

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated,

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

*Title:* Safety Defects: Examination, Correction, and Records—30 CFR 56/57.13015; 13030; 14100; and 56/57.18002.

*OMB Number:* 1219-0089.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 13,074.

Requirement	Annual responses	Average response time (hours)	Frequency	Burden hours
Inspection of compressed-air receivers and other unfired pressure vessels—30 CFR 56/57.13015:				
Inspection Time .....	2,074	.1333	Annually .....	276
Recordkeeping .....	2,074	.0333	Annually .....	69
Records of Inspection and Repairs—30 CFR 56/57.13030:				
Inspection Time .....	3,732	.1333	Annually .....	497
Recordkeeping .....	3,732	.0333	Annually .....	124
Safety defects; examination, correction, and records—30 CFR 56/57.14100:				
Inspection Time—Small mines .....	4,868,208	.05	Daily .....	243,410
Recordkeeping—Small mines .....	169,035	.0333	Daily .....	5,629
Inspection Time—Large mines .....	6,146,025	.05	Daily .....	307,301
Recordkeeping—Large mines .....	501,790	.0333	Daily .....	16,710
Examination of Workplaces—30 CFR 56/57.18002:				
Inspection Time—Small mines .....	2,434,104	.1666	Daily .....	405,522
Recordkeeping—Small mines .....	2,434,104	.0333	Daily .....	81,056
Inspection Time—Large mines .....	819,470	.1666	Daily .....	136,524
Recordkeeping—Large mines .....	819,470	.0333	Daily .....	27,288
Total .....	18,203,818	.....	.....	1,224,406

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* 30 CFR 56/57.13015; 13030; 14100; and 56/57.18002 require equipment operators to inspect equipment, machinery, and tools that are to be used during a shift for safety defects before the equipment is placed in operation. Reports of uncorrected defects are required to be recorded by the mine operator and retained for MSHA review until the defect has been corrected.

**Ira Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-19610 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents

summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of July, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-38,833; O & E Machine, A Div. of paper Converting Machine Co., Green Bay, WI.

TA-W-39,112; DuCoa L.P., Verona, MO

TA-W-39,019; Opelika Foundry Co., Opelika, AL

TA-W-39,100; Paper Converting Machine Co., Green Bay, WI

TA-W-39,463; ABB Power T & D Co., Jefferson City, MO

TA-W-39,335; Acordis Cellulosic Fibers, Inc., Axis, AL

TA-W-39,123; Specialty Plasti Products of Tennessee, Inc., Louisville, TN

TA-W-39,443; Kurdziel Industrial Coatings, Wauseon, OH

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,611; HR Textron Cadillac Gage, David Brown Hydraulics, Greenville, OH

TA-W-39,249; Ashland Specialty Chemicals Co., Electronic Chemicals Div., Easton, PA

TA-W-39,120; Perfect Fit Industries, Richfield, NC

TA-W-39,125; BBA Nonwovens-Simpsonville, Inc., Lewisburg, PA

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-39,467; Erie County Technical School, Erie, PA

TA-W-39,503; Thomson Financial Research, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) has not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated from employment as required for certification.

TA-W-39,254; Guerin Logging, Inc., Warm Springs, OR

#### **Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-38,820; Stanley Fastening Systems, Hamlet, NC: March 1, 2000.

TA-W-39,508; Duo-Fast Corp., Cleveland, MS: June 5, 2000.

TA-W-39,507; Bess Manufacturing Co., Philadelphia, PA: June 12, 2000.

TA-W-38,817; Galvpro L.P., Jeffersonville, IN: February 21, 2000.

TA-W-39,436; Wiegand Appliance Div., Emerson Electric Co., Vernon, AL: June 1, 2000.

TA-W-39,331; Huntco Steel, Inc., Blytheville, AR: May 16, 2000.

TA-W-39,263; Hoskins Manufacturing Co., Charlevoix Manufacturing Facility, Charlevoix, MI: April 30, 2000.

TA-W-39,260; Allegheny Ludlum Steel, Leechburg, PA: April 26, 2000.

TA-W-39,615; Allegheny Ludlum Steel, Brackenridge, PA: July 16, 2000.

TA-W-39,615; Allegheny Ludlum Steel, Brackenridge, PA: July 16, 2000.

TA-W-39,089; Custom Machine of Great Bend, Inc., Breat Bend, PA: March 29, 2000.

TA-W-39,211; Burlington Industries, Inc., Mount Olive, NC: April 24, 2000.

TA-W-39,179 & A; Rockwell Collins, Passenger Systems, Irvine, CA and Rockwell Collins, Passenger Systems, Pomona, CA: April 19, 2000.

TA-W-38,827; Gina Fashions, Inc., Brooklyn NY: February 20, 2000.

TA-W-39,340; C&D Technologies, Inc., Power Electronics Div., Tucson, AZ: May 17, 2000.

TA-W-39,285; Namanco, Inc., Philadelphia, MS: May 3, 2000.

TA-W-39,134; Williamson-Dickie Manufacturing Co., Eagle Pass #19, Eagle Pass, TX: April 10, 2000.

TA-W-39,075 & A Irving Tanning Co., Hartland, ME and Irving Split Co., Hartland, ME: April 9, 2000.

TA-W-39,027 & A; Mar-Bar Shirt Co., Capital Mercury Apparel, Gassville, AR and Tri-County Shirt Co., Capital Mercury Apparel, Salem, AR: April 12, 2000.

TA-W-38,363 & A; United Technologies Corp., Pratt & Whitney Div., Compression Systems Module Center, Middletown, CT and United Technologies Corp., Pratt & Whitney Div., Turbine Module Center, North Haven, CT: November 3, 1999.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of July, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

#### **Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3)

and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-04993; Allegheny Ludlum Steel, Brackenridge, PA

NAFTA-TAA-04857; Garrin Logging Co., Warn Springs, OR

NAFTA-TAA-04975; ABB Power T & D Co., Jefferson City, MO

NAFTA-TAA-04896; Acordis Cellulosic Fibers, Inc., Axis, AL

NAFTA-TAA-04828; Hoskins Manufacturing Co., Charlevoix Manufacturing Facility, Charlevoix, MI

NAFTA-TAA-04928; Ark-Less Electronic Products Corp., Gloucester, MA

NAFTA-TAA-04778; Shasta View Produce, Inc., Malin, OR

NAFTA-TAA-04572; O & E Machine, A Div. of Paper Converting Machine Co., Green Bay, WI

NAFTA-TAA-04787; BBA Nonwovens-Simpsonville, Inc., Lewisburg, PA

The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The workers firm does not product an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

NAFTA-TAA-04970; Erie County Technical School, Erie, PA

#### **Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA**

NAFTA-TAA-04959; Coastcast Corp., Rancho Dominguez, CA: May 30, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-05024; Visteon Systems LLC, Connersville, IN: June 12, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04752; Mar-Bax Shirt Co., Capital Mercury Apparel LTD, Gassville, AR: April 12, 2000

NAFTA-TAA-04784; Williamson-Dickie Manufacturing Co., Eagle pass #19, Eagle Pass, TX: April 10, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04973; Imperial Home Decor Group, Finishing Department, Knoxville, TN: May 29, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04964 & A; Rockwell Collins, Passenger Systems, Irvine, CA and Rockwell Collins, Passenger Systems, Pomona, CA: May 11, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04859; Motion Control Industries, Carlisle Spring Brake Products, Nampa, ID: May 7, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04919; Johnson Electric Automotive, Inc., Johnson Electric Automotive Motors, Columbus, MS: May 22, 2000.

NAFTA-TAA-04872; C & D Technologies, Inc., Power

*Electronics Div., Tucson, AZ: May 8, 2000.*  
**NAFTA-TAA-04611; Stanley Fastening Systems, Single Wire Department, Hamlet, NC: March 1, 2000.**  
**NAFTA-TAA-04876; Jackets USA, Magazine, AR: May 3, 2000.**

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of July, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: July 23, 2001.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-19608 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,461]

#### **D'Clase Cutting Services, L.C.; Medley, FL; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 18, 2001, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at D'Clase Cutting Service, L.C., Medley, Florida.

The petition group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA-W-39,239). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 23rd day of July, 2001.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-19606 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### **M. Fine & Sons Manufacturing Co., Inc., Greenhill Distribution Center, Killen, AL; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was

initiated on June 25, 2001, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at M. Fine & Sons Manufacturing Co., Greenhill Distribution Center, Killen, Alabama.

All workers of the subject firm are covered under an existing certification under TA-W-39,286B. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of July 2001.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-19607 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-NAFTA-04834]

#### **Admiral Marine Construction, Inc., Port Angeles, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA), and in accordance with Section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on May 1, 2001 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Admiral Marine Construction, Inc., Port Angeles, Washington.

The petitioner requested that he petition for NAFTA-TAA be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of July, 2001.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 01-19609 Filed 8-3-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

#### **Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 50, section 71(e)(4) to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, (the licensee), for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Unit Nos. 2 and 3, located in York County, Pennsylvania.

### Environmental Assessment

#### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would exempt the licensee from some requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) regarding submission of revisions to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). The proposed exemption would allow updates to the combined UFSAR for PBAPS, Unit Nos. 2 and 3, to be submitted within 6 months following completion of each PBAPS Unit 2 refueling outage, not to exceed 24 months from the previous submittal.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated May 30, 2001.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

10 CFR 50.71(e)(4), requires licensees to submit updates to their UFSAR annually or within 6 months after each refueling outage provided that the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months. Since Units 2 and 3 share a common UFSAR, the licensee must update the same document annually or within 6 months after a refueling outage for either unit. The last change to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) was published in the **Federal Register** (57 FR 39358) on August 31, 1992, and became effective on October 1, 1992. The underlying purpose of the rule change was to relieve licensees of the burden of filing annual UFSAR revisions while assuring that such revisions are made at least every 24 months. However, as written, the burden reduction can only be realized by single-unit facilities, or multiple-unit facilities that maintain separate UFSARs for each unit. In the Summary and Analysis of Public Comments accompanying the 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4)