#### AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

10 U.S.C., Chapter 5, sections 151–155 and Chapter 6, section 165; and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

#### PURPOSE(S):

To be used by officials of the personnel divisions of the decentralized segments noted above in performing all administrative functions as appropriate with respect to personnel assigned; for monitoring and processing requests for manpower; for performing organizational and manpower reviews for the CINC; and for processing personnel actions requested by or required for the individual.

# ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

The DoD "Blanket Routine Uses" set forth at the beginning of the Joint Staff compilation of records system notices apply to this record system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

#### STORAGE:

Records exist on magnetic tape, diskette, and other machine-readable media.

# RETRIEVABILITY:

Retrieved by name, Social Security Number, and/or any combination of the data fields described in "Categories of Records."

# SAFEGUARDS:

Access to this record system is restricted to authorized personnel in performance of official duties. Entry into the system is controlled by password.

## RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records are deleted when no longer needed for current business. This is in accordance with Item 5, General Records Schedule 20.

# SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Joint Manpower Automation System Project Manager, Manpower Management Division, Manpower and Personnel Directorate, J–1, the Joint Staff, Washington, DC 20318–1000.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Joint Manpower Automation System Functional Manager, Manpower Management Division, Manpower and Personnel Directorate, J–1, the Joint Staff, Washington, DC 20318–1000.

#### **RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Joint Manpower Automation System Project Manager, Manpower Management Division, Manpower and Personnel Directorate, J–1, the Joint Staff, Washington, DC 20318–1000.

Written requests should include full name, Social Security Number, address, and signature of the requester.

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Joint Staff rules for accessing records and for contesting contents and appealing initial determinations are contained in OSD Administrative Instruction 81; Joint Administrative Instruction 2530.9A; 32 CFR part 311; or may be obtained from the system manager.

#### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Source of information is the individual and the individual's Official Personnel File.

#### **EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

[FR Doc. 01–23375 Filed 9–19–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary of Defense

Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA); Membership of the DTRA Performance Review Board (PRB)

**AGENCY:** Defense Threat Reduction Agency, Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of PRB membership.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the appointment of DTRA's PRB membership. The publication of the PRB membership is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4). The PRB shall provide fair and impartial review of Senior Executive Service performance appraisals and make recommendations regarding performance ratings and performance awards to the Director, Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of service for the appointees of the DTRA PRB is on or about September 27, 2001.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tana Farrell, Workforce Development Branch, (703) 767–5759, Defense Threat Reduction Agency, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Stop 6201, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060–6201.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the

officials appointed to serve as members of the DTRA PRB are set forth below:

PRB Chair: Mr. Robert L. Brittigan.
Member: Mr. Myron K. Kunka.
Member: Mr. Michael K. Evenson.
The following DTRA officials will

serve as alternate members of the DTRA PRB, as appropriate: Mr. Douglas Englund, Mr. Joe Golden, Mr. Richard Gullickson, Dr. Arthur Hopkins, Dr. Don Linger, Mr. Vayl Oxford, Ms. Joan Ma Pierre, Dr. Michael Shore, Ms. Ann Bridges Steely, Dr. Leon Wittwer.

Dated: September 13, 2001.

#### L.M. Bvnum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 01–23371 Filed 9–19–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Army

# Performance Review Boards Membership

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is given of the names of members of a Performance Review Board for the Department of the Army.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 14, 2001.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Stokes, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army, Manpower & Reserve Affairs, 111 Army, Washington, DC 20310–0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives. The members of the Performance Review Board for the US NATO Field Element (Army) are:

- 1. Mr. Sal Manno, Director of International Affairs, Office of the Secretary of Defense.
- 2. Mr. Al Volkman, Director, OUSD/AT&L Intl Programs Office of the Secretary of Defense.
- 3. Mr. Leo Michel, Director, NATO Policy, Office of the Secretary of Defense.
- 4. Mr. Pete Verga, Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy

Integration, Office of the Secretary of Defense.

#### Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 01–23519 Filed 9–19–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Encinitas/ Solana Beach Shoreline Protection and San Elijo Lagoon Environmental Restoration Feasibility Study, San Diego County, California

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice

**SUMMARY:** The Los Angeles District intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to support a cost-shared feasibility study with the Cities of Encinitas and Solana Beach, California, for shoreline protection and environmental restoration along the coastline of these two cities. The purpose of the feasibility study is to evaluate alternatives for reducing beach and shoreline erosion and investigate opportunities for environmental restoration in the San Elijo lagoon. Alternatives will include both structural and non-structural measures, and may include beneficial re-use of sand removed from the lagoon by placing it on the beach for shoreline protection. The EIS will analyze potential impacts of the recommended plan and a range of alternatives for lagoon environmental improvements.

ADDRESSES: Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, 911 Wilshire Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90053, Attn: Environmental Support Section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rey Farve, Project Ecologist (213) 452–3864, or Bruce Williams, Study Manager, (213) 452–3818.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authorization: House Public Works Transportation Committee Resolution dated May 13, 1993. The Army Corps of Engineers intends to prepare an EIS to assess the environmental effects associated with proposed erosion mitigating measures in the study area.

Study Area: The study area is located along the Pacific Ocean coastline in the Cities of Encinitas and Solana Beach, San Diego County, California. Encinitas is approximately 16 kilometers (10

miles) south of Oceanside Harbor, and 27 kilometers (17 miles) north of Point La Jolla. The City's shoreline, about 9.6 kilometers (6 miles) long, is bounded by Batiquitos Lagoon to the north and on the south by San Elijo Lagoon. Its southern, or downcoast, neighbor is the City of Solana Beach. Solana Beach is bounded by San Elijo Lagoon to the north and on the south by the City of Del Mar. The City's shoreline is about 3.2 kilometers (2 miles) long for a total of about 8 miles of study area shoreline. A major portion of the shoreline segment consists of narrow sand and cobble beaches fronting nearshore

Problems and Needs: A number of public concerns have been identified including:

- 1. Bluff erosion threatens property, mostly private residences atop the bluffs.
- 2. Public safety due to episodic bluff failure.
- 3. Closure of Old Highway 101 at Cardiff during storm events.
- 4. Bluff toe erosion and curtailed recreation activity resulting from eroded beach conditions.
- 5. Degradation of existing ecosystem at San Elijo Lagoon due to frequent closure of the lagoon entrance restricting tidal flushing and sedimentation in the lagoon reducing circulation and water area.

Proposed Action and Alternatives: The Los Angeles District will investigate and evaluate all reasonable alternatives to address the problems and needs identified above. In addition to the NO ACTION alternative, both structural (artificial reefs, toe protection, beach restoration and maintenance, bluff retention structures, drainage control, etc.) and non-structural (management) measures will be investigated. Environmental measures may also include structural and non-structural measure to improve tidal hydrology and restore and maintain a healthy ecosystem within the lagoon. This may include removal of sediment from the lagoon which will be tested for suitability for beach placement. If found suitable, this material may be placed on the beach for shoreline protection as beneficial re-use.

If not found suitable, the sediment will be removed to a different location, and the lagoon restoration project may then break off from the shoreline protection study and become an independent environmental restoration study for the remainder of the Feasibility phase.

Scoping: The scoping process is ongoing and has involved preliminary coordination with Federal, State, and

local agencies. A public scoping meeting is scheduled for 6:00 p.m. on October 3, at the city of Encinitas—City Hall—Poinsettia Room 505 S. Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, California. This information is being published in the local news media and a notice is being mailed to all parties on the study mailing list. The public will have an opportunity to express opinions and raise any issues relating to the scope of the Feasibility Study and the Environmental Impact Report. The public as well as Federal, State, and local agencies are encouraged to participate by submitting data, information, and comments identifying relevant environmental and socioeconomic issues to be addressed in the study. Useful information includes other environmental studies, published an unpublished data, alternatives that could be addressed in the analysis, and potential mitigation measures associated with the proposed action. All comments enter into the public record. You may also submit your concerns in writing to the city or the Los Angeles District at the address above. Comments, suggestions, and requests to be placed on the mailing list for announcements should be sent to Bruce M. Williams, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District, P.O. Box 532711, Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325, Attn: CESPL-PD, or e-mail to bwilliams@spl.usace.army.mil.

Availability of the Draft EIS: The Draft EIS is scheduled to be published and circulated in November, 2003, and a public hearing to receive comments on the Draft EIS will be held after it is published.

Dated: September 7, 2001.

#### Richard G. Thompson,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer. [FR Doc. 01–23520 Filed 9–19–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710–KF–M