

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01-20739 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title, Form, and OMB Number: Individual MCJROTC Instructor Evaluation Summary; NAVMC Form 10942; OMB Number 0703-0016.

Type of Request: Reinstatement.

Number of Respondents: 440.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 440.

Average Burden Per Response: 30 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 220.

Needs and Uses: This form provides a written record of the overall performance of duty of Marine instructors who are responsible for implementing the Marine Corps Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (MCJROTC). The individual MCJROTC Instructor Evaluation Summary is completed by principals to evaluate the effectiveness of individual Marine instructors. The form is further used as a performance related counseling tool and as a record of service performance to document performance and growth of individual Marine instructors.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C.

Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer

for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01-20740 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Federal Acquisition Supplement (DFARS) 223.570, Drug-Free Work Force, and DFARS Clause 252.223-7004, Drug-Free Work Force; OMB Number 0704-0336.

Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 15,152.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 15,152

(Recordkeeping Only).

Average Burden Per Response: 54

Hours (Average Recordkeeping:

Established and Start-up).

Annual Burden Hours: 821,052

(Recordkeeping).

Needs and Uses: This information collection requires Department of Defense (DoD) contractors to maintain records regarding drug-free work force programs provided to contractor employees. The information is used to ensure reasonable efforts to eliminate the unlawful use of controlled substances by contractor employees. DFARS Section 223.570, Drug-Free Work Force, and the associated clause at DFARS 252.223-7004, Drug-Free Work Force, require that DoD contractors institute and maintain programs for achieving the objective of a drug-free work force, but do not require contractors to submit information to the Government. This information

collection requirement reflects the public burden of maintaining records related to a drug-free work force program.

Affected Public: Business or Other For-Profit; Not-For-Profit Institutions.

Frequency: This is a requirement for recordkeeping only.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Lew Oleinick.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Oleinick at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 01-20741 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal No. 01-09]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Pub. L. 104-164 dated 21 July 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. J. Hurd, DSCA/COMPT/RM, (703) 604-6575.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittal 01-09 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001-10-M



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

27 JUL 2001
In reply refer to:
I-01/003174

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert
Speaker of the House of
Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515-6501

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 01-09, concerning the Department of the Army's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance (LOA) to Egypt for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$590 million. Soon after this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to notify the news media.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tome H. Walters, Jr.", is positioned above the typed name.

TOME H. WALTERS, JR.
LIEUTENANT GENERAL, USAF
DIRECTOR

Attachments

Same ltr to: House Committee on International Relations
Senate Committee on Appropriations
Senate Committee on Foreign Relations
House Committee on Armed Services
Senate Committee on Armed Services
House Committee on Appropriations

Transmittal No. 01-09

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act

- (i) **Prospective Purchaser:** Egypt
- (ii) **Total Estimated Value:**
Major Defense Equipment* \$ 474 million
Other \$ 116 million
TOTAL \$ 590 million
- (iii) **Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase:** A coproduction program for the possible sale of 100 M1A1 Abrams tanks kits to include 100 M256 Armament Systems, 100 M2 .50 caliber machine guns, 200 M240 7.62mm machine guns, 12 M16A2 5.56mm rifles, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics support services, technical assistance and support, and other related elements of logistics support
- (iv) **Military Department:** Army (NFR, NFS, UTY, and UTZ)
- (v) **Prior Related Cases, if any:**
FMS case NFM - \$471 million - 13Sep99
FMS case NFO - \$43 million - 13Sep99
FMS case JBP - \$42 million - 13Sep99
FMS case NER, Amd 3- \$3 million - 22Apr96
FMS case NEP, Amd 2- \$3 million - 16Apr96
FMS case NET, Amd 4- \$3 million - 16Apr96
FMS case NEM, Amd 5 - \$70 million - 05Feb96
FMS case NEM, Amd 1 - \$127 million - 14Oct92
FMS case NET, Amd 1- \$26 million - 18Aug92
FMS case NES, Amd 1 - \$55 million - 20Jan92
FMS case NER, Amd 1- \$1 million - 05Apr91
FMS case NET - \$73 million - 29Dec89
FMS case NEM - \$1.6 billion - 05Jan89
FMS case NEP - \$187 million - 05Jan89
FMS case NES - \$154 million - 05Jan89
FMS case UKJ - \$264 million - 05Jan89
FMS case NER - \$17 million - 28Oct88
- (vi) **Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid:** None.
- (vii) **Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold:** See Annex attached.
- (viii) **Date Report Delivered to Congress:** 27 JUL 2001

* as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Egypt - M1A1 Abrams Tanks Coproduction

The Government of Egypt (GOE) has requested a coproduction program for the possible sale of 100 M1A1 Abrams tanks kits to include 100 M256 Armament Systems, 100 M2 .50 caliber machine guns, 200 M240 7.62mm machine guns, 12 M16A2 5.56mm rifles, spare and repair parts, support and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor engineering and logistics support services, technical assistance and support, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is \$590 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been and continues to be an important force for political stability and economic progress in the Middle East.

The GOE is demilitarizing its Soviet fleet. This proposed sale will increase the quantity of the Abrams tank coproduction program, started in 1988, from the current level of 655 tanks, to 755 tanks. The additional M1A1 tanks will modernize Egypt's tank fleet. Egypt, which has already co-produced the M1A1 Abrams tanks, will have no difficulty absorbing the additional tanks.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

The prime contractor will be General Dynamics of Sterling Heights, Michigan. There are no offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require the assignment of up to 30 U.S. contractor representatives for up to two years in Egypt. The five U.S. Government representatives already in country on HERCULES coproduction will also manage this program for production and fielding.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

Transmittal No. 01-09**Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)
of the Arms Export Control Act****Annex
Item No. vi****(vi) Sensitivity of Technology:**

1. Components considered to contain sensitive technology in the proposed coproduction program are special armor, 120mm gun and ammunition, gas turbine propulsion system, Night Vision Forward Looking Infra Red, and compartmentation.

a. M1A1 Tank Special Armor:

(1) FMS armor and other special armors used in the hull and turret are classified at the Secret level. Major components of special armor are fabricated in sealed modules and in serialized removable subassemblies. Special armor components and associated vulnerability data for both chemical and kinetic energy rounds are classified Secret, SPECIAL ACCESS. The track skirts have been formally declassified but are still considered to be sensitive items and their dissemination is controlled.

(2) Release of classified information can be used by potential adversaries to develop improved munitions to defeat U.S. armor systems. The consequences would be the capabilities that neutralize or defeat the armor. The armor in question has been previously sold to Egypt as a part of the M1A1 Abrams Tank coproduction program delineating obligations and measures for the protection of special armor.

b. M1A1 Tank 120mm Gun and Ammunition:

(1) The 120mm system is composed of a smooth bore gun manufactured at Watervliet Arsenal; "long rod" Armor Piercing Fin Stabilized Discarding Sabot penetrators; and combustible cartridge case ammunition also made in the United States. The gun and ammunition are produced under license from the Federal Republic of Germany.

(2) Gun production and design technology are generally well known. Ammunition technology is much more sensitive and includes the design criteria for long rod penetration warheads and new kinds of higher energy propellants. Evidence indicates that the United States and its allies have technological advantages in these areas. Technology for the weapons has been previously released to Egypt as a part of the M1A1 Abrams Tank Coproduction Program.

c. M1A1 Tank Gas Turbine Propulsion System:

(1) The use of a gas turbine propulsion system in the Abrams tank is a unique application of armored vehicle power pack technology. The hardware is composed of the AGT 1500 engine and X1100-3B transmission, and is not classified. Manufacturing processes associated with the production of turbine blades, recuperator, bearings, and shafts, and hydrostatic pump and motor, are proprietary and therefore commercially competition sensitive.

(2) Unauthorized release and exploitation of sensitive propulsion system information would adversely impact U.S. technology advantages. Acquisition of production data by a potential enemy could enhance their capability to design and produce gas turbine propulsion systems with application to land and air vehicles.

d. Night Vision Forward Looking Infra Red (FLIR):

(1) First Generation (GEN) FLIR can be used for target acquisition such as Infra Red missile target acquisitions or air defense. It is classified as Confidential.

(2) First GEN FLIR is a fairly mature technology and this capability can be obtained in the marketplace. The First GEN FLIR has been previously sold to Egypt as a part of the M1A1 Abrams Tank Coproduction program.

e. Compartmentation:

(1) A major survivability feature of the Abrams Tank is the compartmentation of fuel and ammunition. Compartmentation is the positive separation of the crew and critical components from combustible materials. In the event that the fuel or ammunition is ignited or deteriorated by an incoming threat round, the crew is fully protected by the compartmentation. Sensitive information includes the performance of the ammunition compartments as well as the compartment design parameters.

(2) The U.S. Army has invested millions of dollars in the design, development, and integration of compartmentation in the Abrams Tank. Although the concept of compartmentation is not new and design principles are known, the U.S. currently has the advantage in the design and integration of compartmentation into combat vehicles. The design of the compartments cannot be protected. However, the guidelines, parametric inductions, and test data used to develop the compartments do not have to be disclosed to permit a sale.

2. This proposed sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification. Moreover, the benefits to be derived from this proposed sale, as outlined in the Policy Justification, outweigh the potential damage that could result if the sensitive technology were revealed to unauthorized persons.

[FR Doc. 01-20743 Filed 8-16-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001-10-C

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Notice to amend a system of records.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary of Defense proposes to amend one system of records notice in its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

DATES: The changes will be effective on September 17, 2001 unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to OSD Privacy Act Coordinator, Records Management Section, Washington Headquarters Services, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Bosworth at (703) 588-0159.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of the Secretary of Defense notices for systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address above.

The specific changes to the records system being amended are set forth below followed by the notice, as amended, published in its entirety. The proposed amendments are not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

Dated: August 13, 2001.

L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

DWHS P28

SYSTEM NAME:

Personnel Security Operations File (June 22, 1995, 60 FR 32511).

CHANGES:

* * * * *

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Delete current entry and replace with 'Active records are maintained for as long as an individual may require a security clearance or suitability certificate because of their affiliation with the agency. When this relationship ceases, files are transferred to inactive status, retained for two years and destroyed.'

* * * * *