

- Red Bluff at Red Bluff Community/ Senior Center, 1500 South Jackson Street

Written comments on the scope of the environmental document should be sent to Buford Holt, Environmental Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Northern California Area Office, 16349 Shasta Dam Boulevard, Shasta Lake, CA 96019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Buford Holt at the above address, by telephone at (530) 275-1554, or e-mail at [bholt@mp.usbr.gov](mailto:bholt@mp.usbr.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The Central Valley Project (CVP) was first authorized as a Federal project in 1935 and includes facilities on the Trinity River, Sacramento River, American River, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, San Joaquin River, Stanislaus River and offstream storage and conveyance facilities associated with the San Luis Reservoir and Delta Mendota Canal. Construction of dams on the Sacramento River and the Trinity River substantially modified the flows of the Sacramento River. Prior to construction of the CVP, individuals and entities along the Sacramento River were diverting water for irrigation and municipal and industrial uses under various claims of right. In order to settle the controversy over assertions of water rights, the United States, acting through the Bureau of Reclamation, negotiated contracts that provided for agreement on diversion of water and CVP water service. The term of these Sacramento River settlement contracts was not to exceed 40 years and the contracts expire on March 31, 2004. The settlement contracts provide for renewal which must be accomplished prior to March 31, 2004.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

**Special Services**

Persons requiring any special services should contact Sammie Cervantes at (916) 978-5104. Please notify Ms. Cervantes as far in advance of the particular meeting as possible, but no later than 3 working days prior to the meeting to enable Reclamation to secure the services. If a request cannot be honored, the requester will be notified.

Dated: June 29, 2001.

**Laura Allen,**

*Deputy Regional Environmental Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-18043 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-459]

**Certain Garage Door Operators Including Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 15, 2001, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of The Chamberlain Group, Inc. of Elmhurst, Illinois. Supplements to the complaint were filed on June 21 and July 9, 2001. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain garage door operators including components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-8 of U.S. Letters Patent Re. 35,364 and claims 5-30 of U.S. Letters Patent Re. 36,703. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint and supplements, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone

202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's ADD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2576.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2001).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 13, 2001, *Ordered That*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain garage door operators including components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1-8 of U.S. Letters Patent Re. 35,364 or claims 5-30 of U.S. Letters Patent Re. 36,703 and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—The Chamberlain Group, Inc., 845 Larch Avenue, Elmhurst, Illinois 60126-1196.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Linear Corporation, 2055 Corte Del Nogal, Carlsbad, California 92009-1498

Napoleon Spring Works, Inc., 111 Weires Drive, Archbold, Ohio 43502

Lynx Industries, Inc., 111 Weires Drive, Archbold, Ohio 43502

Innovative Home Products, Inc., 2400 East Lincoln Street, Birmingham, Michigan 48009-7126

Wayne-Dalton Corporation, One Door Drive, P.O. Box 67, Mt. Hope, Ohio 44660

Guardian Access Corporation, No. 1, Pei Yuan 2. Rd., Chung Li City, Taiwan

(c) David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

Issued: July 16, 2001.

By Order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18126 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-448]

### Certain Oscillating Sprinklers, Sprinkler Components, and Nozzles; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Adding a Respondent to the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation adding Dayco Products Inc. ("Dayco") as a respondent to the investigation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurent de Winter, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-708-5452. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-Line) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation, which concerns allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain oscillating sprinklers, sprinkler components, and nozzles, on February 9, 2001 66 FR 9721. On June 4, 2001, complainant L.R. Nelson Corporation moved, pursuant to Commission rule 210.14(b), to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Dayco Products, Inc. ("Dayco") as a respondent in this investigation with respect to infringement of U.S. Letters Patent 6,036,117.

On June 14, 2001, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) (Judge Luckern) issued an ID (Order No. 9) (copy attached) adding Dayco as a respondent to the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337),

and Commission rule 210.42 (19 CFR 210.42).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 16, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-18125 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-444]

### Certain Semiconductor Light Emitting Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") granting a motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3152.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 9, 2001, based on a complaint by Rohm Co. Ltd. ("Rohm") alleging that respondents Nichia Corporation and Nichia American Corporation ("Nichia") violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by importing, selling for importation, or selling within the United States after importation certain semiconductor light emitting devices, components thereof, and products containing same that infringe certain claims of U.S. Letters Patent Nos. 6,084,899 and 6,115,399.

On April 27, 2001, complainant Rohm filed a motion pursuant to rule 210.21(a) to terminate the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint. On May 9, 2001, the Nichia respondents