Hourly period	Number of exemptions
1800	7
1900	8
2000	6
2100	26

The FAA proposes that carriers eligible for participation in the lottery would be those carriers that have applications on file with the Department, have fulfilled the certification requirements articulated in OST Orders 2000-4-10 and 2000-4-11 as of the date of this notice, and will have commenced operations by January 1, 2001. Definitions for the terms "carrier," "new entrant," and "limited incumbent" for purposes of participation in the lottery, are proposed as set forth in 14 CFR 93.123, and amended by section 231 of AIR–21. The Port Authority proposed to consider all carriers operating under a single designator code to be considered a single carrier for the purposes of the lottery. We note that the language in AIR–21 addressing affiliated carriers applies only in determining new entrant status and does not include the provision addressing service for small hub or nonhub airports. Upon reconsideration, the FAA is proposing for comment that independently owned carriers that had obtained AIR-21 certification in their own name could participate in the lottery separately, regardless of code-share arrangements. The list of eligible carriers below is based on this reconsideration. However, comments are specifically requested on this distinction.

The FAA further proposes that no carrier may select more exemption times than it operated between 0700–2159 on January 1, 2001. The slot exemptions reallocated by lottery would remain in effect until September 15, 2001. The FAA seeks comment as to whether another date in September is more feasible for carriers to make general schedule changes. Carriers that are reallocated exemption slots by lottery should re-certify to the Department of Transportation in accordance with the procedures articulated in OST Orders 2000–4–10 and 2000–4–11, and provide the Department and the FAA with the markets to be served, the number of exemption slots and the time of operation. While this temporary reallocation process will be conducted jointly with the Port Authority, the FAA wants to make clear that this measure is taken in response to a serious and currently unique situation at LaGuardia Airport under the FAA's authority for the efficient management of the

navigable airspace and provided for in AIR–21.

Reallocation of Slot Exemption at LaGuardia by Lottery

In late November or early December 2000, the FAA intends to hold a lottery with the Port Authority to allocate approximately 150 slot exemptions authorized under AIR–21. The FAA proposes the following lottery procedure for allocation of the AIR–21 slot exemptions at LaGuardia:

- 1. All AIR–21 slot exemptions will be allocated in this lottery, and all carriers currently operating under AIR–21 exemption authority will be required to conform their schedules to the slots received in the lottery, effective on the effective date of the allocation (in January 2001).
- 2. To be eligible to participate in this lottery, a carrier must have applied to the Department of Transportation under Orders OST 2000-4-10 or 2000-4-11, received allocations by the FAA as of the date of this notice, and commenced operation by January 1, 2001. Carriers that meet this criteria under Order 2000-4-10 and would be eligible for a lottery of times between 0700-2159 are: Air Tran (11 operations), American Trans Air (8 operations), Legend, (7 operations), Midway (9 operations), Midwest Express (8 operations), Spirit Airlines (14 operations), Shuttle America (14 operations), Southeast Airlines (4) and Vanguard (4 operations). Carriers that meet the criteria of Order 2000-4-11 for service for small hub and nonhub airports and would be eligible for a lottery are: American Eagle (26 operations), Atlantic Coast Jet (44 operations), Chautauqua Airlines (12 operations), Colgan Air (20 operations), Commutair (10 operations), Continental Express (22 operations), Delta Connection (37 operations) and US Airways Express (50 operations).
- 3. The slot exemption lottery will be conducted in accordance with the following procedures:
- a. Carriers will participate in a random drawing for selection order. Carriers will select in that order in each round.
- b. No carrier may select more exemption times than it operated between 0700–2159 on January 1, 2001.
- c. In the first round, only new entrants and limited incumbent carriers may participate. Each new entrant and limited incumbent carrier may select up to 4 slot exemption times, 2 arrivals and 2 departures. No more than one slot exemption time may be selected in any hour. In this round each carrier may select one slot exemption time in each

- of 4 hours without regard to whether a slot is available in that hour.
- d. In the second and third rounds, only carriers providing service to small hub and nonhub airports may participate. Each carrier may select up to 2 slot exemption times, one arrival and one departure in each round.
- e. Beginning with the fourth round, all eligible carriers may participate. Each carrier may select up to 2 of the remaining slot exemption times, one arrival and one departure, in each round, until a total of 150 slot exemption times have been selected.
- f. If the last remaining slot exemption times available do not permit a reasonable arrival-departure turnaround, the FAA will take requests for trades among AIR–21 operators, or will make an adjustment to one of the times to assure that all slot exemption time pairs selected provide for a viable operation by the selecting carrier.
- g. The Chief Counsel will be the final decisionmaker concerning eligibility of carriers to participate in the lottery.

Issued on November 9, 2000 in Washington, DC.

Iames W. Whitlow.

Deputy Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–29356 Filed 11–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Intelligent Transportation Society of America; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Intelligent Transportation Society of America (ITS AMERICA) will hold a meeting of its Coordinating Council on Tuesday, December 5, 2000. The following designations are made for each item: (A) is an "action" item; (I) is an "information item"; and (D) is a "discussion" item. The agenda includes the following: (1) Housekeeping Items, i.e. Introductions, Statements of Antitrust Compliance and Conflict of Interest, and Previous Minutes (I); (2) Federal Report (I&D); (3) President's Report (I); (4) Council Membership Issues Discussion (I/D/A); (5) Adoption of ITS America Privacy Principles (D/ A); (6) Break (20 minutes) (A); (7) Progress Report: Joint Task Force on ITS Deployment Strategy (I/D); (8) Approval of IVI Advice letter to USDOT (D/A); (9) Progress Report: 10-Year Program Plan & Research Agenda (I/D); (10) Closing Housekeeping—Next meeting: TBD.

ITS AMERICA provides a forum for national discussion and recommendations on ITS activities including programs, research needs, strategic planning, standards, international liaison, and priorities. The charter for the utilization of ITS AMERICA establishes this organization as an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 USC app. 2, when it provides advice or recommendations to DOT officials on ITS policies and programs. (56 FR 9400, March 6, 1991).

DATES: The Coordinating Council of ITS AMERICA will meet on Tuesday, December 5, 2001 from 8 a.m.—Noon (Eastern Standard time).

ADDRESSES: Wyndham Miami Beach Resort, 4833 Collins Ave., Miami Beach, Florida, 33140. Phone: (305) 532–3600 and Fax: (305) 538–2807.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Materials associated with this meeting may be examined at the offices of ITS AMERICA, 400 Virginia Avenue, SW., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20024. Persons needing further information or to request to speak at this meeting should contact Carren Kaston at ITS AMERICA by telephone at (202) 484–4669, or by FAX at (202) 484–3483. The DOT contact is Kristy Frizzell, FHWA, HVH–1, Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 366–0722. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except for legal holidays.

(23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48)

Issued on: November 9, 2000.

Whitey Metheny,

 $ITS\ Joint\ Program\ Office.$

[FR Doc. 00-29268 Filed 11-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2000-7354; Notice 2]

Honda Motor Co., Ltd.; Grant of Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123

We are granting the application by Honda Motor Co. Ltd. ("Honda"), a Japanese corporation, through American Honda Motor Co., Inc., of Torrance, California, for a temporary exemption of two years from a requirement of S5.2.1 (Table 1) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123 Motorcycle Controls and Displays. The basis of the request was that "compliance with the standard would prevent the manufacturer from selling a motor vehicle with an overall safety level at

least equal to the overall safety level of nonexempt vehicles," 49 U.S.C. 30113(b)(3)(B)(iv).

On May 18, 2000, we published a notice of receipt of the application in accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30113(b)(2), and asked for comments (65 FR 31629). We received many comments in support, as discussed below.

Honda applied on behalf of its NSS250 motor scooters. The scooters are defined as "motorcycles" for purposes of compliance with the Federal motor vehicle safety standards. If a motorcycle is produced with rear wheel brakes, S5.2.1 of Standard No. 123 requires that the brakes be operable through the right foot control (the left handlebar is permissible only for a motor driven cycle (Item 11, Table 1), *i.e.*, a motorcycle with a motor that produces 5 brake horsepower or less).

Honda asked that it be allowed to use the left handlebar as the control for the rear brakes of its NSS250, which is a motorcycle and not a motor driven cycle. The model features an automatic transmission that eliminates the lefthand clutch lever as well as any left-foot gearshift lever. This leaves the left hand of the rider free to operate a brake lever. In Honda's opinion, "removal of the left-handlebar clutch lever, left-footcontrolled gearshift lever and right-footcontrolled rear brake pedal result in simpler operation." Honda pointed out that NHTSA exempted three other motorcycle manufacturers from this requirement of S5.2.1. in 1999 (Aprilia, 64 FR 44262; Vectrix, 64 FR 45585; and Italjet, 64 FR 58127).

Honda argued that the overall level of safety of the scooters equals or exceeds that of a motorcycle that complies with the brake control location requirement of Standard No. 123. Unlike the other exempted motorcycles, the NSS250 is equipped with a "combined brake system" which "provides single-point, front- and rear-wheel braking action.' The vehicle meets the braking performance requirements "of both FMVSS 122 and ECE78." The company submitted test results demonstrating that the braking performance of the NSS250 with its combined brake system is better than that of a scooter without the combined brake system. For the second effectiveness test, for example, the NSS250 stopped in shorter distances than a Honda model equipped with a foot brake, that is to say, from a maximum speed of 65.4 mph in 165 feet (compared with 178 feet), and, from 30 mph, in 38 feet (compared with 40 feet).

Honda has developed the NSS250 for the world market. In Europe, Japan, and other Asian countries, scooters are equipped with handlebar-mounted front and rear brakes. Absent an exemption, then, Honda said that it will be unable to sell the NSS250 in the United States. The cost to conform the NSS250 to comply with Standard No. 123 "would add considerable cost to the product" and result in a motorcycle that would not be competitive.

Honda will not sell more than 2,500 scooters a year while an exemption is in effect. It argued that an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with the objectives of traffic safety because "the level of safety is equal to similar vehicles certified under FMVSS No. 123."

We received approximately 40 comments, all of which urged us to grant the application. Typical of the comments are those from Richard A. Smith of Orem, Utah, Brian Hotaling of Austin, Texas, and Deb Lee of Carriere, Mississippi. Mr. Hotaling adduces that Honda's tests show that its "simple yet innovative combined braking system is better" than that of a scooter without it, and that "the NSS250 stopped in shorter distances than a Honda model equipped with a foot brake by a remarkable amount." Mr. Smith recommended that "this exemption should be allowed on a permanent basis," and that "given the recent prices of gasoline in our country and the environmental concerns over air pollution in our cities * * * Honda should be allowed to import more than 2500 of these vehicles." Ms. Lee recommends an amendment to Standard No. 123, and comments that the Honda product "could be used by many senior citizens and Americans with disabilities."

As Honda noted in its petition, we have exempted three other motorcycle manufacturers from S5.2.1 (Aprilia, 64 FR 44262, re-issued at 65 FR 1225; Vectrix, 64 FR 45585; and Italiet, 64 FR 58127). We have reviewed Honda's brake test results demonstrating the superiority of the NSS250 with its combined brake system over that of a scooter without such a system. Our concerns about a lack of standardization of the rear brake control for scooter-type vehicles was addressed by Aprilia in its petition which included a report on "Motorscooter Braking Control Study" which is available for examination in Docket No. NHTSA-99-4357. This report indicated that test subjects' brake reaction times using a vehicle much like Honda's were approximately 20% quicker than their reaction times on the conventional motorcycle. We interpreted the report as indicating that a rider's braking response is not likely to be degraded by the different