

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[A-570-803]****Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews****AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2001.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Pedersen at (202) 482-4195 or Ron Trentham at (202) 482-6320, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.**Time Limits***Statutory Time Limits*

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

*Background*

On November 7, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 1999-2000 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results and Preliminary Partial Recision of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Notice of Intent Not to Revoke in Part* (65, FR 66691). The Department did not extend the time limit for the preliminary results of these reviews.

*Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Reviews*

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of these

reviews within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than September 3, 2001. *See* Decision Memorandum from Thomas F. Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 8, 2001.

**Holly A. Kuga,***Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-4288 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P****DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[A-580-807]****Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Korea; Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review****AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Korea. The review covers three manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and the period June 1, 1999 through May 31, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2001.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4475 or 482-0649, respectively.**Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review**

On July 31, 2000, the Department initiated this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Korea. (65 FR 46687). The current deadline for the preliminary results is March 1, 2001. We determine that it is not practicable to complete this

review within the original time frame. (*See* Memorandum to Joseph A. Spetrini dated February 12, 2001.)

Accordingly, we are extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review until no later than June 29, 2001, (i.e., 120 days) in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. The deadline for issuing the final results of this review will be no later than 120 days from the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(3)(A)).

**Joseph A. Spetrini,***Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-4285 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M****DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[A-469-807]****Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review****AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On October 13, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod (SSWR) from Spain (65 FR 60905). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise (Roldan S.A.). The period of review (POR) is March 5, 1998, through August 31, 1999.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2001.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard Smith or Lyman Armstrong, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,

Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3965 or (202) 482-3601, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

##### Background

On October 13, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSWR from Spain. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain*, 65 FR 60905 (October 13, 2000).

In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, ROLDAN, S.A. (Roldan) and petitioners (*i.e.*, Empire Specialty Steel Inc. (formerly AL Tech Specialty Steel Corp.), Carpenter Technology Corp., Republic Engineered Steels, and the United Steel Workers of America (AFL-CIO/CLC)) filed case briefs on November 13, 2000. On November 20, 2000, Roldan filed a rebuttal brief.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of Review

For purposes of this review, SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime, or oxalate.

SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hot-rolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later cold-finished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar.

The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents

the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches in diameter. Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of the review. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

##### SF20T

Carbon: 0.05 max  
Manganese: 2.00 max  
Phosphorous: 0.05 max  
Sulfur: 0.15 max  
Silicon: 1.00 max  
Chromium: 19.00/21.00  
Molybdenum: 1.50/2.50  
Lead: added (0.10/0.30)  
Tellurium: added (0.03 min)

##### K-M35FL

Carbon: 0.015 max  
Silicon: 0.70/1.00  
Manganese: 0.40 max  
Phosphorous: 0.04 max  
Sulfur: 0.03 max  
Nickel: 0.30 max  
Chromium: 12.50/14.00  
Lead: 0.10/0.30  
Aluminum: 0.20/0.35

The products under investigation are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

##### Period of Review

The POR is March 5, 1998 through August 31, 1999.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, fulfilling the duties of Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated February 12, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this

public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Use of Facts Available

In accordance with section 776 of the Act, we have determined that the use of facts available is appropriate for certain portions of our calculation of Roldan's U.S. indirect selling expenses. For a discussion of our determination with respect to this matter, see comment 2 of Decision Memorandum, accessible in B-099 and on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>.

##### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period March 5, 1998 through August 31, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Roldan, S.A. ....	0.80

##### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales to the total entered value of the same sales. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct Customs to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs.

##### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSWR from Spain entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Roldan will be the rate shown

above except if the rate is *de minimis*, then no cash deposit will be required; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 4.73 percent, the "all-others" rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of administrative review for a subsequent review period.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 12, 2001.

**Timothy J. Hauser,**

*Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.*

#### **Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum**

##### **Comments**

1. Ministerial Errors
2. Allocation Methodology Used to Calculate U.S. Indirect Selling Expenses

[FR Doc. 01-4283 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

**[C-401-401]**

#### **Certain Carbon Steel Products from Sweden: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On December 3, 1999, in response to a request from respondent, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on carbon steel products from Sweden. The review covers the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 1998. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is now rescinding this review because SSAB Svenskt Stal AB (SSAB) (respondent) has withdrawn its request for review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tipten Troidl or Gayle Longest, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 29, 1999, the Department received a request for an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain steel products from Sweden from SSAB, for the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 1998. On December 3, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 67846) a notice of "Initiation of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review" initiating the administrative review. On September 7, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 54229) a notice of "Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review." On November 2, 2000, the International Trade Commission (ITC) made a negative determination in the sunset review of *Certain Steel Products from Sweden*; thus the order was to be revoked by the Department of Commerce effective January 1, 2000. On December 15, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 78467) a notice of "Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders" which

revoked the countervailing duty order on certain carbon steel products from Sweden, effective January 1, 2000.

On January 17, 2001, respondent withdrew its request for review because of the revocation of the order. The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. Although the request for recession was made after the 90 day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides it is reasonable to do so. Due to the fact that SSAB was the only party to request an administrative review, we find it reasonable to accept the party's withdrawal of its request for review. Moreover, we have received no other submissions regarding SSAB's request for withdrawal of the administrative review. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the countervailing duty order on certain carbon steel products from Sweden for SSAB covering the period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 13, 2001.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-4289 Filed 2-20-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

**[C-357-815]**

#### **Notice of Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Argentina**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary affirmative countervailing duty determination.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eric B. Greynolds at (202) 482-6071 or Darla Brown at (202) 482-2849, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import