

such a person had any interest at the time of conviction may be revoked.

Pursuant to §§ 766.25 and 750.8(a) of the regulations, upon notification that a person has been convicted of violating the Espionage Act, the Director, Office of Exporter Services, in consultation with the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, shall determine whether to deny that person's export privileges for a period of up to 10 years from the date of conviction and shall also determine whether to revoke any license previously issued to such a person.

Having received notice of Ames's conviction for violating the Espionage Act, and after providing notice and an opportunity for Ames to make a written submission to the Bureau of Export Administration before issuing an Order denying her export privileges, as provided in § 766.25 of the regulations, I, following consultations with the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, have decided to deny Ames's export privileges for a period of eight years from the date of her conviction. The eight-year period ends on October 21, 2002. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act in which Ames had an interest at the time of her conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby Ordered:

I. Until October 21, 2002, Maria Del Rosario Cases Ames, Transversal 12 #125-51, Apartment 607, Bogota, Columbia, may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States, that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the regulations; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or any other activity subject to the regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in § 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Ames by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until October 21, 2002.

VI. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Ames may file an appeal from this Order with the Under Secretary for Export Administration. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Ames. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 26, 2001.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 01-3915 Filed 2-15-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 9-2001]

Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone—Butte County, California Application and Public Hearing

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Oroville Economic Development Corporation (a non-profit corporation), to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in southern Butte County, California, which appears to be within 90 minutes driving time from the San Francisco/Oakland/Sacramento, California, Customs port of entry limits. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the FTZ Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on February 6, 2001. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under section 6302 of the California Code.

The proposed zone would be the sixth general-purpose zone in the San Francisco/Oakland/Sacramento, California, Customs port of entry area. The existing zones are FTZ 3 in San Francisco (Grantee: San Francisco Port Commission, Board Order 16, 13 FR 1459, 3/19/48); FTZ 18 in San Jose (Grantee: City of San Jose, California, Board Order 103, 39 FR 42031, 12/4/74); FTZ 56 in Oakland (Grantee: City of Oakland, California, Board Order 155, 45 FR 27802, 4/24/80); FTZ 143 in the W. Sacramento area (site also in Chico) (Grantee: Sacramento-Yolo Port District, Board Order 360, 52 FR 30698, 8/17/87); and, FTZ 231 in the Stockton (San Joaquin County) area (Grantee: Stockton Port District, Board Order 967, 63 FR 23719, 4/30/98).

The proposed new zone would consist of 4 sites in the southern Butte County area: *Site 1* (1,834 acres)—central Oroville industrial area located in Oroville on Highway 70; *Site 2* (812 acres)—Oroville Municipal Airport complex, west of Highway 70 along Highway 162, Oroville; *Site 3* (155 acres)—Gridley industrial area west of Highway 99 along the Union Pacific

Railroad right of way with Liberty Road, Gridley; and, *Site 4* (9 acres)—east of Highway 99, north of Standish Lane, Gridley. Sites 1 and 2 in Oroville are within a California State Recycling Market Development Zone and a California State Enterprise Zone.

The application indicates a need for foreign-trade zone services in the southern Butte County area. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution activities. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

As part of the investigation, the Commerce examiner will hold a public hearing on March 15, 2001, 9 a.m., at the City Council Chambers, 1735 Montgomery Street, Oroville, California 95965.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is April 17, 2001. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to May 2, 2001).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at the following locations:

Office of the Deputy City Clerk, Oroville
City Hall, 1735 Montgomery Street,
Oroville, CA 95965

Office of the Executive Secretary,
Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room
4008, U.S. Department of Commerce,
14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW,
Washington, DC 20230

Dated: February 9, 2001.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-4021 Filed 2-15-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-852]

Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review: Structural Steel Beams From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping duty review on structural steel beams from Japan.

SUMMARY: On December 27, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Japan. We are initiating this new shipper review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 16, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juanita H. Chen or Robert Bolling, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202-482-0409 and 202-482-3434, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On April 25, 2000, the Department published its final determination in the less than fair value investigation on structural steel beams from Japan. See Structural Steel Beams from Japan, 65 FR 24182 (April 25, 2000) (final determination). On June 19, 2000, the Department published its antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Japan. See Structural Steel Beams from Japan, 65 FR 37960 (June 19, 2000) (order). Accordingly, the anniversary month is June. On December 27, 2000, Yamato Kogyo Co., Ltd. ("Yamato Kogyo") requested a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Japan, covering Yamato Kogyo's U.S. sales of structural steel beams.

Period of Review

The period of review ("POR") is June 1, 2000 through November 30, 2000.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Yamato Kogyo certified that: (1) it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI") (July 1, 1998 through June 30, 1999); and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those exporters or producers not individually examined during the investigation. Yamato Kogyo also submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise for export to the United States; (2) the volume of that shipment; and (3) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

As Yamato Kogyo meets the eligibility requirements for a new shipper review, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Japan, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), with respect to Yamato Kogyo. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the day on which this new shipper review is initiated.

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by Yamato Kogyo until the completion of this new shipper review.

The interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 31, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01-4020 Filed 2-15-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P