Line, COE Section 404, US Coast Guard and NPDES Permits, Limestone, Morgan, Madison, Jackson, Marshall, Dekalb and Cherokee Counties, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed objections to alternatives 3 and 4 because of extensive potential impacts to wetlands and numerous stream crossings. EPA expressed concerns for the other four alternatives and requested additional information regarding wetlands; stream crossings; and secondary and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. D–FHW–H40172–MO Rating LO, U.S. Route 67 Corridor Project, Improvements from South of Fredericktown to the South of Neelyville, Madison, Wayne and Butler Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA lacks objections to the project as described and only offered comments to assist the lead agency in enhancing the final EIS.

ERP No. D-NRC-E06021-FL Rating EC2, Generic EIS—License Renewal of Nuclear Power Plants, Supplement 5 Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 (NUREG– 1437), Operating License Renewal, Biscayne Bay, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about the project, and more information is needed to fully assess the impacts. In particular, clarification of impacts from cooling ponds, and information on compliance with 40 CFR part 112 warrant further discussion in the Final GSEIS.

ERP No. DA-NOA-E91007-00 Rating LO, South Atlantic Region Shrimp Fishery Management Plan, Amendment 5, Additional Information concerning Rock Shrimp in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), NC, SC, FL and GA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to proposed Amendment 5. EPA believes that the amendment will benefit the rock shrimp fishery and generally agrees with the proposed management actions and/or an action option. EPA requested that the NMFS/South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council ensure that the managed fishery yield (OY/MSY) be optimal from an ecological aspect.

ERP No. DS-FHW-E40780-NC Rating EC2, US-1 Transportation Improvements, Updated Information, From Sandhill Road (NC 1971) to just North of Fox Road (NC 1606) to Marston Road (NC 1001) Associated with this Extension, Funding, and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Rockingham, Richmond County, NC.

Summary: Analysis of the NC–177 Alternative addresses EPA's earlier concerns. Additional work is needed to define the location and configuration of interchanges in order to minimize wetland impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BPA-L08054-OR, Condon Wind Project, To Execute One or More Power Purchase and Transmission Services Agreements To Acquire and Transmit up to the Full Electrical Output, NPDES Permits and Right-of-Way Permit for Public Land, Gilliam County, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-D40268-VA, VA-37 Highway Transportation Improvement, Construction from VA-37/I-81/US-11 (south) to VA-37/US-11 (north), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Winchester, Frederick County, VA.

Summary: While many of EPA's comments on an earlier draft were incorporated, EPA continues to express concerns regarding potential secondary and cumulative impacts from the preferred alternative to the natural and cultural environment including two National Register Historic Districts and one Civil War battlefield.

ERP No. F–FHW–F40384–MI, Boardman River Crossing Mobility Study, Improve the East-West Mobility across the Boardman River, COE Permit, Traverse City and Grand Traverse County, MI.

Summary: EPA concurs with the selected alternative provided that all feasible mitigation measures are implemented. EPA retains concerns regarding storm water, habitat, and wetlands.

ERP No. F–FHW–F40391–IL, Illinois Route 3 (FAP–14) Relocation, Improved Transportation from Sauget to Venice, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Madison and St. Clair Counties, IL.

Summary: EPA's concerns at the draft stage have been adequately addressed in the Final EIS.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40463-IN, IN-145 New Road Construction, Funding, IN-37 and the existing I-64 Interchange near St. Croix in Perry County to the east junction of IN-64 and IN-145 in Crawford County, IN.

Summary: EPA retains its environmental objections because the FEIS: (1) does not present adequate substantiation for the stated Purpose and Need, (2) does not provide a full and equal evaluation of Alternative 4, (3) does not provide adequate mitigation for the loss of 61.21 acres of forested upland habitat, and (4) lacks a wetland mitigation plan.

ERP No. F–FHW–K40230–CA, CA–58 Transportation Corridor, Route Adoption and Purchases Right-of-Way Acquisition Project, between CA–99 in the Bakerfield Metropolitan Area and Interstate 5 in Kern County, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Kern County, CA.

Summary: EPA's review found that, while the majority of the issues raised earlier by EPA were addressed in the FEIS, EPA has continuing concerns regarding the indirect impacts and cumulative impacts analyses. EPA recommended that EPA, FHWA, and Caltrans work closely together on the development of these analyses for Tier II of the project.

ERP No. F–FTA–K40209–CA, Mid-Coast Corridor Mass Transit Improvement Project, Funding, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA's review found that the document adequately addresses the issues raised in our previous comment letter.

ERP No. F-TVA-E65057-00, Guntersville Reservoir Land Management Plan, Implementation, Proposal to Update a 1983 Land Allocation Plan, Jackson and Marshall Counties, AL and Marion County, TN.

Summary: EPA is concerned that some of the proposed development projects allowed by TVA's proposed Blended Alternative (B3) could impact Guntersville Reservoir and would result in less conservation areas.

Dated: September 18, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–23642 Filed 9–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(ER-FRL-6621-8)

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/ocea/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed September 3, 2001 Through September 7, 2001

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Because of our nation's tragedy, the Environmental Protection Agency Notice of Availability of Environmental Impact Statements for the week of September 3 through September 7, 2001, which should have appeared in the **Federal Register** on September 14, 2001, is being published in the September 21, 2001 **Federal Register**. All Comment and Wait Periods for EISs filed during the week of September 3 through September 7 are calculated from 09/14/2001, unless otherwise indicated.

- EIS No. 010337, FINAL EIS, AFS, ID, Spruce Moose and Moose Lake Rightof-Way Analysis Area, Implementation, Timber Harvesting, Road Construction, Reforestation and Watershed Restoration, Clearwater National Forest, Lochsa Ranger District, Idaho County, ID, Wait Period Ends: October 09, 2001, Contact: Cynthia A. Lane (208) 926– 4275. This FEIS should have appeared in the **Federal Register** on 09/07/ 2001. The 30-Day Wait Period is Calculated from 09/07/2001.
- EIS No. 010338, FINAL EIS, FHW, TX, Tyler Loop 49 West, Construction from the TX–155 Highway to I–20 Highway, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Smith County, TX, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: Paul Clutts (512) 536– 5968.
- EIS No. 010339, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Asarco Rock Creek Copper and Silver Mining Construction and Operation Project, Plan of Operations Approval, Special Use Permit(s), Road Use Permit, Mineral Material Permit, Timber Sale Contract and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Kootenai National Forest, Sanders County, MT, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: John McKay (406) 293-6211. This document is available on the Internet at: DEQ: http:// www.deg.state.mt.us/eis.asp and KNF: http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/ kootenai.
- EIS No. 010340, FINAL EIS, NPS, OK, Washita Battlefield National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Implementation, Roger Mill County, OK, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: Sarah Craighead (580) 497–2742.
- EIS No. 010341, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Shore 'Nuf Timber Sale, a proposal for Harvesting Timber on the Detroit Ranger District, Willamette National Forest, Linn and Marion Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: October 29, 2001, Contact: Jim Romero (503) 854–4212.
- EIS No. 010342, FINAL EIS, BLM, NV, Reno Clay Plant Project, Construct and Operate an Open-Pit Clay Mine and Ore Processing Facility, Plan-of-Operations, Oil-Dri Corporation of Nevada, Hungry Valley, Washoe County, NV, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: Terri Knutson (775) 885–6156. This document is available on the Internet at: www.nv.blm.gov/carson.
- EIS No. 010343, Final Supplemental, FHW, IL, FAP Route 340

Transportation Project, Construction from I–55 to I–80, Funding, US Coast Guard Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Cook, Dupage and Will Counties, IL, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: Norman R. Stoner (217) 492–4640.

- EIS No. 010344, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Woodpecker Project Area, Timber Harvesting, Dispered Recreation Opportunities and Watershed Improvements, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Petersburg Ranger District, Mitkof Islands, Petersburg, AK, Wait Period Ends: October 15, 2001, Contact: Cynthia Sever (907) 772–3871. This document is available on the Internet at: www.fs.fed.us/r10/Tongass.
- EIS No. 010345, Final Supplemental, COE, NC, Manteo (Shallowbay) Bay Project, Enlarging andDeepening Basin at Wanchese, Dare County, NC,Wait Period Ends: October 22, 2001, Contact:Glenda Ashford (404) 562–5222.
- EIS No. 010346, Draft Supplemental, COE, CA, American River Watershed Long-Term Study, UpdatedInformation, To Provide Flood Damage Reduction and Ecosystem Restoration, between Folsom Dam and the Sacramento River, Sacramento, Placer andSutter Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: October 29, 2001,Contact: Veronica Petrovsky (916) 557–7245.
- EIS No. 010347, Draft EIS, COE, Programmatic EISNationwide Permits Procedures Review and Examination,US Army Corps of Engineers Section 10 and 404 Permit Issuance,Comment Period Ends: October 29, 2001,Contact: Dr. Bob Brumbaugh (703) 428–7069.

Dated: September 18, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–23643 Filed 9–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7062-7]

Notice of Request for Proposals for Projects To Be Funded From the FY 02 Wetland ProgramDevelopment Cooperative Agreement Allocation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA Region 6 is soliciting proposals from State agencies, local

governments, and Tribes interested in applying for Federal assistance for the State/Tribal/Local Government Wetlands Protection Development Grant Program under the Clean Water Act section 104(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. 1254(b)(3) in the states of Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas. EPA Region 6 estimates \$1.2 million will be awarded to eligible applicants through assistance agreements. The State, Tribe or local government must provide a 25 percent (25%) match of the total costs of the project. 15 percent (15%) of the funding allocation will be targeted to support local and tribal initiatives.

DATES: EPA Region 6 will consider all proposals post marked by November 30, 2001. Proposals received after the due date will not be considered for funding, (no exceptions will be made). Once the proposal is approved for further funding consideration, applicants will be notified to submit a formal application.

ADDRESSES: Send proposals along with the cover sheet included in this notice to: Sondra McDonald (6WQ–AT), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sondra McDonald by telephone at 214– 665–7187 or by E-mail at *Mcdonald.sondra@epa.gov.* This solicitation notice can also be found at the Assistance Program Branch, State/ Tribal Programs Section web site: *www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/at/ sttribal.htm.* Or please refer to the National guidelines for the Wetlands Program Development Grants which are published in the September 5, 2001 **Federal Register** or can be viewed at the following web site: *http://www.epa.gov/ owow/wetlands/initiative/#financial.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

What Is the Purpose of This Request for Proposals?

The purpose of Wetland Development Grants is to assist States, Tribes, and LocalGovernments with developing new wetland programs or refining existing wetland programs, andNOT for operational support of wetland programs. Reviewers will pay special attention to the project's longevity and self-sustaining ability. Additional points may be given to implementation projects that actually demonstrate protection, restoration or enhancement of wetlands. If a proposal does not meet EPA Headquarters or Region 6 priorities, the proposal will not be ranked. The following types of projects will be considered for funding: