

Litigation Branch, Civil Division,  
Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.  
20530.

Dated: April 3, 2001.

**Marybeth Peters,**  
*Register of Copyrights.*

**James H. Billington,**  
*Librarian of Congress.*

[FR Doc. 01-9236 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-30-P

## POSTAL SERVICE

### 39 CFR Part 20

#### International Mail; Changes in Postal Rates, Fees, and Mail Classifications

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Change of Effective Date for Elimination of Global Package Link Service.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service is changing the effective date for elimination of the Global Package Link service from April 1, 2001 to April 30, 2001. This change will allow our customers more time to change their manifesting systems.

**DATES:** 1. The effective date of the elimination of Global Package Link service published in the **Federal Register** on December 8, 2000 (65 FR 77076), is delayed until April 30, 2001.

2. The effective date of the final rule amending international postal rates, fees, and mail classifications in 39 CFR part 20 published on December 8, 2000, remains January 7, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angus MacInnes, 703-292-3601.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- On September 26, 2000, the Postal Service published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 57864) a notice of proposed changes to international postal rates, fees, and mail classifications. In that notice, we proposed eliminating the Global Package Link service. We also stated that current customers will be offered other services for their mail.

- On December 8, 2000, the Postal Service published the final rule in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 77076). In that rule, we stated that the current service hasn't attracted enough customers to justify continuation of the service in its current form. Therefore, we eliminated the service. However, because of the comments from customers who use the Global Package Link service, the Postal Service delayed the elimination of the service until April 1, 2001. The delay was to allow Global Package Link customers enough time to transition to other products.

- The Postal Service now realizes that some customers may need additional time to change their manifesting system; therefore, we have further delayed the effective date for elimination of the Global Package Link service until April 30, 2001.

### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 20

Foreign relations, International postal services.

### PART 20—[AMENDED]

This is a technical amendment because it only amends the effective date of the elimination of Global Package Link service. Accordingly, the final rule amending 39 CFR part 20, which was published at 65 FR 77076 on December 8, 2000, is adopted as a final rule without change.

**Stanley F. Mires,**  
*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 01-9248 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 81

#### Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes

##### CFR Correction

In Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 81 to 85, revised as of July 1, 2000, in § 81.306, beginning on page 98 in the first column, remove the text from the table beginning with "Archuleta County" through the end of the table on page 100 up to the next heading "Colorado -PM-10".

[FR Doc. 01-55512 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

### 44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA-7759]

#### Suspension of Community Eligibility

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule identifies communities, where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), that are suspended on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the

floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will be withdrawn by publication in the **Federal Register**.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** The effective date of each community's suspension is the third date ("Susp.") listed in the third column of the following tables.

**ADDRESSES:** If you wish to determine whether a particular community was suspended on the suspension date, contact the appropriate FEMA Regional Office or the NFIP servicing contractor.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Donna M. Dannels, Division Director, Policy and Assessment Division, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street, SW., Room 411, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3098.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage as authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program, 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq., unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this document no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations, 44 CFR part 59 et seq. Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the third column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. However, some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue their eligibility for the sale of insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of the communities will be published in the **Federal Register**.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in these communities by publishing a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the FIRM if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the

table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act not in connection with a flood) may legally be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in the identified special flood hazard area of communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year, on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's initial flood insurance map of the community as having flood-prone areas (section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4106(a), as amended). This prohibition against certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column. The Associate Director finds that notice and public comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives a 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification addressed to the Chief Executive Officer that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. Since these notifications have been made, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

#### National Environmental Policy Act

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director has determined that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed no longer comply with the statutory requirements, and after the effective date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the communities unless they take remedial action.

#### Regulatory Classification

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of

the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

#### Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 252.

#### Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 309.

#### List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

#### PART 64—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 64 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

#### § 64.6 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 64.6 are amended as follows:

State and Location	Community No.	Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current Effective Map Date	Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in special flood hazard areas
<b>Region III:</b>				
Pennsylvania: Bethlehem, city of, Northampton County.	420718	September 1, 1972, Emerg., July 3, 1978, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp..	.....do .....	Do.
East Allen, township of, Northampton County.	420981	October 19, 1973, Emerg., February 11, 1983, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Easton, city of, Northampton County ....	425383	June 18, 1971, Emerg., October 17, 1978, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp..	.....do .....	Do.
Freemansburg, borough of, Northampton County.	420721	March 30, 1973, Emerg., September 1, 1977, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Glendon, borough of, Northampton County.	422254	August 7, 1975, Emerg., January 16, 1980, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Lower Nazareth, township of, Northampton County.	422253	January 3, 1977, Emerg., May 4, 1988, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Lower Saucon, township of, Northampton County.	420982	January 30, 1974, Emerg., September 28, 1979, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
North Catasauqua, borough of, Northampton County.	420727	May 9, 1975, Emerg., July 16, 1981, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Northampton, borough of, Northampton County.	420726	February 1, 1974, Emerg., May 3, 1982, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Pen Argyl, borough of, Northampton County.	421926	December 26, 1974, Emerg., June 25, 1976, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Stockertown, borough of, Northampton County.	420730	August 25, 1975, Emerg., December 4, 1979, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Washington, township of, Northampton County.	421156	April 15, 1974, Emerg., September 30, 1988, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
West Easton, borough of, Northampton County.	420733	July 9, 1973, Emerg., March 1, 1979, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
<b>Region V:</b>				
Wisconsin: Baraboo, city of, Sauk County.	550392	June 1, 1973, Emerg., August 1, 1979, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.

State and Location	Community No.	Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current Effective Map Date	Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in special flood hazard areas
Lake Delton, village of, Sauk County ...	550394	February 19, 1975, Emerg., September 4, 1985, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
LaValle, village of, Sauk County .....	550395	March 5, 1975, Emerg., September 19, 1984, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Lime Ridge, village of, Sauk County ....	550396	September 1, 1987, Reg., April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Loganville, village of, Sauk County .....	550397	February 19, 1976, Emerg., February 15, 1985, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Merrimac, village of, Sauk County .....	550398	March 27, 1975, Emerg., March 7, 2001, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
North Freedom, village of, Sauk County	550399	April 22, 1975, Emerg., September 19, 1984, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Plain, village of, Sauk County .....	550400	December 23, 1974, Emerg., September 30, 1988, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Reedsburg, city of, Sauk County .....	550402	May 21, 1975, Emerg., March 4, 1985, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Rock Springs, village of, Sauk County	550403	April 30, 1975, Emerg., September 18, 1985, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Sauk City, village of, Sauk County .....	550404	May 7, 1975, Emerg., March 7, 2001, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Sauk County, unincorporated areas ....	550391	September 7, 1973, Emerg., September 17, 1980, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Spring Green, village of, Sauk County	550405	August 27, 1975, Emerg., February 1, 1986, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
West Baraboo, village of, Sauk County	550407	July 24, 1975, Emerg., September 19, 1984, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.
Wisconsin Dells, city of, Sauk and Columbia Counties.	550065	July 17, 1975, Emerg., December 18, 1984, Reg. April 6, 2001, Susp.	.....do .....	Do.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.-Emergency; Reg.-Regular; Susp.-Suspension.

Dated: April 4, 2001.

**Margaret E. Lawless,**  
Acting Executive Associate, Director for Mitigation.

[FR Doc. 01-9173 Filed 4-12-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-05-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 15

[DA 01-446]

### Emissions From Digital Devices

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document adopts rules regarding limits on conducted and radiated emissions from unintentional radiators in order to update the references to Publication 22 of the International Electrotechnical Commission.

**DATES:** Effective May 14, 2001. The incorporation by reference of certain publications in this rule is approved by the Director of Federal Register as of May 14, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Reed, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418-2455.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Order*, DA 01-446, adopted February 27, 2001, and released February 28, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available on the Commission's Internet site at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov). It is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

### Summary of the Order

1. On December 3, 1999, the Information Technology Industry Council ("ITI") filed a request to amend 47 CFR 15.107(e) and 15.109(g) of the Commission's rules regarding limits on conducted and radiated emissions from unintentional radiators in order to update the references to Publication 22 of the International Electrotechnical Commission ("IEC"), International Special Committee on Radio Interference ("CISPR"). Currently, the Commission rules reference the First Edition of CISPR Publication 22 (1985) along with several Draft International Standards ("DIS") that were adopted in 1992. ITI points out that the First

Edition of CISPR Publication 22 is no longer published and is becoming difficult to find. Accordingly, ITI requests that the Commission amend its rules to reference the Third Edition of CISPR Publication 22 (1997). ITI also requests that the Commission specifically exclude the limits in CISPR Publication 22 (1997) for conducted common mode disturbance at telecommunications ports. ITI adds that such testing would require the use of stabilization networks that generally are not available. Further, ITI is not aware of any history of interference complaints in this area.

2. We note that the standards contained in CISPR Publication 22 (1997) on the amount of energy allowed to be conducted onto the public utility (AC) power lines or radiated from a device are the same as those contained in CISPR Publication 22 (1985) as amended by the 1992 Draft International Standards. Thus, amending the rules to reference CISPR Publication 22 (1997) would not result in a change to the regulations and would simplify the task of obtaining copies of this publication. We also note that the existing references within the Commission's rules to the CISPR Publication 22 standards apply only to the levels of emissions conducted onto AC power lines and to the levels of emissions radiated from a