725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, by E-mail at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at http:// www.epa.gov/icr and refer to EPA ICR No. 1287.06. For technical questions about the ICR contact Maria Campbell, (202) 260–5815 in the Office of Water.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Questionnaire for Nominees for the Annual National Clean Water Act Recognition Awards Program (National Wastewater Management Excellence Awards Program) (OMB Control No. 2040–0101; EPA ICR No. 1287.06) expires December 31, 2000. This ICR is a re-approval to collect data from EPA's National Clean Water Act Recognition Awards nominees. EPA requests that the currently approved 2800 burden hours be re-approved for the next three years.

Abstract: This ICR requests reapproval to collect information from EPA's National Clean Water Act **Recognition Awards nominees.** The awards are for the following program categories: Operations and Maintenance (O&M), Beneficial Use of Biosolids (Biosolids), Combined Sewer Overflow Controls (CSO) and Storm Water (SW) management. (Note: Information collection approval for the Pretreatment awards program is included in the National Pretreatment Program ICR (OMB No. 2040-0009, EPA ICR No. 0002.09), approved through September 30, 2003). The Awards Program is managed by EPA's Office of Wastewater Management (OWM). The Awards Program is authorized under section 501(e) of the Clean Water Act, as amended. The Awards Program is intended to provide recognition to municipalities and industries which have demonstrated outstanding technological achievements, innovative processes, devices or other outstanding methods in their waste treatment and pollution abatement programs. Approximately 50 awards are presented annually. The achievements of these award winners are summarized in reports, news articles and national publications.

Submission of information on behalf of the respondents is voluntary. No confidential information is requested. The agency only collects information from award nominees under a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on May 18, 2000 (65 FR 31550–31551); No comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average eight hours per response. This estimate of burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide information to EPA. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; collect, validate, and verify information, complete and review the collection of information; and transmit the information to EPA.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Wastewater Treatment Industries and Municipalities.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 400.

Frequency of Response: Once annually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 2800 hours (1600 hours for the recipients time and 1200 hours for the States' review time).

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$79,200 per year (\$46,600 for the respondents and \$33,200 for the States' review time).

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1287.06 and OMB Control No. 2040–0101 in any correspondence.

Dated: October 26, 2000.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 00–28275 Filed 11–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6612-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed October 23, 2000 through October 27, 2000

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000369, Draft EIS, IBR, ID, Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation, Construction and Operation, To Remove 10 Lower Level Ensign Valves and Replace with 10 Clamshell Gates, Boise River, City of Boise, ID, Due: January 05, 2001, Contact: John Tiedeman (208) 378– 5034.

- EIS No. 000370, Draft EIS, BOP, ID, Terre Haute United States Penitentiary (USP), Proposal to Construct and Operate 960 Beds Facilities along with a Special Confinement Unit of 100–120 Beds to Alleviating Overcrowding, Vigo County, Terra Haute, ID, Due: December 18, 2000, Contact: David J. Dorworth (202) 514–6470.
- EIS No. 000371, Final EIS, COE, NJ, Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Hurricane and Storm Damage Reduction Project, Flood Control and Storm Damage Protection, Port Monmouth, Middletown Township, Monmouth County, NJ, Due: December 04, 2000, Contact: Mark H. Burles (212) 264–4663.
- EIS No. 000372, Final EIS, COE, CA, Lower Mission Creek Flood Control Project, Proposed Plan for Flood Control, City of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, CA, Due: December 04, 2000, Contact: Joy Jaiswal (213) 452–3871.
- EIS No. 000373, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Brown Creek Timber Sale Project, Implementation, Payette National Forest, New Meadow Ranger District, Adam County, ID, Due: December 20, 2000, Contact: Jack Irish (208) 347– 0300.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 00–28282 Filed 11–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(ER-FRL-6612-4)

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 16, 2000 through October 20, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–L65366–AK Rating EC2, Woodpecker Project Area, Timber Harvesting, Dispersed Recreation Opportunities and Watershed Improvements, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Petersburg Ranger District, Mitkof Island, Petersburg, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the greater potential for impacts to water, soil and wildlife resources under Alternative 2, the preferred alternative. Alternative 2 calls for the highest level of road construction and the second highest level of harvesting. EPA recommended additional analysis and changes in the final EIS to further address and mitigate impacts to water quality and fish habitat.

ERP No. D–AFS–L67038–ID Rating EO2, Genesis Placer Claim Gold Suction Dredging, Plan of Operations, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, Red River a Tributary to the South Fork Clearwater River, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections on the proposed suction dredging project because of the potential to violate applicable water quality standards for turbidity and sediment, and as well as degrade aquatic habitat, and result in adverse effects to listed and sensitive fish species. Alternative 2 lacks mitigation measures and EPA recommends that the final EIS include additional site-specific information on project reach, potential impacts to aquatic resources, compliance with standards and environmental thresholds, monitoring, and estimated risk and uncertainties of project implementation as well as detailed mitigation measures.

ERP No. D–BLM–J65325–WY Rating EC2, Jack Morrow Hills Coordinated Activity Plan, Implementation, Rock Springs, Portions of Sweetwater, Fremont and Subelette Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on the need to fully disclose environmental impacts, especially for the preferred alternative, project objectives which are not consistent with the Green River Management Plan and level of detail for the proposed adaptive management plan, such as including threshold values to protect wildlife.

ERP No. D–BLM–K67052–NV Rating EO2, Newmont Gold Mining, South Operations Area Project Amendment, Operation and Expansion, Plan of Operations, Elko and Eureka Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed expansion of Newmont's South Operations Area, due to potential significant adverse impacts to water and air quality. Specifically, potential acid rock drainage, contaminated pit lake water and mercury emissions to the air. EPA requests that the final EIS include additional acid generating potential analysis, pollution prevention measures, mitigation, and project monitoring.

ERP No. D–NPS–F61019–MN Rating EC2, Voyageurs National Park General Management, Visitor Use and Facilities Plans, Implementation, Koochiching and St. Louis Counties, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the consistency of NPS actions related to proposed wilderness designation, scope of decisions to be made, characterization of existing conditions and impacts associated with the alternatives, and alternatives analysis.

ERP No. D–SFW–K39063–CA Rating EC2, Bolsa Chica Lowlands Restoration Project, Creation of Wetland Habitat Areas, Approval and Issuance of USCOE Section 404 and USCGD Bridge Permits, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA has expressed concerns with the proposal because the probability of success of the preferred alternative is unknown. Implementation would result in irreversible destruction of the existing wetland complex and irretrievable commitment of project funds in the construction of an ocean inlet and 6-lane highway bridge. EPA recommended that less-structural and less-costly alternatives be considered as interim measures to enhance the existing wetland and aquatic habitats at Bolsa Chica, while the probabilities associated with the preferred alternative modeling studies are tested and validated further. EPA also recommended the FEIS consider an evaluation of alternative mitigation sites which could fulfill the Ports mitigation requirements if the preferred alternative is not selected.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–K65227–CA 64-Acre Tract Intermodal Transit Center, Construction and Operation, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Tahoe City, Placer County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–BLM–G65069–NM Rio Puerco Resource Management Plan Amendment, Managing Land and Resource for EL Malpais National Conservation Area and Chain of Craters Wilderness Study Area, Lies South of the City of Grants, Cibola County, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed no objections to the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F–BLM–G65071–NM Albuquerque Field Office Riparian and Aquatic Habitats Management, To Restore and Protect, Rio Puerco Resource Management Plan Amendment (RMPA), Cibola, Sandoval, McKinley, Rio Arriba, Bernalillo, Valencia and Santa Fe Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA had no objections.

ERP No. F–BLM–L65339–OR North Bank Habitat Management Area (NBHMA)/Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), Federally Endangered Columbian White-Tailed Deer (CWTD) and Special Status Species Habitat Enhancements to Ensure Viability Over Time, Implementation, OR.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–NPS–J65285–MT Interagency Bison Management Plan for State of Montana and Yellowstone National Park, Implementation, Maintain a wild, Free Ranging Population, Address the risk of Brucellosis Transmission, Park and Gallatin Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental objections with adverse impacts to the Yellowstone Bison herd, excluding elk from the Brucellosis management plan, segmentation of NEPA, and the level of action being taken given the extremely low risk to livestock from Brucellosis.

ERP No. F–SFW–J64007–00 Plum Creek Native Fish Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit for Federally Protected Native Fish Species, MT, ID and WA.

Summary: EPA has reviewed the Native Fish Habitat Conservation Plan (NFHCP) and Proposed Permit for Taking of Federally Protected Native Fish Species on Plum Creek Timber Company Land. The EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding integration of the NFHCP with the overall conservation efforts in the entire project area; adequacy of resources for the Services for oversight and evaluation of the Permit and NFHCP; the level of protection of proposed riparian management prescriptions; NFHCP-TMDL consistency; and the adequacy of the proposed monitoring and adaptive management program.

Dated: October 31, 2000. Joseph C. Montgomery, Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 00–28283 Filed 11–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00687; FRL-6753-4]

FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: There will be a 3–day meeting of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) to review a set of issues being considered by the Agency pertaining to the following topics: (1) LifeLineTM Model Review, and (2) A Case Study of the Cumulative Risk of 24 Organophosphate Pesticides.

The meeting is open to the public. Seating at the meeting will be on a firstcome basis. Individuals requiring special accommodations at this meeting, including wheelchair access, should contact Olga Odiott at the address listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT at least 5 business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

DATES: The meeting will be held on December 6, 7, and 8, 2000 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Sheraton Crystal City Hotel, 1800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The telephone number for the Sheraton Hotel is (703) 486–1111. Requests to participate may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.** To ensure proper receipt by EPA, your request must identify docket control number OPP–00687 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Olga Odiott, Designated Federal Official, Office of Science Coordination and Policy, (7101C), Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 305–5369; fax number: (703) 605–0656; e-mail address: odiott.olga@epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), FIFRA, and FQPA. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically*. A meeting agenda and copies of EPA primary background documents for the meeting will be available by November 6, 2000. You may obtain electronic copies of these documents, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the FIFRA/SAP Internet Home Page at http:// www.epa.gov./scipoly/sap/. To access this document, on the Home Page, select "**Federal Register** Notice Announcing This Meeting." You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at http:// /www.epa.gov/fedregstr/.

2. In person. The Agency has established an administrative record for this meeting under docket control number OPP-00687. The administrative record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this notice, any public comments received during an applicable comment period, and other information related to the (1) LifeLineTM Model review, and (2) A Case Study of the Cumulative Risk of 24 Organophosphate Pesticides, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This administrative record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the administrative record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments that may be submitted during an applicable comment period, is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall # 2 (CM #2), 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

C. How Can I Request to Participate in this Meeting?

You may submit a request to participate in this meeting through the mail, in person, or electronically. Do not submit any information in your request that is considered CBI. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPP-00687 in the subject line on the first page of your request. Members of the public wishing to submit comments should contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT to confirm that the meeting date and agenda have not been modified. Interested persons are permitted to file written statements before the meeting. To the extent that time permits, and upon advance written request to the persons listed under FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT**, interested persons may be permitted by the Chair of the FIFRA SAP to present oral statements at the meeting. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation, the organization (if any) the individual will represent, and any requirements for audiovisual equipment (e.g., overhead projector, 35 mm projector, chalkboard, etc.). There is no limit on the extent of written comments for consideration by the Panel, but oral statements before the panel are limited to approximately 5 minutes. The Agency also urges the public to submit written comments in lieu of oral presentations. Persons wishing to make oral or written statements at the meeting should contact the persons listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and submit 30 copies of their presentation and/or remarks to the Panel. The Agency encourages that written statements be submitted before the meeting to provide Panel Members the time necessary to consider and review the comments.

1. *By mail.* You may submit a request to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

2. In person or by courier. Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. The PIRIB is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The