SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1500); and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Regulations (7 CFR part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice than an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Stony Creek Watershed, Clinton and Ionia Counties, Michigan.

DATES: Comment will be received until on or before December 4, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan G. Herceg, Assistant State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Michigan State Office, 300l Coolidge Road, Suite 250, East Lansing, Michigan 48823–6350, telephone 517–324–5282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Ronald C. Williams, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The project purpose is watershed protection. Project measures include the installation of conservation practices by private land users to reduce soil and water resource problems. Accelerated financial and technical assistance will be available for land treatment.

The Notice of a Finding Of No Significant Impact has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Alan G. Herceg.

(This activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under NO. 10.904, Watershed and Flood Prevention, and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials.)

Dated: October 18, 2000.

Ronald C. Williams,

State Conservationist, East Lansing, Michigan.

[FR Doc. 00–28069 Filed 11–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Arizona Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Arizona Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on November 16, 2000, at the Courtyard by Marriott, 9631 North Black Canyon Highway, Phoenix, Arizona 85021. The purpose of the community forum is to hold a one day open meeting on civil rights issues in law enforcement and education.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213–894–3437 (TDD 213–894–3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, October 27, 2000.

Lisa M. Kelly,

Special Assistant to the Staff Director, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 00–28065 Filed 11–1–00; 8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Oregon Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Oregon Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10 a.m. and adjourn at 2 p.m. on November 9, 2000, at the Sweetbrier Inn, Board Room, 7125 SW Nyberg Road, Tualatin, Oregon 97062. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the background and the method of conducting a law enforcement Committee project.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213–894–3437 (TDD 213–894–3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the

Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, October 27, 2000.

Lisa M. Kelly,

Special Assistant to the Staff Director, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 00–28066 Filed 10–27–00; 4:20 pm] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-201-809]

Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

 $\textbf{EFFECTIVE DATE: } November\ 2,\ 2000.$

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Killiam or Robert James, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5222 or 482–0649, respectively.

Applicable Statute: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Amended Final Results

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length (CTL) carbon steel plate from Mexico in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224 of the Department's regulations. These amended final results change the antidumping duty rates.

On February 9, 2000, the Department issued the final results of review for the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 65 FR 8338 (February 18, 2000).

On March 9, 2000, the petitioners ¹ and the respondent ² submitted allegations of ministerial errors. We agreed with all of AHMSA's allegations concerning clerical errors, and we agreed with all of petitioners' allegations except one; we disagreed that our omission of the arm's-length test was a clerical error.

The allegations are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 19, 2000, on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building. The Issues and Decisions Memorandum is hereby adopted by this notice; it can be accessed directly on the World Wide Web at https://ia.ita.doc.gov.

As a result of our analysis of the parties' allegations, we are amending our final results of review to revise the antidumping rate for AHMSA in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), as shown below.

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted average margin, percentage
AHMSA	21.75

Accordingly, the Department will determine, and the Customs Service will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise from AHMSA in accordance with these amended final results. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224.

Dated: October 19, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–28192 Filed 11–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-812, A-570-863]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Honey From Argentina and the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 2, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Rast, Angelica Mendoza, Melissa Blackledge, or Donna Kinsella at, (202) 482–1324, (202) 482–3019, (202) 482–3518, and (202) 482–0194 respectively; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Initiation of Investigations

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

The Petition

On September 29, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received a petition on honey from Argentina and the People's Republic of China filed in proper form by the American Honey Producers Association ("AHPA") and the Sioux Honey Association ("SHA") (collectively "petitioners"). On October 4, 2000, the Department requested clarification of certain areas of the petition, and on October 6 and 10, 2000. petitioners responded to the Department's request for additional information. In addition, we received submissions from the parties with regard to industry support on October 16, 18, and 24.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Act, petitioners allege that imports of honey from Argentina and the People's Republic of China ("China") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring an industry in the United States. Pursuant to section 732(c)(1)(B) the Department extended the deadline for initiation to no later than October 27, 2000

The Department finds that petitioners filed these petitions on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act and they have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to each of the antidumping investigations they are requesting the Department to initiate (see "Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions" below).

Scope of Investigations

For purposes of these investigations, the products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise subject to these investigations is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service ("U.S. Customs") purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

During our review of the petition, we discussed the scope with the petitioners to ensure that the scope in the petition accurately reflects the product for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the Department's regulations (Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997)), we are setting aside a period for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. The Department encourages all parties to submit such comments by November 9, 2000. Comments should be addressed to Import Administration's Central Records Unit at Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination.

¹ Petitioners are Bethlehem Steel Corporation, Geneva Steel, Gulf Lakes Steel, Inc. of Alabama, Inland Steel Industries, Inc., Lukens Steel Company, Sharon Steel Corporation, and U.S. Steel Group (a unit of USX Corporation).

² Respondent is Altos Hornos de Mexico S.A. de C.V. (AHMSA).