[This proposed amendment replaces item 08–1 in Appendix B of USITC Pub. 3295.]

Chapter 85

Note 6 to chapter 85 is superseded by the following:

"6. Records, tapes and other media of heading 8523 or 8524 remain classified in those headings, when entered with the apparatus for which they are intended.

This note does not apply to such media when they are entered with articles other than the apparatus for which they are intended.

For the purposes of this note, the term "apparatus for which they are intended" refers to apparatus which reads or plays

the media or which records or writes on the media."

[This proposed amendment replaces item 85–3 in Appendix B of USITC Pub. 3295.]

Chapter 98

The following new heading 9817.64.01 is inserted in numerical sequence:

The rate applicable in the absence of this heading."

"9817.64.01

Footwear, other than goods of heading 9021, of a kind for supporting or holding the foot following an illness, operation or injury, provided that such footwear is (1) made to measure and (2) presented singly and not in pairs and designed to fit either foot equally.

## Written Submissions

Interested parties are invited to submit written statements concerning the proposed changes outlined above. Commercial or financial information that a submitter desires to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of § 201.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission for inspection by interested parties. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating to the proposed changes above should be submitted to the Commission at the earliest practical date and should be received no later than the close of business on May 11, 2001. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or by electronic means.

Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS–ON–LINE) at http://dockets. usitc.gov/eol/public.

## List of Subjects

Tariffs/HTS, Harmonized System, WCO, and imports.

By order of the Commission. Issued: April 24, 2001.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–10697 Filed 4–27–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0132(2001)]

Standard on Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits comments concerning its proposal to decrease the existing burden-hour estimates, and to extend OMB approval of the collection-of-information requirements, of the standard on Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags; this standard regulates the design, working and application of signs and tags that inform employees of workplace safety and health hazards.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on or before June 29, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR–1218–0132(2001), OSHA, U.S.

Department of Labor, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to (202) 693–1648.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Theda Kenney, Directorate of Safety Standards Programs, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2222. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections contained in the standard on Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags (29 CFR 1910.145) is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office or by requesting a copy from Theda Kenney at (202) 693-2222. For electronic copies of the ICR contact OSHA on the Internet at http:/ /www.osha.gov/comp-links.html and select "Information Collection Requests."

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and cost) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the informationcollection burden is correct.

In determining the paperwork requirements specified in the standard on Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags (§ 1910.145; hereafter the "Standard"), the Agency finds that paragraph (a)(2) requires employers to use signs and tags that conform to the design and wording specifications contained in paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(10), (e)(2), (f)(4)(i)through (f)(4)(iv), (f)(7), and (f)(8)(ii) of the Standard. OSHA believes that, as a usual and customary practice, employers meet this requirement by purchasing a supply of appropriate signs and tags. Therefore, the Agency concludes that no burden results from this requirement because appropriate signs and tags are readily available from commercial sources. This conclusion holds even for employers who don't make such purchases because OSHA provides them with the specific wording to use when fabricating their own signs and tags.

The Agency notes that the Standard does not contain requirements for employers to post signs and tags. Instead, other OSHA standards in part 1910 directly specify the posting requirements for the signs and tags described by this Standard. Therefore, the Agency is removing the burden hours previously attributed to posting signs or tags under this Standard, and will assign these burden hours more appropriately to the standards that directly require such posting.

OSHA is recognizing an additional paperwork requirement that it previously overlooked. The Standard requires employers to select signs and tags that are appropriate to the dangers and hazards identified in the workplace; paragraphs (c)(1)(i), (c)(2)(i), (c)(3), (e)(4), (f)(3), (f)(5) through (f)(7), and (f)(8)(i) specify the signs and tags that employers must select for these dangers and hazards. In addition, paragraphs (d)(l) through (d)(10), (e)( $\bar{2}$ ), (f)(4)(i) through (f)(4)(iv), (f)(7), and (f)(8)(ii)provide the design and wording requirements for these signs and tags. Therefore, employers must ensure that the signs and tags selected are appropriate for the identified dangers and hazards and meet the design and wording requirements of the Standard. Accordingly, selecting appropriate signs and tags represents a paperwork requirement that incurs employer burden hours and cost. However, the Agency believes that this requirement imposes minimal burden on most employers because, after they initially identify workplace dangers and hazards and select the appropriate signs and tags, the types of dangers and hazards do not change significantly in most

workplaces (i.e., new or additional signs and tags are necessary only for new facilities or new or additional dangers or hazards).

## **II. Special Issues for Comment**

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed informationcollection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and cost) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and-transmission techniques.

## **III. Proposed Actions**

OSHA proposes to decrease the existing burden-hour estimate, and to extend OMB approval, of the collection-of-information requirements specified by the Standard. In this regard, the Agency is proposing to decrease the current burden-hour estimate from 30,225 hours to 5,600 hours, a total reduction of 24,625 hours. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in its request to OMB to extend the approval of these information-collection requirements.

*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved information-collection requirement.

*Title:* Specifications for Accident Prevention Signs and Tags (29 CFR 1910.145).

OMB Number: 1218-0132.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal government; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 112,000. Frequency of Response: On occasion. Average Time per Response: 3 minutes (.05 hours).

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 5,600. Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): \$0.

## IV. Authority and Signature

R. Davis Layne, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 3–2000 (65 FR 50017). Signed at Washington, DC on April 24, 2001.

## R. Davis Layne,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 01–10636 Filed 4–27–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0113(2001)]

Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction; Extension of the Office of Management of Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information-Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comment concerning its request to extend OMB approval of the information-collection requirements specified the Cranes and Derricks Standard for Construction; this paragraph requires employers to establish and maintain a record of the dates and results of the annual inspection conducted on each hoisting machine and piece of equipment.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on or before June 29, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR–1218–0113(2001), OSHA, U.S.
Department of Labor, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to: (202) 693–1648.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen M. Martinez, Directorate of Policy, Office of Regulatory Analysis, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-1953. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified by the Cranes and Derricks Standard is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Todd Owen at (202) 693–2444. For electronic copies of the ICR contact OSHA on the Internet at http:// www.osha.gov/comp-links.html and select "Information Collection Requests."

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: