Dated: May 9, 2001. S.P. Garrity, Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Detroit. [FR Doc. 01–12718 Filed 5–18–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 094-0027a; FRL-6916-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Arizona State Implementation Plan Revision, Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County portions of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the recision of all of the remaining defunct SIP rules from these counties. We are approving the recision of local rules that no longer regulate permitting procedures and various emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: This rule is effective on July 20, 2001 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by June 20, 2001. If we receive such comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to notify the public that this rule will not take effect. ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office, AIR–4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You may inspect the submittal documents and our technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also go to the following locations:

- Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" are used, we mean EPA.

Table of Contents

I. The State's Submittal

- A. What rules did the State submit for recision?
- B. Are there other versions of the recision submittals?
- C. What is the purpose of the recision submittals?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action A. How is EPA evaluating the recision submittals?
 - B. Do the recision submittals meet the evaluation criteria?
- C. Public comment and final action.
- III. Background Information
- Why were these rules originally approved into the SIP?
- IV. Administrative Requirements

I. The State's Submittal

A. What Rules Did the State Submit for Recision?

The Coconino County rules submitted for recision are listed in Table 1. These rules were previously approved for incorporation into the Arizona SIP on November 15, 1978 (43 FR 53031). The replacement ADEQ rules are listed where applicable. Other justifications for recision are noted.

TABLE 1.—COCONINO COUNTY RULES FOR RECISION

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
12–1–1	Legal Authority	(Note 1).
12–1–2	Definitions	R9–3–101.
12–1–3	Air Pollution Prohibited	(Note 1).
12–2–2	Operating Permits	R9–3–301.
12–2–4	Permit Fees	(Note 1).
12–2–5	Permit Renewals	(Note 1).
12–2–7	Testing of Installations	R9–3–313.
12–2–8	Compliance with Terms of Installation Permit	R9–3–318.
12–2–9	Notification of Denial of Permit	(Note 1).
12–2–10	Appeals to the Hearing Board	(Note 1).
12–2–11	Permits Not Transferable	R9–3–317.
12–2–12	Expiration of Installation Permit	(Note 1).
12–2–13		R9–3–315.
12–3–1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	R9–3–201, 202, 204, 205,
		206, 207.
12–3–3	Reporting of Emissions	R9–3–314.
12–3–4	Production of Records: Confidentiality	R9–3–305.
12–3–5	Monitoring Devices	R9–3–306.
12–3–6	Penalty for Violation	(Note 1).
12–4–1	Shade, Density, or Opacity of Emissions	R9–3–501.
12–4–2	Dust Control	R9–3–404, 405.
12–4–3	Processing of Animal or Vegetable Matter	(Note 1).
12–4–4	Volatile and Odorous Materials	(Note 1).
12–4–5	Storage and Handling of Petroleum Products	R9–3–510.
12–5–1	Permit Required	R9–3–301.
12–5–2	Performance Tests: Permit Tags	R9–3–306, 312(G).
12–5–3	Emission Limitations	(Note 2).
12–5–4	Authority of Other Public Agencies	(Note 1).
12–6–1	Unlawful Open Burning	R9–3–402.
12–6–2	Exceptions Requiring No Permission	R9–3–402.
12–6–3		R9–3–402 (Note 2).
12–6–4	Exceptions Under Special Circumstances	∣ R9–3–402.

TABLE 1.—COCONINO COUNTY RULES FOR RECISION—Continued

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
12–7–1	Misdemeanor: Penalty	(Note 1).

The Mohave County rules submitted for recision are listed in Table 2. These rules were previously approved for incorporation into the Arizona SIP on November 15, 1978 (43 FR 53031). The replacement ADEQ rules are listed where applicable. Other justifications for recision are noted.

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
$\begin{array}{c} 1-1 & \dots & \\ 1-2 & \dots & \\ 1-3 & \dots & \\ 1-4 & \dots & \\ 2-1 & \dots & 2-2 & \dots & \\ 2-3 & \dots & 2-3 & \dots & \\ 2-4 & \dots & 2-5 & \dots & \\ 3-1 & \dots & 3-2 & \dots & \\ 3-1 & \dots & 3-2 & \dots & \\ 3-2 & \dots & 3-6 & \dots & \\ 3-2 & \dots & 3$	Definitions Air Pollution Prohibited Enforcement Shade, Density, or Opacity of Emissions Particulate Matter Reduction of Animal or Vegetable Matter Evaporation and Leakage Storage Tanks Particulate Matter from Fuel-Burning Installations Particulate Matter from Other Sources Incinerators Responsibility of Testing Requirements of Testing Prohibition and Exceptions Sulfur Dioxide Non-Specific Particlulate Evaluation	R9–3–510. R9–3–524. R9–3–502. R9–3–504 (Note 2). R9–3–312. R9–3–312.

TABLE 2.---MOHAVE COUNTY RULES FOR RECISION

The Yuma County rules submitted for recision are listed in Tables 3 and 4. These rules were previously approved for incorporation into the Arizona SIP on November 15, 1978 (43 FR 53031) and on April 12, 1982 (47 FR 15580), respectively. The replacement ADEQ rules are listed where applicable. Other justifications for recision are noted.

TABLE 3.—YUMA COUNTY RULES (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED NOVEMBER 15, 1978) FOR RECISION

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
8-1-4.2 8-1-4.3 8-1-4.4 8-1-5.1 8-1-5.2 8-1-5.3 8-1-5.4 8-1-6.1 8-1-7.1 8-1-7.2 8-1-8.1	Evaluation Emergency Episode Criteria Fuel Burning Installations Sulfur Emissions—Sulfite Pulp Mills Sulfur Emissions—Sulfuric Acid Plants Sulfur Emissions—Other Industries	R9–3–507. (Note 2). R9–3–510. R9–3–510. R9–3–510. R9–3–502(D). R9–3–502(G).

TABLE 4.—YUMA COUNTY RULES (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED APRIL 12, 1982) FOR RECISION

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
8–1–1.3 8–1–1.4 8–1–1.5 8–1–1.6	Air Pollution Prohibited Enforcement	R9-3-101. (Note 1). (Note 1). (Note 1). (Note 1).
8–1–1.8	Permits, Exceptions Applications: Fees	R9–3–302, 304.

TABLE 4.—YUMA COUNTY RULES (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED APRIL 12, 1982) FOR RECISION—Continued

Rule No.	Rule title	Replacement ADEQ SIP rule number
8–1–1.9	Posting of Permit	R9–3–315.
8–1–1.10	Notice by Building Permit Agencies	R9–3–316.
8–1–1.11	Permit Nontransferable: Exception	R9–3–317.
8–1–1.12	Recordkeeping and Reporting	R9–3–314.
8–1–1.13	Emissions Test Methods and Procedures	R9–3–311.
8–1–2.1	Non-specific Particulate	R9–3–201.
8–1–2.2	Sulfur Dioxide	R9–3–202.
8–1–2.3	Non-Methane Hydrocarbons	(Note 1).
8–1–2.4	Photochemical Óxidants	R9–3–204.
8–1–2.5	Carbon Monoxide	R9–3–205.
8–1–2.6	Nitrogen Dioxide	R9–3–206.
8–1–2.8	Anti-Degradation	(Note 1).
8–1–3.1	Visible Emissions: General	R9–3–410, 501.
8–1–3.2	Emissions from Existing and New Non-Point Sources: General	R9–3–401.
8–1–3.3	Open Burning	R9–3–402.
8–1–3.4	Criteria for Establishing Burn Hours	R9–3–402.
8–1–3.5	Fugitive Dust and Particulate Matter	R9–3–404, 405, 406, 409.
8–1–3.6	Evaluation of Non-Point Source Emissions	R9–3–410.
8–1–3.7	Existing Point Source Performance Standards: General Unclassified Sources	R9–3–502.
8–1–3.8	Standards of Performance for Existing Fossil-Fuel Fired Steam Generators and General Fuel Burning Equipment.	R9–3–503.
8–1–3.9	Incinerators	R9–3–504.
8–1–3.10	Standards of Performance for Existing Asphalt Concrete Plants	R9–3–508.
8–1–3.11	Petroleum Storage	R9–3–510.
8–1–3.12	Standards of Performance for Steel Plants: Existing Arc Furnace	R9–3–517 (Note 2).
8–1–3.13	Standards of Performance for Existing Stationary Rotating Machinery	R9–3–519 (Note 2).
8–1–3.14	Standards of Performance for Existing Gravel and Crushed Stone Processing Plants	R9–3–522.
8–1–3.15	Existing Concrete Batch Plants	R9–3–523.
8–1–3.16	Standards of Performance for Existing Fossil-Fuel Fired Industrial and Commercial Equipment	R9–3–524.
8–1–3.17	Existing Dry Cleaning Plants	R9–3–525.
8–1–3.18	Sandblasting Equipment	R9–3–526.
8–1–3.19	Spray Painting Operations	R9–3–527.
8–1–3.20	Asphalt or Tar Kettles	R9–3–605.
Appendix I	Fuel Burning Equipment Schedule	(Note 3).
Appendix II	Allowable Particulate Emissions Computations	(Note 3).

Notes for Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4:

1. Designates a rule that we determined to be not appropriate for inclusion in the SIP, because it is unenforceable, replaced by a federal standard, refers solely to non-criteria pollutants, or refers to local procedural matters, such as those concerning assessment of fees, enforcement, issuance of permits, and local hearing board procedures.

2. Designates a rule without an exact parallel ADEQ SIP rule, for which a demonstration was provided by the ADEQ to show that rescinding

 a. Designates a rule not submitted for recision by ADEQ. We are removing the rule pursuant to our authority under section 110(I) of the CAA regarding rule relaxations.
b. Designates a rule not submitted for recision by ADEQ. We are removing the rule pursuant to our authority under section 110(k)(6) of the CAA, because it is not appropriate for inclusion in the SIP, removing the rule will not affect emissions, and we are correcting the error of previously incorporating the rule into the SIP.

On July 26, 2000, we found that these rule recision submittals meet the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. Are There Other Versions of the **Recision Submittals?**

There are no previous recision submittals on which we have not acted.

C. What is the Purpose of the Recision Submittals?

The Coconino County, Mohave County, and Yuma County originally adopted a set of air pollution control rules that we approved into the Arizona SIP. These counties later dissolved their air pollution control districts and elected to have the ADEQ administer Arizona state rules in their counties. The remaining SIP rules in the individual counties are defunct and not

used to enforce air regulations in those counties. All remaining SIP rules in these counties are rescinded by this action.

II. EPA's Evaluation and Action

A. How Is EPA Evaluating the Recision Submittals?

Generally, the recision of SIP rules must not relax existing requirements of the SIP. Sections 110(1) and 193 of the CAA. If requirements are relaxed, the ADEQ must demonstrate that the modifications do not interfere with attainment of the NAAQS or otherwise violate sections 110(l) or 193.

B. Do the Recision Submittals Meet the Evaluation Criteria?

We believe the recision submittals are consistent with the CAA and relevant policy and guidance regarding SIP

relaxations. The TSD has more information on our evaluation.

C. Public Comment and Final Action.

As authorized in section 110(k)(3) and 110(k)(6) of the CAA, we are approving the recision submittals, because we believe they fulfill all relevant requirements. We do not think anyone will object to this, so we are finalizing the approval without proposing it in advance. However, in the Proposed Rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are simultaneously proposing approval of the same recision submittal. If we receive adverse comments by June 20, 2001, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to notify the public that the direct final approval will not take effect and we will address the comments in a subsequent final action based on the proposal. If we

do not receive timely adverse comments, the direct final recision will be effective without further notice on July 20, 2001. This will remove the rules from the federally enforceable SIP.

III. Background Information

Why Were These Rules Originally Approved Into the SIP?

The rules regulate some of the seven criteria pollutants, which harm human health and the environment, and regulate permitting procedures for control of these pollutants. Section 110(a) of the CAA required states to submit regulations that control the emission of these pollutants.

IV. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves preexisting requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). For the same reason, this rule also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement

for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 20, 2001. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon Monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide, Volatile organic compound.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart D—Arizona

2. Section 52.120 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(18)(i)(B), (c)(18)(ii)(A), (c)(18)(iii)(A), and (c)(35)(i)(B) to read as follows:

§52.120 Identification of plan.

- (c) * * *
- (18) * * *
- (i) * * *

(B) Previously approved on November 15, 1978 in paragraph (i) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 12–1–1 through 12–1–3, 12–2–2, 12–2–4, 12–2–5, 12–2–7 through 12–2–13, 12–3–1, 12–3–3 through 12–3–6, 12–4–1 through 12–4–5, 12–5–1 through 12–5–4, 12–6–1 through 12–6–4, and 12–7–1.

(ii) * * *

(A) Previously approved on November 15, 1978 in paragraph (ii) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 1–1 through 1–4, 2–1 through 2–5, 3–1, 3–2, 3–6, 4–1, 4–2, 5–1, 6–1 through 6–4, and 7.

(iii) * * *

(A) Previously approved on November 15, 1978 in paragraph (iii) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 8–1–1.1, 8–1–2.7, 8–1–2.10, 8–1–4.2 through 8–1–4.5, 8–1–5.1 through 8–1–5.4, 8–1–6.1, 8–1–7.1, 8–1–7.2, 8–1–8.1, and 8–1–8.2.

- * * * *
- (35) * * *
- (i) * * *

(B) Previously approved on April 12, 1982 in paragraph (i)(A) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 8–1–1.2 through 8–1–1.6, 8–1–1.8 through 8–1–1.13, 8–1–2.1 through 8–1–

2.6, 8–1–2.8, 8–1–3.1 through 8–1–3.20, Appendix I, and Appendix II.

[Editorial note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on May 15, 2001.]

[FR Doc. 01–12572 Filed 5–18–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA157-4112a; FRL-6981-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Approval of Revisions to Stage II Vapor Recovery Regulations for Southwest Pennsylvania

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving revisions to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania State Implementation Plan which were submitted on March 6, 2000 by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP). These revisions modify and clarify the existing regulatory requirements for the control of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from gasoline dispensing facilities (Stage II) in the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area. The revisions modify the compliance dates and make other technical amendments. EPA is approving these revisions to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's SIP in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This rule is effective on July 5, 2001 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by June 20, 2001. If EPA receives such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect. ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. You may inspect copies of the documents relevant to this action during normal business hours at the following locations: Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Wentworth, Project Officer, (215) 814–2034, or by e-mail at *wentworth.ellen@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Description of the SIP Revision and EPA's Action

The information in this section is organized as follows:

A. What Action Is EPA Taking Today? B. Why Is EPA Taking This Action?

C. How Did EPA Review the Commonwealth's Submittal?

D. Why Is the Request Approvable? E. What Is the Process for EPA Approval of This Action?

A. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

EPA is approving revisions to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania SIP which were submitted on March 6, 2000 by PADEP. These revisions amend the existing Stage II regulatory requirements of 25 PA Code, Chapter 129, Standards for Sources, section 129.82, Control of VOCs from gasoline dispensing facilities (Stage II), for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area. Specifically, the revisions incorporate revised compliance dates for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area, and make other technical amendments. The revised Stage II compliance dates are as follows: (1) For facilities for which construction was commenced after April 1, 1997, compliance shall be achieved at the time of the opening of the gasoline dispensing facility, (2) for facilities which dispense greater than or equal to 120,000 gallons of gasoline per month, based on average monthly sales during calendar years 1995 and 1996, compliance shall be achieved by July 1, 1999; and (3) for facilities which dispense greater than 90,000 gallons per month but less than 120,000 gallons per month based on average monthly sales during calendar years 1995 and 1996 compliance shall be achieved by December 31, 2000. Other revisions include subsection (d) which provides that if the onboard canister refueling emissions control program has been fully implemented by 2010, the Stage II systems will no longer be required in the area. Finally, subsection (e) establishes the functional testing and

certification requirements consistent with EPA's regulations.

B. Why Is EPA Taking This Action?

EPA is approving these SIP revisions to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania SIP at the request of PADEP. The Commonwealth revised the Stage II VOC control requirements for Southwest Pennsylvania based upon the recommendations of the Southwest Pennsylvania Ozone Stakeholder Working Group as part of its ongoing efforts to address ozone air quality issues in the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone nonattainment area. EPA is approving these revisions as necessary for attainment and maintenance of the ozone standard in Southwest Pennsylvania.

C. How Did EPA Review the Commonwealth's Submittal?

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's SIP revisions were submitted by PADEP on March 6, 2000. EPA evaluated the Commonwealth's revised Stage II requirements for Southwest Pennsylvania to verify that the revisions were consistent with the previously approved Stage II regulations for the Commonwealth and met the requirements found in EPA's Stage II enforcement and technical documentation. The revisions were also reviewed for compliance with the CAA.

D. Why Is the Request Approvable?

This request is approvable because it meets the requirements of EPA's applicable technical and enforcement guidance and the CAA.

E. What Is the Process for EPA Approval of This Action?

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comment. However, in a separate document in this Federal Register publication, EPA is proposing to approve the SIP revision should adverse written comments be filed. This action will be effective on July 5, 2001 without further notice unless EPA receives adverse comment by June 20, 2001. If EPA receives adverse comment, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.