

inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 18, 2001.

**Availability:** The Purchaser Agreement and additional background information relating to the Purchaser Agreement are available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. A copy of the Purchaser Agreement may be obtained from Natalie L. Katz (3RC42), Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Comments should reference the "Exeter Superfund Site, Prospective Purchaser Agreement" and "EPA Docket No. CERC-PPA-2000-0005," and should be forwarded to Natalie Katz at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Natalie L. Katz (3RC42), Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, Phone: (215) 814-2615.

Dated: March 2, 2001.

**Thomas C. Voltaggio,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. 01-6706 Filed 3-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-6953-4]

**Notice of Proposed Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; Metro-Plating Site**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative cost recovery settlement under section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Metro-Plating Superfund site in Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. The settlement resolves an EPA claim under section 107(a) of CERCLA against Jerome W. Crawford. The settlement requires the settling party to pay \$2,000 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund and includes a covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to section 107(a)

of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). However, the agreement does not protect the settling party from the following: (1) The settling party's failure to abide by the terms of the agreement; (2) costs incurred or to be incurred by the settling party that do not meet the definition of past response costs; (3) the settling party's liability for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606; (4) criminal liability; and (5) natural resource damages.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Records Center, 7th Floor, 77 W. Jackson Blvd, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before April 18, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Records Center, 7th Floor, 77 West Jackson Blvd, Chicago, Illinois 60604. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from William Ryczek, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Mail Code SE-5J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-7184. Comments should reference the Metro-Plating Superfund site, Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan and EPA Docket No. ZW00C615 and should be addressed to William Ryczek at the address shown above.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

William Ryczek, U.S. EPA Region 5, Mail Code SE-5J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-7184.

Dated: February 27, 2001.

**William E. Muno,**

*Director, Superfund Division, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 01-6710 Filed 3-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-6953-8]

**Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of Proposed Determinations That Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Are Not Needed**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability for comment of EPA's determination that TMDLs are not needed for 26 waterbody/pollutant combinations in the Mermentau and Vermilion/Teche River Basins because new data and information show that water quality standards are being met. This proposed action would result in the removal of 26 waterbody/pollutant combinations from the Louisiana 303(d) list. EPA prepared the proposed determinations in response to a court order dated October 1, 1999, in the lawsuit *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford et al.*, No. 96-0527, (E.D. La.). Under this court order, EPA is required to prepare TMDLs when needed for waters on the Louisiana 1998 section 303(d) list by December 31, 2007. The court order also requires EPA to add or delete waters to the schedule as new data confirms that waters are or are not meeting water quality standards.

**DATES:** Comments on the 26 proposed determinations that TMDLs are not needed must be submitted in writing to EPA on or before April 18, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the proposed determinations should be sent to Ellen Caldwell, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202-2733. For further information, contact Ellen Caldwell at (214) 665-7513. The administrative record file for the proposed determinations is available for public inspection at this address as well. Documents from the administrative record file may be viewed at [www.epa.gov/region6/water/tmdl.htm](http://www.epa.gov/region6/water/tmdl.htm), or obtained by calling or writing Ms. Caldwell at the above address. Please contact Ms. Caldwell to schedule an inspection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ellen Caldwell at (214) 665-7513.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the EPA, styled *Sierra*

*Club, et al. v. Clifford et al.*, No. 96–0527, (E.D. La.). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to

establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. Discussion of the court's order

may be found at 65 FR 54032 (September 6, 2000).

#### EPA SEEKS COMMENTS ON 26 PROPOSED DETERMINATIONS THAT TMDLS ARE NOT NEEDED

Waterbody	Waterbody description	Suspected pollutant	Reason for delisting
050101 .....	Bayou Des Cannes—Headwaters to Mermentau River.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050103 .....	Bayou Mallet .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050201 .....	Bayou Plaquemine Brule, Headwaters to Bayou Des Cannes.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050901 .....	Mermentau River Basin, Coastal.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060802 .....	Vermilion River—From New Flanders Ambassador Caffery Bridge.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060904 .....	Vermilion River B890 Basin, New Iberia Southern Drainage Canal.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060907 .....	Franklin Canal .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
061101 .....	Bayou Petite Anse .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060804 .....	Intracoastal Waterway .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060901 .....	Bayou Petite Anse .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050402 .....	Lake Arthur and Lower Mermentau.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050602 .....	Intracoastal Waterway .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050701 .....	Grand Lake .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050702 .....	Intracoastal Waterway .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050703 .....	White Lake .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060205 .....	Bayou Teche—Headwaters at Bayou Courtableau to I-10.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060212 .....	Chatlin Lake Canal and Bayou DuLac.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060701 .....	Tete Bayou .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060702 .....	Lake Fausse Point and Dauterive Lake.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060906 .....	Intracoastal Waterway .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060910 .....	Boston Canal and Associated Canals (Estuarine).	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
061103 .....	Freshwater Bayou Canal ...	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
050501 .....	Bayou Que de Tortue Headwaters to Mermentau River.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060902 .....	Bayou Carlin (Delcambre Canal)—Lake Peigneur To Bayou Petite Anse.	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
060803 .....	Vermilion River Cutoff .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.
061102 .....	Intracoastal Waterway .....	Oil & Grease .....	Assessment of new data and information shows it is meeting WQS.

EPA requests that the public provide to EPA any water quality related data and information that may be relevant to the 26 proposed determinations that TMDLs are not needed. EPA will review all data and information submitted during the public comment period and revise the determinations where appropriate.

Dated: March 7, 2001.

**Joan E. Brown,**

*Acting Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 01-6680 Filed 3-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

March 7, 2001.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before May 18, 2001. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications

Commissions, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1-A804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0717.

*Title:* Billed Party Preference for InterLATA 0+ Calls—CC Docket No. 92-77; 47 CFR Sections 64.703(a), 64.709, 64.710.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Respondents:* Business or Other for Profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 1500.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 466.1 hours (avg.).

*Total Annual Burden:* 669,157 hours.

*Estimated Annual Reporting and*

*Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$198,000.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission adopted rules to further the goals of 47 U.S.C. Section 226. Pursuant to Section 64.703(a), operator service providers (OSPs) are required to disclose, audibly and distinctly to the consumer, at no charge and before connecting any interstate call, how to obtain rate quotations, including any applicable surcharges. Section 64.709 codifies the requirements for OSPs to file informational tariffs with the Commission. Section 64.710 requires providers of interstate operator services of inmates at correctional institutions to identify themselves, audibly and distinctly, to the party to be billed, among other things. The disclosure rules will make it easier for callers using operator services provided at call aggregator phones, and prison-inmate phones, to obtain immediately the cost of the call, prior to the call being connected. The Commission has reviewed rates and charges contained in informational tariffs and instituted several formal as well as numerous informal investigations on receiving complaints from consumers, or on its own initiative, when OSP rates and related aggregator surcharges appeared to have been excessive.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0715.

*Title:* Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information—CC Docket No. 96-116.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Respondents:* Business or Other for Profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 6832.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 90.28 hrs (avg.).

*Total Annual Burden:* 616,817 hours.

*Estimated Annual Reporting and*

*Recordkeeping Cost Burden:* \$229,500.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion;

Third party disclosure.

*Needs and Uses:* In CC Docket No. 96-115, the Commission established rules to implement 47 U.S.C. Section 222. The rules are intended to further Congress's goals of fostering competition in telecommunications markets and to ensure the privacy of customer information. Among other things, carriers are permitted to use CPNI, without customer approval, under certain conditions. Carriers must obtain express customer approval to use CPNI to market service outside the customer's existing service relationship. Carriers must provide a one-time notification of customers' CPNI rights prior to any solicitation for approval. Carriers must maintain such records for a period of at least one year. Carriers must implement a system by which the status of a customer's CPNI approval can be clearly established prior to the use of CPNI. Carriers must establish a supervisory review process regarding carrier compliance with the rules in 47 CFR Part 64 for outbound marketing situations. All carriers must obtain on an annual basis a certification signed by a current officer attesting that he or she has personal knowledge that the carrier is in compliance with the Commission's rules. LECs must disclose aggregate customer information to others upon request. Section 222(c)(2) requires carriers to provide a customer's CPNI to any person designated in the written authorization. Telecommunications common carriers must provide subscriber list information gathered in their capacity as providers of telephone exchange service to any person upon request for the purpose of publishing directories. Carriers are obligated to provide updated subscriber list information and notices of changes in subscriber list information to the extent those changes reflect customers decision to cease having a telephone number listed.

*OMB Approval No.:* 3060-0206.

*Title:* Part 21—Multipoint Distribution Service.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Businesses or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 15,858.

*Estimated Hours Per Response:*

Ranges from 0.083 hrs to 10 hrs depending on rule section.