the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." Under section 6 of Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This action does not have federalism implications. It will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, because it affects only one State. This action simply provides EPA approval of Minnesota's voluntary proposal for its State underground storage tank program to operate in lieu of the Federal underground storage tank program in that State. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply.

National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Public Law 104–113, 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, Federal agencies

must consider the paperwork burden imposed by any information request contained in a proposed rule or a final rule. This rule will not impose any information requirements upon the regulated community.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 281

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This document is issued under the authority of section 9004 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: July 13, 2001.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 01–19561 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-7023-4]

National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed notice of intent to delete the Kem-Pest Laboratories Superfund Site from the National Priorities List.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 7 is issuing a notice of intent to deletion of the Kem-Pest Laboratories Superfund Site, located in Cape GirardeauCounty, Missouri, from the National Priorities List (NPL) and is only requesting adverse public comment(s) on the direct final notice. The NPL, promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is appendix B of 40 CFR part 300, which is the National Oil and HazardousSubstances Pollution Contingency Plan. The EPA and the state of Missouri, through the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, has determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of today's Federal **Register**, we are publishing a direct final notice of deletion of the Kem-Pest Laboratories Superfund Site without

prior notice of intent to delete because we view this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this deletion in the preamble to the direct final deletion. If we receive no adverse comments(s) on the direct final notice of deletion, we will not take further action on this notice of intent to delete. If we receive adverse comment(s), we will withdraw the direct final notice of deletion and it will not take effect. We will, as appropriate, address all public comments in a subsequent final deletion notice based on this notice of intent to delete. We will not institute a second comment period on this notice of intent to delete. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For additional information, see the direct final notice of deletion which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

DATES: Comments concerning this Site must be received by September 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Hattie Thomas, Community Involvement Coordinator, U.S. EPA, Region 7, Office of External Programs, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, or at (913) 551–7003 or toll free at 1–800–223–0425.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victor A. Lyke, Remedial Project Manager (RPM) at U.S. EPA, Region 7, Superfund Division, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas, 66101 or (913) 551–7256 or toll free at 1–800–223– 0425.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final Notice of Deletion which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Information Repositories: Repositories have been established to provide detailed information concerning this decision at the following addresses: U.S. EPA, Region 7 Superfund Records Center, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and Cape Girardeau Public Library 711 N. Clark Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri 63701.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous waste, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: 33 U.S.C.1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193. Dated: July 24, 2001. William Rice, Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 01–19319 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01–1771, MM Docket No. 01–164, RM– 10135]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; New Orleans, LA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by LeSEA Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of station WHNO(TV), NTSC channel 20, New Orleans, Louisiana, requesting the substitution of DTV channel 21 for station WHNO(TV)'s assigned DTV channel 14. DTV Channel 21 can be allotted to New Orleans, Louisiana, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (29-55-11 N. and 90-01-29 W.). As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel 21 to New Orleans with a power of 300 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 254 meters. DATES: Comments must be filed on or before September 21, 2001, and reply comments on or before October 9, 2001. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: John E. Fiorini III, Lee G. Petro, Gardner, Carton & Douglas, 1301 K Street, NW., Suite 900, East Tower, Washington, DC 20005 (Counsel for LeSEA Broadcasting Corporation).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 01–164, adopted July 26, 2001, and released July 31, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor,

International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Louisiana is amended by removing DTV Channel 14 and adding DTV Channel 21 at New Orleans.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01–19410 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA-1772, MM Docket No. 01-165, RM-9768]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Clarksburg, WV

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Davis Television Clarksburg, LLC, licensee of station WVFX(TV), NTSC Channel 46, Clarksburg, West Virginia, requesting

the substitution of DTV Channel 10 for its assigned DTV Channel 28. DTV Channel 10 can be allotted to Clarksburg, West Virginia, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at coordinates 39-18-02 N. and 80-20-37 W. DTV Channel 10 can be allotted Clarksburg with a power of 30 kW and a height above average terrain (HAAT) 260 meters. Since the community of Clarksburg is located within 400 kilometers of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence by the Canadian government must be obtained for this allotment.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before September 21, 2001, and reply comments on or before October 9, 2001. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Ross G. Greenberg, Leventhal, Senter & Lerman, Suite 600, 2000 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006-1809 (Counsel for Davis Television Clarksburg, LLC). FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 01–165, adopted July 26, 2001, and released July 31, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.