

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Cambodia

July 17, 2001.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs adjusting limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 20, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roy Unger, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port, call (202) 927-5850, or refer to the U.S. Customs website at <http://www.customs.gov>. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, refer to the Office of Textiles and Apparel website at <http://www.otexa.ita.doc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended.

The current limits for certain categories are being adjusted for swing.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the **CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see **Federal Register** notice 65 FR 82328, published on December 28, 2000). Also see 66 FR 2412, published on January 11, 2001.

J. Hayden Boyd,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

July 17, 2001.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on January 8, 2001, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in Cambodia and exported during the twelve-month period

which began on January 1, 2001 and extends through December 31, 2001.

Effective on July 20, 2001, you are directed to adjust the limits for the following categories, as provided for in the agreement between the Governments of the United States and Cambodia:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit ¹
331/631	990,093 dozen pairs.
334/634	208,852 dozen.
335/635	83,989 dozen.
338/339	3,071,350 dozen.
340/640	969,105 dozen.
345	121,461 dozen.
347/348/647/648	3,685,620 dozen.
352/652	494,833 dozen.
438	100,127 dozen.
445/446	129,043 dozen.
638/639	1,105,686 dozen.
645/646	260,743 dozen.

¹ The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 2000.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,
J. Hayden Boyd,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 01-18184 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Acting Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Acting Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early

opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: July 16, 2001.

William Burrow,

Acting Leader Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Application for Ability to Benefit Testing Approval.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit; Individuals or household; Not-for-profit institutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 150,090.

Burden Hours: 77,040.

Abstract: The Secretary will publish a list of approved tests which can be used by postsecondary educational institutions to establish the ability to benefit for a student who does not have a high school diploma or its equivalent for Student Financial Assistance Programs.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_IMG_Issues@ed.gov or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements

should be directed to Joseph Schubart at (202) 708-9266 or via his internet address Joe.Schubart@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 01-18147 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket Nos. EA-147-B and EA-148-B]

Applications to Export Electric Energy; Aquila Energy Marketing Corporation

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of applications.

SUMMARY: Under separate applications, Aquila Energy Marketing Corporation ("AEM") has applied for renewal of its authority to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico and to Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

DATES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before August 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports (FE-27), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350 (FAX 202-287-5736).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rosalind Carter (Program Office) 202-586-7983 or Michael Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-2793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)).

On June 19, 1997, in Docket EA-147, the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) authorized AEM to transmit electric energy from the United States to Mexico using the international electric transmission facilities of San Diego Gas and Electric Company, El Paso Electric Company, Central Power and Light Company, and Comision Federal de Electricidad, the national electric utility of Mexico. That two-year authorization was renewed on August 11, 1999, in Docket EA-147-A and will expire on August 11, 2001.

On August 13, 1997, in Docket EA-148, FE authorized AEM to transmit electric energy from the United States to Canada using the international electric

transmission facilities owned by Basin Electric Power Cooperative, Bonneville Power Administration, Citizens Utilities, Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, Detroit Edison, Joint Owners of the Highgate Project, Long Sault, Inc., Maine Electric Power Company, Maine Public Service Company, Minnesota Power, Inc., Minnkota Power Cooperative, New York Power Authority, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Northern States Power, and Vermont Electric Transmission Company. That two-year authorization was renewed on August 11, 1999, in Docket EA-148-A and will expire on August 11, 2001. On July 5, 2001, AEM filed applications with FE for renewal of both export authorizations for a term of two years or such other term as DOE may deem appropriate. DOE will consider renewal of the authorization for a period of five years.

DOE notes that the circumstances described in these applications are virtually identical to those for which export authority had previously been granted in FE Orders EA-147 and EA-148. Consequently, DOE believes that it has adequately satisfied its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 through the documentation of a categorical exclusion in the FE Dockets EA-147 and EA-148 proceedings.

In its applications, AEM requested expedited processing of this renewal application so that there would be no gap in its authority to export and it may continue exporting electric energy to Canada and to Mexico without interruption. Accordingly, DOE has shortened the comment period to 15 days.

Procedural Matters: Any person desiring to become a party to this proceeding or to be heard by filing comments or protests to these applications should file a petition to intervene, comment or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of each petition and protest should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above.

Comments on the AEM application to export electric energy to Mexico should be clearly marked with Docket EA-147-B. Comments on the AEM application to export electric energy to Canada should be clearly marked with Docket EA-148-B. Additional copies are to be filed directly with David Stevenson, Aquila Energy Marketing Corporation, 1100 Walnut Street, Suite 3300, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 AND Kathryn A.

Flaherty, Attorney for Aquila Energy Marketing Corporation, Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin, 13710 FNB Parkway, Suite 200, Omaha, Nebraska 68154.

Copies of these applications will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above or by accessing the Fossil Energy Home Page at <http://www.fe.doe.gov>. Upon reaching the Fossil Energy Home page, select "Electricity Regulation," then "Pending Procedures" from the options menus.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 16, 2001.

Anthony J. Como,

Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Imports/Exports, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 01-18165 Filed 7-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-484-000]

Aera Energy LLC, Amoco Production et al.; Complainants v. El Paso Natural Gas Company; Respondent; Notice of Complaint

July 16, 2001.

Take notice that on July 13, 2001, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) 18 CFR 385.206, Aera Energy LLC, Amoco Production Company, BP Energy Company, Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP, Conoco Inc., Coral Energy Resources LP, ONEOK Energy Marketing & Trading Company, L.P., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Panda Gila River L.P., Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Southern California Edison Company, Southern California Gas Company and Texaco Natural Gas Inc. (Joint Complainants) filed a complaint under Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act, against El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso).

Complainants allege that El Paso's over-selling of firm capacity in conjunction with unlimited growth of demands by its "full requirements" customers, results in unjust, unreasonable and unduly discriminatory services on the El Paso system, in violation of Sections 5 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act, the Commission's regulations thereunder, and El Paso's obligations under the 1996 rate case settlement.