I.

Roche Diagnostics Corporation plans to manufacture small quantities of the above listed controlled substances for incorporation in drug of abuse detection kits.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration.

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistance Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR), and must be filed no later than December 3, 2001.

Dated: September 24, 2001.

Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–24642 Filed 10–2–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0069(2001)]

Commercial Diving-Operations Standards (29 CFR part 1910, subpart T); Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's Approval of Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits comments concerning its request to increase the total burden-hour estimate for, and to extend OMB approval of, the collection-of-information requirements specified by the Commercial Diving-Operations Standards (29 CFR part 1910, subpart T).¹ These standards specify paperwork requirements for equipment and procedures that expose employees to hazards associated with diving and diving-support operations, and that apply to general industry, construction, ship repairing, shipbuilding, shipbreaking, and longshoring.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before December 3, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR–1218–0069(2001), OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to (202) 693–1648.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Theda Kenney, Directorate of Safety Standards Programs, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2222. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified by the Commercial-Diving Operations Standards is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Theda Kenney at (202) 693–2222 or Todd Owen at (202) 693-2444. For electronic copies of the ICR, contact OSHA on the Internet at

http://www.osha.gov and select "Information Collection Requests."

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA's estimate of the informationcollection burden is correct.

The following provisions of the Commercial-Diving Operations Standards (the "Standards") contain paperwork requirements: §§ 1910.401(b); 1910.410(a)(3) and (a)(4); 1910.420(a) and (b); 1910.421(b), (f), and (h); 1910.422(e); 1910.423(b)(1)(ii) through (b)(2), (d), and (e); 1910.430(a), (b)(4), (c)(1)(i), (c)(3)(i), (f)(3)(ii), and (g)(2); and 1910.440(a)(2) and (b). These provisions ensure that employers: Notify OSHA if they deviate from the operational requirements of the Standards; train every diver in cardiopulmonary resuscitation and first aid, and mixed-gas divers (and those who control exposure of divers to mixed-gas breathing conditions) in diving-related physics and physiology; develop and make available to employees a safe-practices manual; maintain a list of emergency telephone or call numbers at the diving location; brief dive-team members on divingrelated tasks, safety procedures, hazards, and revisions to operating procedures; display a code flag "A" if diving from a surface other than a vessel in navigable waters; develop and maintain a depth-time profile for each dive; and instruct divers on reporting diving-related illnesses and injuries, and the procedures specified for detecting, treating, and preventing these problems.

The Standards also mandate that employers: Record and maintain diving logs that contain required information; investigate, and provide a written evaluation of, any incident involving decompression sickness; mark diving umbilicals as required; inspect, test, and calibrate specified diving equipment; record modifications, repairs, tests, calibrations, and maintenance performed on any diving equipment; make a record of diving-related injuries and illnesses that result in a diver

¹ Based on its assessment of the paperwork requirements contained in these standards, the Agency estimates that the total burden hours increased compared to its previous burden-hour estimate. Under this notice, OSHA is *not* proposing to revise these paperwork requirements in any substantive manner, only to increase the burden hours imposed by the existing paperwork requirements.