ACAA also comments that in the event that Legend does not resume operations, the slots selected by Legend should be made available to new entrant and limited incumbent carriers and not be allocated to the commuter carriers. In addition, ACAA requests that the FAA suspend the extra section authority, suspend the buy-sell rule for LaGuardia until October 1, 2001, and provide new entrants/limited incumbents with four daily "delay-free" arrivals. These last comments are beyond the purpose of this disposition of comments concerning the lottery and will not be addressed in this document.

Midwest Express urges the FAA to reconsider its statement during the lottery that only the four commuter participants are permitted to participate in the Legend contingency round and that should there be future slot turnbacks or use/lose violations, those four commuter participants would remain eligible for the slots.

The FAA agrees with US Airways' comments with respect to the limited issue that in the event that the contingency round is allocated among the other four participating carriers, US Airways would be next in line to select an available slot, since it only selected one slot during the contingency round. The FAA does not agree that in the event that the contingency round is allocated that US Airways should be entitled to the second available slot in order to swap that slot with the 2100 slot that it had to select.

The FAA does not agree with ACAA and Midwest Express that any future slot turnbacks should be reserved for new entrant/limited incumbent. In developing the lottery procedures, the agency strived to strike a balance between the policies set forth in AIR-21 and to provide a fair and equitable distribution between the two categories of operations, consistent with the provisions of AIR-21. Since the purpose of the lottery was to cap operations at a level that was more acceptable than the current level of operations, the FAA did not structure lottery procedures so that any carrier could grow its operations. Not including the commuter carriers, all new entrant and limited incumbent carriers retained the same number of slots that they operated prior to the lottery. Consequently, while new entrant and limited incumbent carriers are limited in their ability to grow, as is the same for the commuter carriers, they have not been forced to reduce operations.

If AIR–21 exemption slots are returned for the long-term, under current lottery procedures, all new entrants have received their full allocations and thus would not be eligible for additional allocations. The FAA does not support changing the lottery procedures during this allocation period. The procedures set forth in the December 4, 2000, **Federal Register** notice will remain in effect until September 15, 2001.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 13, 2001.

James W. Whitlow,

Deputy Chief Counsel.
[FR Doc. 01–4149 Filed 2–16–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

RTCA Special Committee 194; ATM Data Link Implementation

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for Special Committee 194 meeting to be held March 12–15, 2001, starting at 1 p.m. on March 12. The meeting will be held at RTCA, 1140 Connecticut Ave., NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036.

The agenda will include: March 12: 1 p.m. Plenary Session: (1) Introductory Remarks; (2) Review Meeting Agenda; (3) Review Previous Meeting Minutes; (4) Proposed Revision 3 to Committee Terms of Reference; (5) Status of the Free Flight Select Committee Update; (6) Status of Working Group (WG)-2's document, "DO-XXX Implementation Requirements for Service Integrated Flight Operations and Air Traffic Management Using Addressed Data Link" (DO-INTEGRATION); (7) Working Group Reports; March 13: 8:30 a.m. (8) WG-2, Flight Operations and ATM Integration; (9) WG-1, Data Link Ops Concept & Implementation Plan; March 14: 8:30 a.m. (10) WG-2 and WG-1 meetings continue; 1 p.m. (11) WG-4, Service Provider Interface; March 15: 9 a.m. Plenary Session: (12) Review Meeting Agenda; (13) Review Status of WG-2 document, DO-INTEGRATION; (14) Working Group Reports; (15) Other Business; (16) Data and Location of Next Meeting; (17) Closing.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036; (202) 833–9339 (phone); (202)

833–9434 (fax); or http://www.rtca.org (web site). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 12, 2001.

Janice L. Peters,

Designated Official.

[FR Doc. 01–4152 Filed 2–16–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Orange and San Diego Counties, California

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Revised Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this revised notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed transportation improvement project in southern Orange County and northern San Diego County, California. A previous Notice of Intent was published in the Federal Register on December 16, 1993(58 FR 65758, Dec. 16, 1993) and public scoping meetings were held on August 25, 1994 and September 16, 1994.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert L. Cady, Transportation Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, California Division, 980 Ninth Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, California 95814–2724. Telephone: (916) 498–5038.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), on a proposal to locate and construct transportation infrastructure improvements in southern Orange County and northern San Diego County. The Transportation Corridor Agencies (TCA) is currently preparing a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) to comply with the review requirements of the state of California Environmental Quality Act. In an effort to eliminate unnecessary duplication and reduce delay, the document to be prepared, will be a joint EIS/SEIR in accordance with the President's Council on Environmental Quality Regulations as described in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), sections 1500.5 and 1506.2.

The purpose of the proposed project is provide improvements to the

transportation infrastructure system that would help alleviate future traffic congestion and accommodate the need for mobility, access, goods movement, and future traffic demands on the interstate Route (I–5) freeway and the arterial network in the southern Orange County area.

Alternatives under consideration include (1, 2, and 3) three southerly toll road extension alignments, including several variations thereof, from the existing terminus of the Foothill Transportation Corridor–North, State Route 241 (SR-241), at Oso Parkway; to the I-5 freeway near the Orange County/ San Diego County line; (4) improvements to the local arterial system; (5) lane additions on I-5 in each direction between the I-5/I-405 confluence to Christianitos Road; and (6) no action. Note: As required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), all other reasonable alternatives will be considered. These alternatives may be refined, combined with various different alternative elements, or be removed from further consideration, as more analysis is conducted on the project alternatives.

In November of 1985, Orange County began consultation with State and local agencies for the southern segment of SR–241, identified as beginning just south of the Oso Parkway interchange and extending southerly to a connection with the I-5 freeway. The TCA has continued these consultations and held a scoping meeting for state and federal agencies regarding the proposed route. These consultations identified areas of special concern along the proposed route, including new highway and arterial roadway improvements and updates to portions of the baseline information, which were the focus of locally initiated EIR studies. FHWA believes that this early and continued consultation has been extensive and consistent with 40 CFR 1501.7. However, in order to inform potentially affected agencies and the general public of FHWA involvement, and to gather further comments regarding the new alternatives for study, three public scoping meetings will be held. The public scoping meetings will be held during the month of March 2001 with two meetings in south Orange County and one in north San Diego County. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed routes are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be

directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning, and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: February 9, 2001.

Jeffrey W. Kolb,

Team Leader, Program Delivery Team–South, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 01–4064 Filed 2–16–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[FMCSA Docket No. FMCSA-2000-8410]

Younger Commercial Driver Pilot Training Program

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of receipt of proposal to initiate a pilot program; request for

comments. **SUMMARY:** The FMCSA announces it has received a proposal to initiate a pilot program to allow carefully selected. screened, trained and monitored individuals between the ages of 18 and 21 to work in truck driver jobs in interstate commerce. The FMCSA received the proposal from the Truckload Carriers Association (TCA) for approval of a pilot program that would include providing each participant with an exemption under 49 CFR part 381. The proposal is available in the public docket. Under current regulations, a driver must be at least 21 years of age to operate a commercial motor vehicle (CMV). We request comments on TCA's proposed pilot program as part of our review process. **DATES:** We must receive your comments by May 21, 2001. We will consider comments received after the comment closing date to the extent practicable. ADDRESSES: You can mail, fax, hand deliver or electronically submit written

deliver or electronically submit written comments to the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Management Facility, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001 FAX (202) 493-2251, on-line at http://dmses.dot.gov/submit. Comments submitted on the web site may be typed on-line or submitted as an attached file in one of the following acceptable formats: (1) American Standard Code Information Interchange (ASCII)(TXT);

(2) MS Word for Mac (Versions 6 to 8); (4) Portable Document Format (PDF); (5) Tag Image File Format (TIF); (6) Rich Text File (RTF); or (7) Word Perfect (WPD) (Versions 7 and 8). You must include the docket number that appears in the heading of this document in your comment. You can examine and copy all comments at the above address from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you want notification of receipt of comments, please include a selfaddressed, stamped envelope or postcard or include a copy of the acknowledgment page that appears after you submit comments electronically.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Angeli Sebastian, Office of Bus and Truck Standards and Operations, (202) 366–4001, or Ms. Elaine Walls, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366–1394, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Our office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under longstanding Federal safety regulations (49 CFR 391.11(b)(1)), the minimum qualifications for a person to drive a CMV includes a requirement that the driver be at least 21 years of age. In its proposal, TCA states that the trucking industry has suffered from a long-standing and chronic shortage of drivers that has led to significant competition for drivers among trucking employers and high turnover. TCA's proposal is available for review in the public docket.

TCA states that many trucking companies find themselves with equipment that is unused because they cannot hire and retain enough safe drivers. More particularly, TCA states that the Federal regulation mandating a minimum age of 21 for interstate drivers is a barrier to employment because the usual three-year wait after high school graduation to enter commercial driver employment encourages potential employees to settle in other career fields.

TCA has asked the FMCSA to approve a pilot program on behalf of member companies who are willing to abide by the standards established for the program. These carriers would agree to incur the expense of providing job opportunities for drivers finishing the training program and for close supervision and monitoring of the safety progress of the younger drivers enrolled in the program. TCA's proposal would