603–8829, or by E-mail *Hippen.Randy@epa.gov.* 

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randy Hippen, Tel: (703) 603–8829; Fax: (703) 603–9104; E-mail: *Hippen.Randy@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those state agencies, Indian Tribes, and U.S. Territories performing Superfund site evaluation activities.

*Title:* Superfund Site Evaluation and Hazard Ranking System; EPA ICR No. 1488.05; OMB Control No. 2050–0095; Expiring 9/30/01.

Abstract: Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, 1980 and 1986) amends the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan (NCP) to include criteria prioritizing releases throughout the U.S. before undertaking remedial action at uncontrolled hazardous waste sites. The Hazard Ranking System (HRS) is a model that is used to evaluate the relative threats to human health and the environment posed by actual or potential releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants. The HRS criteria take into account the population at risk, the hazard potential of the substances, as well as the potential for contamination of drinking water supplies, direct human contact, destruction of sensitive ecosystems, damage to natural resources affecting the human food chain, contamination of surface water used for recreation or potable water consumption, and contamination of ambient air.

Under this ICR, the States will apply the HRS by identifying and classifying those releases that warrant further investigation. The HRS score is crucial since it is the primary mechanism used to determine whether a site is eligible to be included on the National Priorities List (NPL). Only sites on the NPL are eligible for Superfund-financed remedial actions.

HRS scores are derived from the sources described in this information collection, including field reconnaissance, taking samples at the site, and reviewing available reports and documents. States record the collected information on HRS documentation worksheets and include this in the supporting reference package. States then send the package to the EPA region for a completeness and accuracy review, and the Region then sends it to EPA Headquarters for a final quality assurance review. If the site scores above the NPL designated cutoff value,

and if it meets the other criteria for listing, it is then eligible to be proposed on the NPL.

Burden Statement: Depending on the number and type of activities performed, burden for the collection of site assessment information is estimated to range from 10 to 1,900 hours per site. The number of hours required to assess a particular site depends on how far a site progresses through the site assessment process. Sites where only a pre-CERCLIS screening action is performed will typically require approximately 10 hours, while sites that progress to NPL listing based on an integrated assessment approach may require up to 1,900 hours. The burden estimates include reporting activities and minimal record keeping activities. The States are reimbursed 100 percent of their costs, except for record maintenance. The ICR does not impose burden for HRS activities on local governments or private businesses.

Respondents: State agencies performing Superfund site evaluation activities.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 60 (States, U.S. Territories, and Indian Tribes).

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 247,680.

Frequency of Collection: One time; section 116(b) requires an HRS evaluation within four years of the site's entry into the EPA CERCLIS database.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: EPA estimates 60 States, Indian Tribes, and U.S. Territories will likely respond, each averaging 24 actions per year. EPA further estimates the average hours per action will require 172 hours (based on historic data for the type of site assessment activities to be conducted). Thus, the burden for all respondents is estimated at 247,680 hours and approximately \$18,075,686 each year. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: April 12, 2001.

#### Larry G. Reed,

Acting Director, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

[FR Doc. 01–10433 Filed 4–25–01; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6560–50-P$ 

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6969-1]

Clean Air Act Federal Operating Permit Program; Notice of Final Permit Actions for Federal Operating Permits

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of approval of final Clean Air Act Title V Federal operating permits.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII issued Clean Air Act Title V federal operating permits to applicants located on the following reservations:

## **Southern Ute Indian Reservation**

BP Amoco, Inc.

- (1) Four Queens Central Facility Gas Compressor Station, #V–SU–0008– 00.00:
- (2) Salvador I/II Central Facility Gas Compressor Station, #V–SU–0009– 00.00; Issue date March 27, 2000.

## Coyote Gas Treating, LLC

Coyote Gulch Gas Treating Plant, #V–SU–0012–00.00; Issue date March 27, 2000.

#### **Red Cedar Gathering Company**

- (1) Arkansas Loop Gas Plant, #V–SU–0010–00.00; Issue date March 27, 2000.
- (2) Sidewinder Compressor Station, #V–SU–0015–00.00; Issue date January 8, 2001.
- (3) Capote Compressor Station, #V–SU–0016–00.00; Issue date October 20, 2000.
- (4) Diamondback Compressor Station, #V-SU-0018-00.00; Issue date January 8, 2001.
- (5) Bondad Compressor Station, #V–SU–0011–00.00; Issue date March 7, 2001.

Vastar Resources, Inc.

- (1) Treating Site #1 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0001–00.00;
- (2) Treating Site #2 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0002–00.00;
- (3) Treating Site #4 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0003–00.00;
- (4) Treating Site #5 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0004–00.00;
- (5) Treating Site #6 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0005–00.00;
- (6) Treating Site #7 Compressor Station, #V–SU–0006–00.00;
- (7) Treating Site #9 Compressor Station, #V-SU-0007-00.00; Issue date March 27, 2000.
- (8) Treating Site #6B Compressor Station, #V-SU-0024-00.00;
- (9) Treating Site #7B Compressor Station, #V–SU–0025–00.00;
- (10) Treating Site #8 Compressor Station, #V-SU-0026-00.00; Issue date September 18, 2000.

## **Public Service Company**

Tiffany Compressor Station, #V–SU–0023–00.00; Issue date November 22, 2000.

## Fort Peck Indian Reservation

Northern Border Pipeline Company

Compressor Station #2, #V–FP–0001–00.00; Issue date July 31, 2000.

#### **Uintah & Ouray Indian Reservation**

Questar Pipeline Company

Fidlar Compressor Station, #V-OU-0002-00.00; Issue date October 20, 2000.

Colorado Interstate Gas Company

Natural Buttes Compressor Station, #V-OU-0003-00.00; Issue date February 7, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final permits, statements of basis, and all other supporting information are on file at

the: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, Air and Radiation Program, 999 18th Street—Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202. All documents will be available for review at the U.S. EPA Region VIII office Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m to 4:30 p.m. (excluding federal holidays).

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Monica Morales, Air Technical Assistance Unit, EPA Region VIII, telephone (303) 312–6936, e-mail morales.monica@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Title V permit program does not impose new substantive requirements on an air pollution source but does require that sources subject to the federal operating permit program obtain a renewable operating permit that clarifies which air quality requirements apply to the source and provides methods for assessing the source's compliance with those requirements.

Because the issuance of a Federal Operating Permit has been delegated to the Regional Administrator, judicial review of a final operating permit under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act may be sought only by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate regional circuit within 60 days from the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. A petition for review must be filed by June 25, 2001.

Dated: April 13, 2001.

## Carol Rushin,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 01–10431 Filed 4–25–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-30509A; FRL-6778-3]

Pesticide Product; Corn Rootworm Registration Application; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of March 19, 2001 (66 FR 15435) (FRL–6771–5), EPA announced receipt of an application from Monsanto Company to register a pesticide product containing a new active ingredient not included in any previously registered products pursuant to the provisions of section 3(c)(4) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. On page 15436, second column, first full paragraph, last sentence, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico was inadvertently omitted from the

proposed planting locations. The sentence is corrected to read "Plantings are proposed for the states of California, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Nebraska, South Dakota, Texas, Wisconsin, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico." This notice announces the correct proposed planting sites.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Mike Mendelsohn, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (703) 308–8715; e-mail: mendelsohn.mike@epa.gov.

## **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: April 5, 2001.

#### Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 01–10437 Filed 4–25–01 8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6968-8]

## New York State Prohibition on Marine Discharges of Vessel Sewage; Receipt of Petition and Tentative Determination

Notice is hereby given that a petition was received from the State of New York on November 3, 2000 requesting a determination by the Regional Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), pursuant to section 312(f) of Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Law 95-217 and Public Law 100-4 (the Clean Water Act), that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the waters of the Port Jefferson Harbor Complex, County of Suffolk, State of New York. The Harbor complex consists of several waterbodies, including Port Jefferson Harbor, Setauket Harbor, Little Bay, the Narrows and Conscience Bay. The boundary line for the proposed No Discharge Area shall extend from the easternmost point at Old Field Beach northerly to the westernmost point at White Beach.

This petition was made by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) in cooperation with the Town of Brookhaven. Upon receipt of an affirmative determination in response to