

225, Reno, Nevada 89520-3057, filed in Docket No. CP01-153-001, an amendment to its initial application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed in Docket No. CP01-153-000. With this amendment, Tuscarora is requesting authority to install, own, operate and maintain a booster unit rated at 637 horsepower and appurtenant facilities as part of Tuscarora's 2002 Expansion Project, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Tuscarora states that it is also filing modified Transportation Service Agreements between Tuscarora and Southwest Gas Corporation as described more fully in the amendment.

Tuscarora states that it filed the initial application for the 2002 Expansion Project on April 12, 2001 for authorization to construct, install, own, operate and maintain facilities necessary to render up to 95,912 dekatherms per day (Dth/d) of firm transportation service to four Expansion Shippers: Sierra Pacific Power Company, Southwest Gas Corporation, Duke Energy North America, L.L.C., and Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.

Tuscarora states that this amendment will not affect the total capacity under contract for the 2002 Expansion Project, nor the showing of market need reflected in the initial application. According to Tuscarora, the booster unit proposed in this amendment will be located within the new Paiute Interconnect Meter Station proposed as part of the 2002 Expansion Project and will not require additional land acquisition or ground disturbance. Tuscarora states that since the cost of the booster unit is estimated to be approximately \$770,000, the proposed facilities will not affect the overall cost/revenue analysis. According to Tuscarora, this amendment will not affect the total capacity created by the 2002 Expansion Project or the construction schedule proposed in the April 12, 2001 application. Tuscarora states that it proposes to install the booster unit simultaneously with other facilities proposed in the 2002 Expansion Project, commencing in or about April 2002 to meet the first of its Expansion Shippers' in-service dates of November 1, 2002.

Any questions regarding this amendment should be directed to Terry Wolverton, Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company, 1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 225, P.O. Box 30057, Reno, Nevada 89520-3057, call (775) 834-4292, or fax (775) 834-3886.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before August 3, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the

Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-18026 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EF00-2012-001, et al.]

U.S. Department of Energy, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

July 13, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration

[Docket No. EF00-2012-001]

Take notice that on June 29, 2001, the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) tendered for filing with the Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) proposed supplemental wholesale power rate adjustments pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 839e(a)(2).

BPA seeks interim approval of its proposed rates effective October 1, 2001, pursuant to the Commission's regulation 300.20, 18 CFR 300.20. Pursuant to Commission's regulation 300.21, 18 CFR 300.21, BPA seeks interim approval and final confirmation of the proposed rates for the periods set forth in this notice.

BPA requests approval effective October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2006, for the following proposed wholesale power rates: PF-02 Priority Firm Power Rate, RL-02 Residential Load Firm Power Rate, NR-02 New Resource Firm Power Rate, IP-02 Industrial Firm Power Rate, including the IPTAC, and NF-02 Nonfirm Energy Rate. In addition, BPA requests approval of the adjusted General Rate Schedule Provisions (GRSPs) for the period October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2006. The GRSPs apply to the 2002 wholesale power rates. BPA requests approval of the methodology used to calculate the rate for the Slice product sold under the PF rate schedule for the period October 1, 2001, through September 30, 2011.

Comment date: August 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Jackson County Power, LLC

[Docket No. EG01-261-000]

Take notice that on July 11, 2001, Jackson County Power, LLC tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

The applicant is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware that is engaged directly and exclusively in developing, owning, and operating a gas-fired 1,072 MW combined cycle power plant in Jackson County, Ohio, six miles south of Jackson, Ohio, which will be an eligible facility.

Comment date: August 5, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. PPL Large Scale Distributed Generation II, LLC

[Docket No. EG01-262-000]

Take notice that on July 12, 2001, PPL Large Scale Distributed Generation II,

LLC (the Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

The Applicant is a Delaware limited liability company that will lease one or more "eligible facilities", as defined under PUHCA, including facilities to be located in Arizona, Pennsylvania and Illinois, which it will lease from Large Scale Distributed Generation II Statutory Trust.

Comment date: August 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Large Scale Distributed Generation II Statutory Trust

[Docket No. EG01-263-000]

Take notice that on July 12, 2001, Large Scale Distributed Generation II Statutory Trust (the Applicant) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

The Applicant is a Connecticut statutory trust that will own one or more "eligible facilities", as defined under PUHCA, including facilities to be located in Arizona, Pennsylvania and Illinois, which it will lease to PPL Large Scale Distributed Generation II, LLC.

Comment date: August 3, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

5. Cleco Power LLC

[Docket No. ER01-1099-005]

Take notice that Cleco Power LLC (Cleco Power), on July 10, 2001, tendered for filing a substitute original Rate Schedule 12. On June 23, 2001, Cleco Utility's Rate Schedule 15 was canceled and refiled as Cleco Power Rate Schedule 12. Appendix C was inadvertently omitted from Cleco Power's Rate Schedule 12; accordingly, Cleco Power filed a substitute original Rate Schedule 12 that includes Appendix C.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Michigan Electric Transmission Company

[Docket No. ER01-2126-001]

Take notice that on July 9, 2001, Michigan Electric Transmission Company tendered for filing an amendment to its original filing in this docket in response to a deficiency letter dated July 2, 2001.

Copies of the filing were served upon FERC Staff and those on the official service list in this proceeding.

Comment date: July 30, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Exelon Generation Company, LLC

[Docket No. ER01-2549-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon Generation) submitted for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) a service agreement for wholesale power sales transactions between Exelon Generation and WPS Energy Services, Inc. under Exelon Generation's wholesale power sales tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

Exelon Generation requests that the Service Agreement be accepted for filing effective as of April 1, 2001.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Deseret Generation and Transmission Co-operative, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-2550-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Deseret Generation & Transmission Co-operative, Inc. (Deseret) tendered for filing an executed Confirmation Agreement for a firm power sale between Deseret and Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS). This Confirmation Agreement is filed pursuant to the Western Systems Power Pool Agreement regarding a long-term power purchase and sale transaction. Deseret requests an effective date of July 1, 2001.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. ER01-2551-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) submitted an application for reclassification of its transmission and distribution facilities as required by the Ohio Public Utilities Commission. In accordance with the seven-factor test established by the Commission in Order No. 888, CG&E proposes to designate all of its directly-owned Ohio facilities

operated at 69 kV and above as FERC-jurisdictional transmission facilities and to designate all of its remaining Ohio facilities as state-jurisdictional distribution facilities. The sole purpose of this application is to facilitate unbundled retail transmission in the state of Ohio. CG&E does not seek in this application to adjust its service rates.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Central Maine Power Company

[Docket No. ER01-2552-000]

Take notice that Central Maine Power Company (CMP), on July 10, 2001, tendered for filing pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC), an Amendment to System Contract Entitlement Agreement (Amendment) between CMP and Engage Energy America LLC (Engage) and, in compliance with Order No. 614, FERC Stats. & Regs. 31,096 (2000), a First Revised System Contract Entitlement Agreement between CMP and Engage (First Revised Agreement), revised pursuant to the Amendment.

CMP respectfully requests that the Commission accept the Amendment and the First Revised Agreement effective as of June 26, 2001, without modification or condition, and grant waiver of any and all requirements, including the Commission's notice requirements for good cause, for both agreements to become effective. Copies of this filing have been served on Engage and the State of Maine Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Lakefield Junction, L.P.

[Docket No. ER01-2553-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Lakefield Junction, L.P. tendered for filing under its market-based rate tariff a long-term service agreement with Great River Energy.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER01-2556-000]

Take notice that Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on July 9, 2001, tendered for filing, Service Agreement to provide Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service to Select Energy Inc. under the NU System Companies' Open Access Transmission

Service Tariff No. 9. NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Select Energy Inc.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective September 1, 2001.

Comment date: July 30, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER01-2557-000]

Take notice that on July 9, 2001, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on tendered for filing, Service Agreement to provide Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service to Select Energy Inc. under the NU System Companies' Open Access Transmission Service Tariff No. 9. NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Select Energy Inc.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective September 1, 2001.

Comment date: July 30, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-2558-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Golden Spread) tendered for filing with the Commission an Informational Filing to Rate Schedule No. 35. The Informational Filing updates the formulary fixed costs associated with replacement energy sales by Golden Spread to the customer, Southwestern Public Service Company (Southwestern). Golden Spread has also submitted the filing in an Order No. 614 compliant format. A copy of this filing has been served upon Southwestern.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. ISO New England Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-2559-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, ISO New England Inc., submitted as a Section 205 filing in the above Docket a new proposal for eliminating the external contract floor price, effective September 1, 2001.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Western Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-2570-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Western Resources, Inc. (Western Resources) tendered for filing a Service Agreement between Western Resources

and the cities of Burlingame, Clay Center, Ellinwood, Herington, Holton, Larned, Minneapolis, Osage City, Sabetha, Stafford, Sterling and Wamego, Kansas (Cities). Western Resources states that the purpose of these agreements is to permit the Cities to take service under Western Resources' Market Based Power Sales Tariff on file with the Commission. This agreement is proposed to be effective June 15, 2001.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Kansas Corporation Commission and the Cities.

Comment date: July 31, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Duke Energy Corporation/Duke Energy Fossil-Hydro, LLC/Duke Energy Nuclear, LLC

[Docket No. EL01-101-000]

Take notice that on July 10, 2001, Duke Energy Corporation d/b/a Duke Power (Duke Power), Duke Energy Fossil-Hydro, LLC (Duke Fossil) and Duke Energy Nuclear, LLC (Duke Nuclear) filed a petition with the Commission for a declaratory order (i) disclaiming jurisdiction under Sections 201(e) and 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) over Duke Fossil and Duke Nuclear with respect to the day-to-day operation and maintenance services each will provide to Duke Power pursuant to operation and maintenance agreements related to generation facilities owned by Duke Power; (ii) disclaiming jurisdiction under Section 203 of the FPA over Duke Power's delegation to Duke Fossil and Duke Nuclear of day-to-day operation and maintenance responsibilities under the respective operation and maintenance agreements to the extent such activities may apply to FPA jurisdictional facilities under Part II of the FPA (FPA Jurisdictional Facilities); and (iii) that Duke Fossil does not need to become a co-licensee on the hydro-electric licenses issued to Duke Power under Part I of the FPA with respect to the hydro-electric facilities owned or leased by Duke Power that Duke Fossil may operate and maintain under the operation and maintenance agreement.

Comment date: August 9, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211

and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-18069 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP00-40-000, -001, and -002]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed FGT Phase V Expansion Project

July 13, 2001.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared a final environmental impact statement (EIS) to assess the environmental impact associated with the construction of facilities proposed by Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) and referred to in this final EIS as the FGT Phase V Expansion Project in the above-referenced docket.

The final EIS was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the FGT Phase V Expansion Project, with appropriate mitigating measures as recommended, would have limited adverse environmental impact. The final EIS evaluates alternatives to the proposal, including system alternatives, route alternatives, and route variations.

The final EIS assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the proposed facilities in Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida.

The purpose of the FGT Phase V Expansion Project is to transport up to 112,487 million cubic feet per day of natural gas on an annual basis to seven electric generation customers and others in Florida. Three of these customers, representing 94 percent of proposed transportation capacity, are in the process of developing and constructing additional gas-fired electric generating capacity to serve the growing market for electricity in Florida. FGT estimates the total cost of its Phase V Expansion Project at \$452 million.

FGT proposes to construct and operate an interstate natural gas pipeline and associated aboveground facilities under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Title 18, CFR, Part 157. FGT proposes to expand its existing 5,225-mile-long natural gas pipeline transmission system by the construction of approximately 165.8 miles of pipeline loops and laterals, 132,615 horsepower of additional compression at nine existing and three new compressor stations, and other associated auxiliary facilities in various locations in Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida.

In addition, FGT proposes to acquire from Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (KGPC) an interest in KGPC's Mobile Bay Lateral that would give FGT the rights to about 50 percent of the available capacity on that system. Concurrent with FGT's filing, KGPC filed an application in Docket No. CP00-39-000 for approval to abandon by sale to FGT the interest in its Mobile Bay Lateral. However, the environmental analysis of this action qualifies as a categorical exclusion and is not included in the EIS.

The final EIS has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at:

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208-1371.

Copies of the final EIS have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, individuals who have requested the final EIS, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

In accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations implementing the National Environmental Policy Act, no agency decision on a proposed action may be made until 30 days after the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publishes a notice of availability of a final EIS. However, the CEQ regulations provide an exception to this rule when an agency decision is subject to a formal

internal appeal process which allows other agencies or the public to make their views known. In such cases, the agency decision may be made at the same time the notice of the final EIS is published, allowing both periods to run concurrently. The Commission decision for this proposed action is subject to a 30-day rehearing period.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs, at (202) 208-1088 or on the FERC Internet website (www.ferc.gov) using the "RIMS" link to information in this docket number. Click on the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" from the RIMS Menu, and follow the instructions. For assistance with access to RIMS, the RIMS helpline can be reached at (202) 208-2222.

Similarly, the "CIPS" link on the FERC Internet website provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings. From the FERC Internet website, click on the "CIPS" link, select "Docket #" from the CIPS menu, and follow the instructions. For assistance with access to CIPS, the CIPS helpline can be reached at (202) 208-2474.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-18025 Filed 7-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11428-000; Michigan]

Municipal Dam Hydro Project; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

July 13, 2001.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for license for the Municipal Dam Hydroelectric Project, located on the Pine River in Gratiot County, Michigan, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project.

Copies of the DEA are available for review at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. The DEA may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link,