requirement of less than approximately two fiscal periods' expenses. The Committee believes that by suspending the assessment rate for at least the next two fiscal periods, the operating reserve should be lowered to an amount consistent with the program. Based on Committee projections, the current reserve of \$59,579 will be reduced to about \$44,879 by the end of the 2001–02 fiscal period, and to about \$30,179 by the end of the 2002–03 fiscal period.

Prior to recommending the suspension of the continuing assessment rate, the Committee discussed alternatives, including its earlier recommended assessment rate of \$0.005 per hundredweight. However, the Committee concurred with the Department's position that a suspension of the assessment rate is viable since it could rely on its reserve and other income to meet budgeted expenses, and that such a suspension would expedite the reduction of the reserve. Another alternative considered by the Committee was to refund the portion of the reserve that is over that permitted by the order directly to handlers of record. Because many of the handlers assessed in prior years are no longer in business, the Committee concluded this would not be equitable.

This action will reduce handler costs by almost \$9,000 (448,750 hundredweight of assessable potatoes × the current rate of assessment of \$0.02) during the 2001-02 fiscal period, as no assessment will be collected. Suspension of the assessment rate will reduce the burden on handlers, and may reduce the burden on producers. In addition, the Committee's meetings were widely publicized throughout the Colorado Area III potato industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meetings and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the May 10 and July 19, 2001, meetings were open to the public and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This action imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Colorado Area III potato handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that

duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that the continuing assessment rate established at 64 FR 48081 no longer tends to effectuate the declared policy of the Act. The suspension shall continue in effect until an assessment rate is reinstated by the Secretary, upon recommendation and information submitted by the Committee, or other available information.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because: (1) This action relieves restrictions on handlers by suspending the assessment rate beginning with the 2001-02 fiscal period; (2) the 2001-02 fiscal period began on July 1, 2001, and this action should be effective as soon as possible; (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting; and (4) this interim final rule provides a 60-day comment period, and all comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 948

Marketing agreements, Potatoes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 948 is amended as follows:

PART 948—IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN COLORADO

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 948 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

§ 948.215 [Suspended]

2. In Part 948, \S 948.215 is suspended in its entirety.

Dated: September 17, 2001.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

 $Acting \ Administrator, A gricultural \ Marketing \\ Service.$

[FR Doc. 01–23655 Filed 9–24–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 3410–02–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00-AEA-15]

Amendment to Class E Airspace, Seneca Falls, NY

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects an error in the geographic coordinates of a final rule that was published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2001, Airspace Docket No. 00–AEA–15. The final rule established Class E airspace at Seneca Falls, NY.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 25, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Francis T. Jordan, Jr., Airspace Specialist, Airspace Branch, AEA–520 F.A.A. Eastern Region, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, NY 11434–4809; telephone: (718) 553–4521.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

Federal Register Document 01–7420, Airspace Docket No. 00–AEA–15, published on April 13, 2001 (66 FR 19082), established Class E airspace at Seneca Falls, NY. An error was discovered in the geographic coordinates for the Finger Lakes Regional Airport. This action corrects those errors.

Correction to Final Rule

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the geographic coordinates for the Seneca Lake Regional Airport as published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2001 (66 FR 19082) are corrected as follows:

§71.1 [Amended]

On page 19083, column 2, in the airspace designation for Seneca Falls, NY, third line, correct "(lat. 42°52′38.58 N/long. 76°46.54′ W)" to read "(lat. 42°52′59″ N/long. 76°46′54″ W)"

Issued in Jamaica, New York on September 10, 2001.

F.D. Hatfield,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Eastern Region. [FR Doc. 01–23944 Filed 9–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD05-01-058]

RIN 2115-AE46

Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; Sunset Lake, Wildwood Crest, NJ

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is adopting temporary special local regulations during the Sunset Lake Hydrofest to be held on the waters of Sunset Lake near Wildwood Crest, New Jersey. These special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in portions of Sunset Lake during the event.

DATES: This rule is effective from 8 a.m. eastern time on October 6, 2001 until 6:30 p.m. eastern time on October 7, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials received from the public as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD05–01–058 and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (Aoax), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704–5004, between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chief Warrant Officer Geoffrey Pagels, Marine Events Coordinator, Commander, Coast Guard Group Atlantic City, telephone number (609) 677–2228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. In keeping with 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM. The high-speed power boat races will take place on October 6 and 7, 2001. The special local regulations are necessary to provide for the safety of

event participants, support vessels, spectator craft and other vessels transiting the event area. For the safety concerns noted, it is in the public interest to have these regulations in effect during the event. In addition, advance notifications will be made via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and area newspapers.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective in less than 30 days. For the safety concerns noted, it is in the public interest to have these regulations in effect during the event. In addition, the Coast Guard has experience delays in the mails due to the terrorist attack in Washington, D.C., making it impossible to get this document to the **Federal Register** 30 days prior to the event.

Background and Purpose

On October 6 and 7, 2001, the Sunset Lake Hydrofest Association will sponsor the Sunset Lake Hydrofest on the waters of Sunset Lake, near Wildwood Crest, New Jersey. The event will consist of approximately 80 inboard hydroplanes, Jersey Speed Skiffs and flat-bottom Ski boats racing in heats counter-clockwise around an oval racecourse. A fleet of 50 to 100 spectator vessels is anticipated. Due to the need for vessel control during the races, vessel traffic will be temporarily restricted to provide for the safety of spectators, participants and transiting vessels.

Discussion of Regulations

The Coast Guard is establishing temporary special local regulations on specified waters of the Sunset Lake. The temporary special local regulations will be in enforced from 8 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. eastern time on October 6 and 7, 2001, and will restrict general navigation in the regulated area during the event. Except for participants and vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area. These regulations are needed to control vessel traffic during the event to enhance the safety of participants, spectators and transiting vessels.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of

the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a portion of Sunset Lake during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant due to the limited duration of the regulation and the extensive advance notifications that will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and area newspapers, so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in the effected portions of Sunset Lake during the event.

Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting or anchoring in a portion of Sunset Lake during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant because of its limited duration and the extensive advance notifications that will be made to the maritime community via the Local Notice to Mariners, marine information broadcasts, and area newspapers, so mariners can adjust their plans accordingly.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this temporary rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the address listed under ADDRESSES.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to