#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,955]

# J.A. Thurston Co., Inc., Now Known as Saunders Brothers Rumford, Rumford, ME; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on August 28, 2000, applicable to workers of J.A. Thurston Co., Inc., Rumford, Maine. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 22, 2000 (65 FR 57386).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of dowels. The company reports that in April, 2001, Saunders Brothers purchased J.A. Thurston Co., Inc., and became known as Saunders Brothers Rumford.

Information also shows that workers separated from employment at the subject firm, had their wages reported under a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account for Saunders Brothers Rumford.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification determination to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of J.A. Thurston Co., Inc., now known as Saunders Brothers Rumford who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–37,955 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of J.A. Thurston Co., Inc., now known as Saunders Brothers Rumford, Rumford, Maine who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 4, 1999, through August 28, 2002, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 13th day of August, 2001.

# Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-21841 Filed 8-28-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39, 364]

## Spartan International Rosemont Plant, Jonesville, South Carolina; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 4, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Spartan International Rosemont Plant, Jonesville, South Carolina.

This case is being terminated because the Department was unable to locate an official of the Company to obtain the information necessary to issue a determination. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of August, 2001.

#### Linda G. Poole

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21843 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 4510–30–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,358 and NAFTA-4241]

## Tower Automotive, Kalamazoo, Michigan; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 30, 2001, the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW), requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and North American Free Trade Agreement—Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notices were signed on January 31, 2001, and published in the Federal Register on March 2, 2001 (66 FR

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

 If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous; (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the

decision.

The UAW asserts that for the NAFTA-TAA petition denial, the finding that the customers of Tower Automotive did not import stampings is incorrect. The UAW states that Ford Motor Company was one of the major customers and acknowledges moving work, including 72 different dies for metal stamped components, from the Kalamazoo facility to Hermosillo, Mexico. The UAW states that Ford reports that the parts made in Mexico are being used in the production of a non-U.S. market automobile. Further, the UAW believes that some portion of the Mexican parts production is being imported for use in the U.S. market, and that a survey should be conducted for each of those 72 components.

The Department issued the NAFTA-TAA denial to workers producing metal stampings at Tower Automotive, based on the finding that the subject firm did not shift production of those articles from Kalamazoo, Michigan, to Mexico or Canada, nor did the company or customers import articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers. If Ford did move the stamping production to Mexico, that is not a basis for certifying the Tower Automotive workers. Only if those stampings were being returned to the U.S. from Mexico could the worker group be certified for NAFTA-TAA. The survey of the major customers of the subject firm showed that none imported metal stampings from Canada or Mexico in 1999 or 2000. The survey conducted included articles like or directly competitive with those made by the workers at the subject firm and would include the articles made with the 72 dies cited by the UAW.

The UAW asserts that for the TAA petition denial, the Department was incorrect in basing the failure to meet criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, solely on the finding that the company did not import metal stampings. The Department concurs with the UAW on this issue. The decision document for [TA-W-38,385] failed to include the results of the customer survey used for the petition investigation for [NAFTA-4241]. The Department's NAFTA customer survey asked the respondents to provide information not limited to import purchases of metal stampings from

Mexico or Canada, but additionally, all other import purchases. The inclusion of this information would not have reversed the findings for criterion (3).

The UAW also submitted import data for automobiles that they believe are like or directly competitive with the Ford Escort, the automobile for which the Tower Automotive supplied parts. Under the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department is required to examine the imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the workers' firm. Consequently, for both the TAA and NAFTA-TAA petitions, the Department does not consider automobiles to be like or directly competitive with the stampings produced by the workers at Tower Automotive, Kalamazoo, Michigan.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 8th day of August 2001.

#### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21848 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[NAFTA-4241]

Tower Automotive, Kalamazoo, Michigan; Affirmative Finding Regarding Qualification as a Secondarily Affected Worker Group Pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action Accompanying the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act

The Department of Labor herein presents the results of an investigation regarding qualification as a secondarily-impacted firm, pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action accompany the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Implementation Act.

In order for an affirmative finding to be made, the following requirements must be met:

(1) The subject firm must be a supplier—such as of components,

unfinished or semifinished goods—to a firm that is directly affected by imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by that firm or shifts in production of such articles to those countries; or

(2) The subject firm must assemble or finish products made by a directly-impacted firm; and

(3) The loss of business with the directly-affected firm must have contributed importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

The investigation revealed that requirements (1) and (3) are met.

The workers of Tower Automotive, Kalamazoo, Michigan, produced metal stampings.

Evidence revealed that the major customer for which the subject firm supplies stampings shifted production to Mexico to serve that market.

Based on this evidence, I determine that workers of Tower Automotive, Kalamazoo, Michigan, qualify as secondarily affected pursuant to the Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act.

For further information on assistance under Title I of the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) which may be available to workers included under this determination, contact: Mr. John S. Palmer, Jr., Deputy Director, Workforce Programs, Michigan Department of Career Development, 201 N. Washington Square, Victor Office Center, 7th Floor, Lansing, Michigan 48913.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 8th day of August 2001.

## Edward A. Tomchick,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21849 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30-M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39, 667]

# Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. Wheeling, West Virginia; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on July 23, 2001, in response to a petition filed by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of workers at Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel, Corp., Wheeling, West Virginia, Beech Bottom, West Virginia, Allenport, Pennsylvania, Steubenville, Ohio, Martins Ferry, Ohio, and Yorkville, Ohio.

The petitioning group of workers, in addition to the Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel, Corp. workers in Beech Bottom, West Virginia, Allenport, Pennsylvania, Steubenville, Ohio, Martins Ferry, Ohio, and Yorkville, Ohio, are subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued [TA–W–39, 015]. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of August 2001.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01–21842 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,353]

# Double Springs Corp., Garment Corporation of America, Double Springs, Alabama; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 29, 2001, applicable to workers of Double Springs Corp., Double Springs, Alabama. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 2001 (66 FR 38026).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of work shirts. Information received from the company shows that the Garment Corporation of America is the parent firm of Double Springs Corp., Double Springs, Alabama. Information also shows that some workers separated from employment at the subject firm had their wages reported under a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account for Garment Corporation of America.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Double Springs Corp., Double Springs, Alabama who were adversely affected by increased imports of work shirts.