allocation criteria to that pro-rata share.

The proposed allocations for utility and nonutility allottees are listed here.

Utility and nonutility allottees	Proposed post-2004 power allocation			
	Summer kilowatthours	Winter kilowatthours	Summer kilowatts	Winter kilowatts
City of Chapman, KS	257,680	169,378	160	116
City of Elwood, KS	169,562	145,528	105	100
City of Eudora, KS	998,125	691,651	619	474
City of Fountain, CO	3,785,880	2,872,807	2,349	1,969
City of Garden City, KS	3,785,880	2,872,807	2,349	1,969
City of Goodland, KS	1,588,254	1,230,315	985	843
City of Horton, KS	441,108	317,470	273	218
City of Hugoton, KS	753,878	637,494	468	437
City of Johnson City, KS	446,670	340,573	277	233
City of Meade, KS	504,527	316,964	313	217
City of Minneapolis, KS	544,660	343,822	338	236
City of Troy, KS	195,112	152,529	121	105
Doniphan Electric Cooperative Association, Inc., KS	467,191	389,080	290	267
Fort Carson, CO	3,188,774	2,678,064	1,978	1,836
Kaw Valley Electric, KS	3,334,693	2,486,473	2,069	1,704
Midwest Energy, Inc., KS	3,785,880	2,872,807	2,349	1,969
Nemaha-Marshall Electric Cooperative Association, Inc., KS	1,145,788	984,083	711	674
Regional Transportation District, Denver, CO	331,820	291,245	206	200
Sunflower Electric Power Corporation, KS	3,785,880	2,872,807	2,349	1,969
Yellowstone National Park, WY	224,113	146,018	139	100

The proposed allocations shown in the tables above are based on the LAP marketable resource available at this time. If the LAP marketable resource is adjusted in the future, all allocations will be adjusted accordingly.

III. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-621 (Act), requires Federal agencies to perform a regulatory flexibility analysis if a proposed regulation is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Western has determined that (1) this rulemaking relates to services offered by Western, and, therefore, is not a rule within the purview of the Act, and (2) the impacts of an allocation from Western would not cause an adverse economic impact on a substantial number of such entities. The requirements of this Act can be waived if the head of the agency certifies that the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. By the execution of this **Federal Register** notice, Western's Administrator certifies that no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities will occur.

IV. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520, Western has received approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to collect customer information in this rule, under control number 1910–1200.

V. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

Western has completed an environmental impact statement on the Program, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The Record of Decision was published in the **Federal Register** on October 12, 1995 (60 FR 53181). Western's NEPA review assured all environmental effects related to these procedures have been analyzed.

VI. Determination Under Executive Order 12866

DOE has determined that this is not a significant regulatory action because it does not meet the criteria of Executive Order 12866, 58 FR 51735. Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, no clearance of this notice by OMB is required.

Dated: April 30, 2001.

Michael S. Hacskaylo,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01–11927 Filed 5–10–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6617-8]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/of.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed April 30, 2001 Through May 04, 2001

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010151, Draft EIS, AFS, IL, Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, Proposed Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Prairie Plan Development, Will County, IL, Comment Period Ends: September 06, 2001, Contact: Renee Thakali (815) 423–6370.

EIS No. 010152, Final EIS, USA,
Programmatic EIS—Transportable
Treatment Systems for Non-Stockpile
Chemical Warfare Material (CWM), To
Destroy Non-Stockpile in order to
Protect Human, Health, Safety and the
Environment, To Comply with the
International Treaty, Nationwide,
Wait Period Ends: June 11, 2001,
Contact: John Gieseking (410) 436—
8737.

EIS No. 010153, Draft Supplement, BLM, MT, Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan, Modifications and Mine Life Extensions, Updated Information To Analyze Additional Reclamation Alternatives, Approval of Mine of Chemical Munitions, Design,

Operation, Mine Reclamation and COE Section 404 Permits, Little Rocky Mountains, Phillip County, MT, Comment Period Ends: July 09, 2001, Contact: Scott Haight (406)538-1930.

EIS No. 010154, Draft EIS, DOI, NV, Reno Clay Plant Project, Construct and Operate an Open-Pit Clay Mine and Ore Processing Facility, Plan of Operations, Oil-Dri Corporation of Nevada, Hungry Valley, Washoe County, NV, Comment Period Ends: July 10, 2001, Contact: Terri Knutson (775) 885-6156.

EIS No. 010155, Draft EIS, COE, NJ, Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, Storm Damage Reduction for Ocean City and Ludlam Island Utilizing Beachfill to Construct a Protective Berm and Dune, City of Ocean City, Strathmere (Township of Upper), City of Sea Isle City, Cape May County, NJ, Comment Period Ends: June 25, 2001, Contact: Steven Allen (215) 656–6559

EIS No. 010156, Final EIS, JUS, AZ, **Pinal County Private Detention** Facility, Development and Operation of a Pre-Trail Detention Facility, Pinal County, AZ, Wait Period Ends: June 11, 2001, Contact: Charles Coburn (202) 307-9045.

EIS No. 010157, Draft EIS, BOP, CA, Fresno Federal Correctional Facility Development, Orange Cove, Fresno County, CA, Comment Period Ends: June 25, 2001, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514-6470.

EIS No. 010158, Draft EIS, FHW, PA, Pennsylvania Turnpike/Interstate 95 Interchange Project, Pennsylvania Turnpike (I-276) and I-95 in Bucks County, PA Connection with Proposed Interstate Improvements Extending east into Burlington County, NJ, Funding, Buck County, PA and Burlington County, NJ, Comment Period Ends: July 02, 2001, Contact: James A. Cheatham (717) 221-3461.

EIS No. 010159, Draft Supplement, DOE, NV, Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste, Construction, Operation, Monitoring and Eventually Closing a Geologic Repository at Yuma Mountain, Update and Additional Information, Nye County, NV, Comment Period Ends: June 25, 2001, Contact: Jane R. Summerson (702) 794-1493.

EIS No. 010160, Final EIS, FHW, CO, South I-25 and US 85 Corridors Improvements, CO-470 to Castle Rock, Funding, Douglas County, CO, Wait Period Ends: June 11, 2001, Contact: Scott Sands (303) 969-6730. EIS No. 010161, Draft EIS, USA, CO, Pueblo Chemical Depot, Destruction

Construction, Operation and Closure of a Facility, Pueblo County, CO, Comment Period Ends: June 25, 2001, Contact: Penny Robitaille (410) 436-4178.

EIS No. 010162, Draft EIS, DOD, AL, Assembled Chemical Weapons Destruction Technologies at One or More Sites, Design, Construction and Operation of One or More Pilot Test Facilities, Anniston Army Depot, AL; Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR; Blue Grass Army Depot, KY and Pueblo Chemical Depot, CO, Comment Period Ends: June 25, 2001, Contact: Jon Ware (410) 436-2210.

EIS No. 010163, Final EIS, AFS, WY, State of Wyoming School Section 16 T. 12N., R.83W., 6th P.M., Issuing a Forest Road Special-Use-Permit for Access, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Brush Creek/Hayden Ranger District, Carbon County, WY, Wait Period Ends: June 11, 2001, Contact: Lynn Johnson 307-745-2300

Dated: May 8, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-11954 Filed 5-10-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6617-9]

Environmental Impacts Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental ReviewProcess (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at $(202)\ 564-7167.$

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements(EISs) was published in FR datedApril 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. DA-FAA-F51040-IN Rating EC2, IndianapolisInternational Airport Master Plan Development, UpdatedInformation to Construct a Midfield Terminal, Midfield Interchange, and Associated Developments, Airport Layout Plan Approval, Funding and Section 404 Permit, Marion County, IN

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns relating to the mitigation being provided for compensatory forested wetlands.

ERP No. DS-FTA-K40130-CA Rating LO, Los Angeles Eastside Corridor Transportation Improvement, Updated Information for Light Rail Transit (LRT) BuildAlternative and the Three Transition Options, Extending from Union Station to Beverly and Atlantic Boulevards in EastLos Angeles, via Alameda St., 1st. Street, Indiana St., 3rd Street, and Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles County, CA

Summary: EPA found that the document adequately discussed the environmental impacts of the proposed project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-G40151-TX, US-190 Corridor from FM2657 to the East City Limits of Copperas Cove, Transportation Improvements, Major Investment Study, Corvell and Lampasas Counties, TX

Summary: EPA has completed its review of the Final EIS and has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F-NOA-A91066-00, Tilefish FisheryManagement Plan (FMP), (Lopholatilus Chamaeleonticep), To Prevent Overfishing and to Rebuild the Resource of Tilefish, Located along the Atlantic Ocean

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns about the proposed regulations and the sufficiency of the information in the document. EPA's concerns included the adequacy of the mitigation measures and the impacts of trawling on Tilefish

ERP No. F-NOA-K91008-00, Pelagic Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region, Fishery Management Plan, To Analyze Longline Fisheries, Commercial Troll and Recreational Troll Fisheries, Commercial PelagicHandliner and Commercial Pole and Line Skipjack Fishery, Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Island

Summary: EPA reviewed the FEIS and found that the document adequately addresses the issues raised in our comment letter on the DEIS.

ERP No. F-FHW-H40168-MO, New MississippiRiver Crossing, Relocated I-70 and I-64 Connector, Funding, COE Section 404 and 10 Permits, NPDES Permit, St. Louis County, MO

Summary: EPA has no objections to this project as proposed.

Dated: May 8, 2001.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-11955 Filed 5-10-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U