

*Number of Respondents:* 400.  
*Estimated Time Per Response:* .50 hours.

*Total Annual Burden:* 200 hours.

*Total Annual Costs:* \$40,000.00.

*Needs and Uses:* The information collection requirements reported under this control number are used by the Commission to review pending cable service tier rate complaints.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Magalie Roman Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-4920 Filed 3-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

February 26, 2001.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before April 5, 2001. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th

Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to jbole@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at jbole@fcc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0281.

*Title:* Section 90.651, Supplemental Reports Required of Licensees Authorized under this Subpart.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 16,408.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* .166 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 2,724 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* In Report and Order in WT Docket No. 97-153 (FCC 99-9), the Commission revised rule section 90.651 for reporting the number of mobile units placed in operation from eight months to 12 months. The radio facilities addressed in this subpart of the rules are allocated on and governed by regulations designed to award facilities on a need basis determined by the number of mobile units served by each base station. This is necessary to avoid frequency hoarding by applicants. This rule requires licensees to report the number of mobile units served.

The Commission licensing personnel use the information to maintain an accurate database of frequency users. The Commission and the public use the data base information in spectrum planning, interference resolution and licensing activities.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0291.

*Title:* Section 90.477, Interconnected Systems.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,000.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* .50 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion and annual reporting requirements, and recordkeeping requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 500 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* This rule section allows private land mobile radio licensees to use common point telephone interconnection with

telephone service costs distributed on a non-profit cost sharing basis. Records of such arrangements must be placed in the licensee's station file and made available to participants in the sharing arrangement and the Commission upon request.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Canton,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-5393 Filed 3-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. AUC-36-A (Auction No. 39); DA 01-477]

### Auction of Licenses for the VHF Public Coast and Location and Monitoring Services Spectrum Scheduled for June 6, 2001

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces the auction of 16 VHF public coast licenses and 241 multilateration Location and Monitoring Services Spectrum scheduled for June 6, 2001. The notice seeks comments on reserve prices or minimum opening bids and other procedural issues regarding Auction No. 39.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before March 9, 2001, and reply comments are due on or before March 16, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** An original and four copies of all pleadings must be filed with the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554, in accordance with § 1.51(c) of the Commission's rules.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kenneth Burnley, Auctions Attorney, or Lyle Ishida, Auctions Analyst, at (202) 418-0660; or Linda Sanderson, Project Manager, at (717) 338-2888.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of a public notice released February 23, 2001 that announces the auction of 16 VHF public coast licenses and 241 multilateration Location and Monitoring Service ("LMS") licenses ("Auction No. 39") to commence on June 6, 2001. This auction will include the licenses that remained unsold in Auctions No. 20 and No. 21, which closed on December 14, 1998 and March 5, 1999, respectively. Auction No. 39 will include the following:

- *VHF Public Coast.* Specifically, sixteen licenses will be available in

geographic areas known as VHF Public Coast Areas (VPCs). There are two categories of VPCs: maritime VPCs and inland VPCs. All of the VHF Public

Coast licenses to be offered in Auction No. 39 are inland VPC licenses. Inland VPCs are identical to the Commerce Department's Economic Areas, no part

of which is within 100 miles of a major waterway. Each VPC license has seven 25 kHz channel pairs, adding up to 350 kHz.

| Inland VPCs  | Channel Pairs (total kHz available)  |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Inland Border VPCs: VPCs 10, 11 .....                        | 24, 26, 27, 28, 85, 86, 87 (350 kHz) |
| Inland Non-Border VPCs: .....                                | 24, 26, 27, 28, 85, 86, 87 (350 kHz) |
| VPCs 12–15, 23, 26, 38 VPCs 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 40 ..... | 24, 26, 27, 28, 84, 86, 87 (350 kHz) |

• *LMS*. Three blocks of spectrum are allocated for LMS systems:

Block A: 904.000–909.750 MHz and 927.750–928.000 MHz;

Block B: 919.750–921.750 MHz and 927.500–927.750 MHz;

Block C: 921.750–927.250 MHz and 927.250–927.500 MHz.

A geographic licensing area is comprised of each of these spectrum blocks. LMS spectrum is licensed in 176 Economic Areas (EAs). In Auction No. 39, 241 LMS licenses will be available: 117 licenses will be auctioned in Block A, 61 licenses will be auctioned in Block B, and 63 licenses will be auctioned in Block C.

A list of licenses available for this auction is included in Attachment A of the Public Notice. The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 requires the Commission to “ensure that, in the scheduling of any competitive bidding under this subsection, an adequate period is allowed . . . before issuance of bidding rules, to permit notice and comment on proposed auction procedures. . . .” Consistent with the provisions of the Balanced Budget Act and to ensure that potential bidders have adequate time to familiarize themselves with the specific rules that will govern the day-to-day conduct of an auction, the Commission directed the Bureau, under its existing delegated authority, to seek comment on a variety of auction-specific procedures prior to the start of each auction. We therefore seek comment on the following issues relating to Auction No. 39.

## I. Auction Structure

### A. Simultaneous Multiple Round Auction Design

1. We propose to award the licenses in a single, simultaneous multiple-round auction. As described further, this methodology offers every license for bid at the same time in successive bidding rounds. We seek comment on this proposal.

### B. Upfront Payments and Initial Maximum Eligibility

2. The Bureau has delegated authority and discretion to determine an appropriate upfront payment for each

license being auctioned taking into account such factors as the population in each geographic license area, and the value of similar spectrum. As described further, the upfront payment is a refundable deposit made by each bidder to establish eligibility to bid on licenses. Upfront payments related to the specific spectrum subject to auction protect against frivolous or insincere bidding and provide the Commission with a source of funds from which to collect payments owed at the close of the auction. With these guidelines in mind, we propose for Auction No. 39, to calculate upfront payments on a license-by-license basis using the following formulas:

#### • VHF Public Coast

*Inland VPC Licenses:*  $\$.075 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum upfront payment of \$2,500 per license.

#### • LMS

*Block A:*  $\$.00004 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum upfront payment of \$1,000 per license.

*Block B:*  $\$.00005 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum upfront payment of \$1,000 per license.

*Block C:*  $\$.00005 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the results rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000 and to the nearest thousand for results greater than \$10,000) with a minimum upfront payment of \$1,000 per license.

3. A complete list of all licenses, including the related license area population and upfront payment, are listed as Attachment A of the Public Notice. We seek comment on this proposal.

4. We further propose that the amount of the upfront payment submitted by a bidder will determine the initial maximum eligibility (as measured in bidding units) for each bidder. Upfront payments will not be attributed to specific licenses, but instead will be translated into bidding units to define a

bidder's initial maximum eligibility, which cannot be increased during the auction. The maximum eligibility will determine the licenses on which a bidder may bid in each round of the auction. Thus, in calculating its upfront payment amount, an applicant must determine the maximum number of bidding units it may wish to bid on (or hold high bids on) in any single round, and submit an upfront payment covering that number of bidding units. We seek comment on this proposal.

### C. Activity Rules

5. In order to ensure that the auction closes within a reasonable period of time, an activity rule requires bidders to either place a valid bid and/or be the standing high bidder during each round of the auction rather than waiting until the end to participate. A bidder that does not satisfy the activity rule will either use an activity rule waiver, if any remain, or lose bidding eligibility in the auction.

6. We propose to divide the auction into two stages: Stage One and Stage Two—each characterized by an increased activity requirement. The auction will start in Stage One. We propose that the auction will generally advance to the next stage when the auction activity level, as measured by the percentage of bidding units receiving new high bids, is approximately thirty percent or below for three consecutive rounds of bidding. However, we further propose that the Bureau retain the discretion to change stages unilaterally by announcement during the auction. In exercising this discretion, the Bureau will consider a variety of measures of bidder activity, including, but not limited to, the auction activity level, the percentage of licenses (as measured in bidding units) on which there are new bids, the number of new bids, and the percentage increase in revenue. We seek comment on these proposals.

7. For Auction No. 39, we propose the following activity requirements:

*Stage One:* In each round of Stage One, a bidder desiring to maintain its current eligibility is required to be active on licenses encompassing at least

80 percent of its current bidding eligibility. Failure to maintain the requisite activity level will result in a reduction in the bidder's bidding eligibility in the next round of bidding (unless an activity rule waiver is used). During Stage One, reduced eligibility for the next round will be calculated by multiplying the current round activity by five-fourths (5/4).

*Stage Two:* In each round of Stage Two, a bidder desiring to maintain its current eligibility is required to be active on 98 percent of its current bidding eligibility. In this final stage, reduced eligibility for the next round will be calculated by multiplying the current round activity by fifty-fortyninths (50/49). We seek comment on these proposals. If commenters believe that these activity rules should be changed, they should explain their reasoning and comment on the desirability of an alternative approach. Commenters are advised to support their claims with analyses and suggested alternative activity rules.

#### *D. Activity Rule Waivers and Reducing Eligibility*

8. Use of an activity rule waiver preserves the bidder's current bidding eligibility despite the bidder's activity in the current round being below the required minimum level. An activity rule waiver applies to an entire round of bidding and not to a particular license. Activity waivers are principally a mechanism for auction participants to avoid the loss of auction eligibility in the event that exigent circumstances prevent them from placing a bid in a particular round.

9. The FCC auction system assumes that bidders with insufficient activity would prefer to use an activity rule waiver (if available) rather than lose bidding eligibility. Therefore, the system will automatically apply a waiver (known as an "automatic waiver") at the end of any bidding period where a bidder's activity level is below the minimum required unless: (i) there are no activity rule waivers available; or (ii) the bidder overrides the automatic application of a waiver by reducing eligibility, thereby meeting the minimum requirements.

10. A bidder with insufficient activity may wish to reduce its bidding eligibility rather than use an activity rule waiver. If so, the bidder must affirmatively override the automatic waiver mechanism during the bidding period by using the reduce eligibility function in the bidding software. In this case, the bidder's eligibility is permanently reduced to bring the bidder into compliance with the activity rules

as described. Once eligibility has been reduced, a bidder will not be permitted to regain its lost bidding eligibility.

11. A bidder may proactively use an activity rule waiver as a means to keep the auction open without placing a bid. If a bidder submits a proactive waiver (using the proactive waiver function in the bidding software) during a bidding period in which no bids are submitted, the auction will remain open and the bidder's eligibility will be preserved. An automatic waiver invoked in a round in which there are no new valid bids will not keep the auction open.

12. We propose that each bidder in Auction No. 39 be provided with five activity rule waivers that may be used at the bidder's discretion during the course of the auction as set forth. We seek comment on this proposal.

#### *E. Information Relating to Auction Delay, Suspension, or Cancellation*

13. For Auction No. 39, we propose that, by public notice or by announcement during the auction, the Bureau may delay, suspend, or cancel the auction in the event of natural disaster, technical obstacle, evidence of an auction security breach, unlawful bidding activity, administrative or weather necessity, or for any other reason that affects the fair and competitive conduct of competitive bidding. In such cases, the Bureau, in its sole discretion, may elect to resume the auction starting from the beginning of the current round, resume the auction starting from some previous round, or cancel the auction in its entirety. Network interruption may cause the Bureau to delay or suspend the auction. We emphasize that exercise of this authority is solely within the discretion of the Bureau, and its use is not intended to be a substitute for situations in which bidders may wish to apply their activity rule waivers. We seek comment on this proposal.

## **II. Bidding Procedures**

### *A. Round Structure*

14. The Commission will use its Automated Auction System to conduct the electronic simultaneous multiple round auction format for Auction No. 39. The initial bidding schedule will be announced in a public notice to be released at least one week before the start of the auction, and will be included in the registration mailings. The auction format will consist of sequential bidding rounds; each followed by the release of round results. Details regarding the location and format of round results will be included in the same public notice.

15. The Bureau has discretion to change the bidding schedule in order to foster an auction pace that reasonably balances speed with the bidders' need to study round results and adjust their bidding strategies. The Bureau may increase or decrease the amount of time for the bidding rounds and review periods, or the number of rounds per day, depending upon the bidding activity level and other factors. We seek comment on this proposal.

### *B. Reserve Price or Minimum Opening Bid*

16. The Balanced Budget Act calls upon the Commission to prescribe methods by which a reasonable reserve price will be required or a minimum opening bid established when FCC licenses are subject to auction (*i.e.*, because the Commission has accepted mutually exclusive applications for those licenses), unless the Commission determines that a reserve price or minimum bid is not in the public interest. Consistent with this mandate, the Commission has directed the Bureau to seek comment on the use of a minimum opening bid and/or reserve price prior to the start of each auction.

17. Normally, a reserve price is an absolute minimum price below, which an item will not be sold in a given auction. Reserve prices can be either published or unpublished. A minimum-opening bid, on the other hand, is the minimum bid price set at the beginning of the auction below which no bids are accepted. It is generally used to accelerate the competitive bidding process. Also, in a minimum opening bid scenario, the auctioneer generally has the discretion to lower the amount later in the auction. It is also possible for the minimum opening bid and the reserve price to be the same amount.

18. In light of the Balanced Budget Act, the Bureau proposes to establish minimum opening bids for Auction No. 39. The Bureau believes a minimum opening bid, which has been utilized in other auctions, is an effective bidding tool. A minimum opening bid, rather than a reserve price, will help to regulate the pace of the auction and provides flexibility.

19. Specifically, for Auction No. 39, the Commission proposes the following formulae for calculating minimum opening bids on a license-by-license basis:

- VHF Public Coast

*Inland VPC Licenses:* \$.011 \* MHz \* Pops (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum of no less than \$2,500 per license.

- LMS

*Block A:*  $\$0.0004 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum of no less than \$1,000 per license.

*Block B:*  $\$0.0005 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000) with a minimum of no less than \$1,000 per license.

*Block C:*  $\$0.0005 * \text{MHz} * \text{Pops}$  (the result rounded to the nearest hundred for results less than \$10,000 and to the nearest thousand for results greater than \$10,000) with a minimum of no less than \$1,000 per license.

20. The specific minimum opening bid for each license available in Auction No. 39 is set forth in Attachment A of the Public Notice. Comment is sought on this proposal. If commenters believe that these minimum opening bids will result in substantial numbers of unsold licenses, or are not reasonable amounts, or should instead operate as reserve prices, they should explain why this is so, and comment on the desirability of an alternative approach. Commenters are advised to support their claims with valuation analyses and suggested reserve prices or minimum opening bid levels or formulas. In establishing the minimum opening bids, we particularly seek comment on such factors as, among other things, the amount of spectrum being auctioned, levels of incumbency, the availability of technology to provide service, the size of the geographic service areas, issues of interference with other spectrum bands and any other relevant factors that could reasonably have an impact on valuation of the VHF public coast station and the LMS spectrum. Alternatively, comment is sought on whether, consistent with the Balanced Budget Act, the public interest would be served by having no minimum opening bid or reserve price.

#### C. Minimum Accepted Bids and Bid Increments

21. Once there is a standing high bid on a license, a bid increment will be applied to that license to establish a minimum acceptable bid for the following round. For Auction No. 39, we propose to use a smoothing methodology to calculate bid increments, as we have done in several other auctions. The Bureau retains the discretion to change the minimum bid increment if it determines that circumstances so dictate. The Bureau will do so by announcement in the Automated Auction System. We seek comment on these proposals.

22. The exponential smoothing formula calculates the bid increment for

each license based on a weighted average of the activity received on each license in all previous rounds. This methodology will tailor the bid increment for each license based on activity, rather than setting a global increment for all licenses. For every license that receives a bid, the bid increment for the next round for that license will be established using the exponential smoothing formula.

23. The calculation of the percentage bid increment for each license in a given round is made at the end of the previous round. The computation is based on an activity index, which is calculated as the weighted average of the activity in that round and the activity index from the prior round. The activity index at the start of the auction (round 0) will be set at 0. The current activity index is equal to a weighting factor times the number of new bids received on the license in the most recent bidding round plus one minus the weighting factor times the activity index from the prior round. The activity index is then used to calculate a percentage increment by multiplying a minimum percentage increment by one plus the activity index with that result being subject to a maximum percentage increment. The Commission will initially set the weighting factor at 0.5, the minimum percentage increment at 0.1 (10%), and the maximum percentage increment at 0.2 (20%).

#### Equations

$$A_i = (C * B_i) + ((1-C) * A_{i-1})$$

$$I_{i+1} = \text{smaller of } ((1 + A_i) * N) \text{ and } M$$

where,

$A_i$  = activity index for the current round (round i)

$C$  = activity weight factor

$B_i$  = number of bids in the current round (round i)

$A_{i-1}$  = activity index from previous round (round i - 1),  $A_0$  is 0

$I_{i+1}$  = percentage bid increment for the next round (round i+1)

$N$  = minimum percentage increment or bid increment floor

$M$  = maximum percentage increment or bid increment ceiling

Under the exponential smoothing methodology, once a bid has been received on a license, the minimum acceptable bid for that license in the following round will be the new high bid plus the dollar amount associated with the percentage increment (variable  $I_{i+1}$  from above times the high bid). This result will be rounded to the nearest thousand if it is over ten thousand or to the nearest hundred if it is under ten thousand.

#### Examples

##### License 1

$$C=0.5, N = 0.1, M = 0.2$$

*Round 1 (2 new bids, high bid = \$1,000,000)*

i. Calculation of percentage increment for round 2 using exponential smoothing:

$$A_1 = (0.5 * 2) + (0.5 * 0) = 1$$

$$I_2 = \text{The smaller of } ((1 + 1) * 0.1) = 0.2 \text{ or } 0.2 \text{ (the maximum percentage increment)}$$

ii. Minimum bid increment for round 2 using the percentage increment ( $I_2$  from above)

$$0.2 * \$1,000,000 = \$200,000$$

iii. Minimum acceptable bid for round 2 = \$1,200,000

*Round 2 (3 new bids, high bid = \$2,000,000)*

i. Calculation of percentage increment for round 3 using exponential smoothing:

$$A_2 = (0.5 * 3) + (0.5 * 1) = 2$$

$$I_3 = \text{The smaller of } ((1 + 2) * 0.1) = 0.3 \text{ or } 0.2 \text{ (the maximum percentage increment)}$$

ii. Minimum bid increment for round 3 using the percentage increment ( $I_3$  from above)

$$0.2 * \$2,000,000 = \$400,000$$

iii. Minimum acceptable bid for round 3 = \$2,400,000

*Round 3 (1 new bid, high bid = \$2,400,000)*

i. Calculation of percentage increment for round 4 using exponential smoothing:

$$A_3 = (0.5 * 1) + (0.5 * 2) = 1.5$$

$$I_4 = \text{The smaller of } ((1 + 1.5) * 0.1) = 0.25 \text{ or } 0.2 \text{ (the maximum percentage increment)}$$

ii. Minimum bid increment for round 4 using the percentage increment ( $I_4$  from above)

$$0.2 * \$2,400,000 = \$480,000$$

iii. Minimum acceptable bid for round 4 = \$2,880,000

#### D. Information Regarding Bid Withdrawal and Bid Removal

24. For Auction No. 39, we propose the following bid removal and bid withdrawal procedures. Before the close of a bidding period, a bidder has the option of removing any bid placed in that round. By using the remove bid function in the bidding software, a bidder may effectively "unsubmit" any bid placed within that round. A bidder removing a bid placed in the same round is not subject to a withdrawal payment. Once a round closes, a bidder may no longer remove a bid. We seek comment on this bid removal procedure.

25. Once a round closes, a bidder may no longer remove a bid. However, in the next round, a bidder may withdraw standing high bids from previous rounds using the withdraw bid function. A high bidder that withdraws its standing high bid from a previous round is subject to the bid withdrawal payment provisions. We seek comment

on these bid removal and bid withdrawal procedures.

26. In the *Part 1 Third Report and Order*, 63 FR 2315 (January 15, 1998), the Commission explained that allowing bid withdrawals facilitates efficient aggregation of licenses and the pursuit of efficient backup strategies as information becomes available during the course of an auction. The Commission noted, however, that, in some instances, bidders may seek to withdraw bids for improper reasons. The Bureau, therefore, has discretion, in managing the auction, to limit the number of withdrawals to prevent any bidding abuses. The Commission stated that the Bureau should assertively exercise its discretion, consider limiting the number of rounds in which bidders may withdraw bids, and prevent bidders from bidding on a particular market if the Bureau finds that a bidder is abusing the Commission's bid withdrawal procedures.

27. Applying this reasoning, we propose to limit each bidder in Auction No. 39 to withdraw standing high bids in no more than two rounds during the course of the auction. To permit a bidder to withdraw bids in more than two rounds would likely encourage insincere bidding or the use of withdrawals for anti-competitive strategic purposes. The two rounds in which withdrawals are utilized will be at the bidder's discretion; withdrawals otherwise must be in accordance with the Commission's rules. There is no limit on the number of standing high bids that may be withdrawn in either of the rounds in which withdrawals are utilized. Withdrawals will remain subject to the bid withdrawal payment provisions specified in the Commission's rules. We seek comment on this proposal.

### C. Stopping Rule

28. For Auction No. 39, the Bureau proposes to employ a simultaneous stopping rule approach. The Bureau has discretion "to establish stopping rules before or during multiple round auctions in order to terminate the auction within a reasonable time." A simultaneous stopping rule means that all licenses remain open until the first round in which no new acceptable bids, proactive waivers, or withdrawals are received. After the first such round, bidding closes simultaneously on all licenses. Thus, unless circumstances dictate otherwise, bidding would remain open on all licenses until bidding stops on every license.

29. The Bureau seeks comment on a modified version of the simultaneous stopping rule. The modified stopping

rule would close the auction for all licenses after the first round in which no bidder submits a proactive waiver, a withdrawal, or a new bid on any license on which it is not the standing high bidder. Thus, absent any other bidding activity, a bidder placing a new bid on a license for which it is the standing high bidder would not keep the auction open under this modified stopping rule. The Bureau further seeks comment on whether this modified stopping rule should be used unilaterally or only in stage two of the auction.

30. The Bureau proposes to retain the discretion to keep an auction open even if no new acceptable bids or proactive waivers are submitted and no previous high bids are withdrawn. In this event, the effect will be the same as if a bidder had submitted a proactive waiver. The activity rule, therefore, will apply as usual, and a bidder with insufficient activity will either lose bidding eligibility or use a remaining activity rule waiver.

31. Finally, we propose that the Bureau reserve the right to declare that the auction will end after a specified number of additional rounds ("special stopping rule"). If the Bureau invokes this special stopping rule, it will accept bids in the final round(s) only for licenses on which the high bid increased in at least one of the preceding specified number of rounds. The Bureau proposes to exercise this option only in certain circumstances, such as, for example, where the auction is proceeding very slowly, there is minimal overall bidding activity, or it appears likely that the auction will not close within a reasonable period of time. Before exercising this option, the Bureau is likely to attempt to increase the pace of the auction by, for example, moving the auction into the next stage (where bidders would be required to maintain a higher level of bidding activity), increasing the number of bidding rounds per day, and/or increasing the amount of the minimum bid increments for the limited number of licenses where there is still a high level of bidding activity. We seek comment on these proposals.

### III. Conclusion

32. Comments are due on or before March 9, 2001, and reply comments are due on or before March 16, 2001. An original and four copies of all pleadings must be filed with the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554, in accordance with § 1.51(c) of the Commission's rules.

See 47 CFR 1.51(c). In addition, one copy of each pleading must be delivered to each of the following locations: (a) the Commission's duplicating contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036; (b) Office of Media Relations, Public Reference Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Suite CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554; (c) Rana Shuler, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Suite 4-A628, Washington, DC 20554. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Public Reference Room, Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Margaret Wiener,**

*Chief, Auctions & Industry Analysis Division, WTB.*

[FR Doc. 01-5395 Filed 3-5-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 92-237; DA 01-512]

### Next Meeting of the North American Numbering Council

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On February 27, 2001, the Commission released a public notice announcing the March 20 and 21, 2001, meeting and agenda of the North American Numbering Council (NANC). The intended effect of this action is to make the public aware of the NANC's next meeting and its agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Blue, Special Assistant to the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at (202) 418-2320 or dblue@fcc.gov. The address is: Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, The Portals, 445 12th Street, SW., Suite 6A207, Washington, DC 20554. The fax number is: (202) 418-2345. The TTY number is: (202) 418-0484.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Released: February 27, 2001.

The North American Numbering Council (NANC) has scheduled a meeting to be held Tuesday, March 20, 2001, from 8:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m., and on Wednesday, March 21, from 8:30 a.m., until 12 noon. The meeting will be held at the Federal Communications