CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–27619 Filed 10–26–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PL98-1-001]

Public Access to Information and Electronic Filing; Notice of Electronic Filing Demonstrations

October 19, 2000.

Take notice that the Commission Staff (Staff) will conduct demonstrations for filing comments electronically (excluding comments on rulemakings).

The electronic filing demonstrations will be held following the Commission meetings on October 25, 2000 and November 8, 2000.

The demonstration on October 25, 2000 will be held in Hearing Room 1 and the demonstration on November 8 will be held in the Commission Meeting Room at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The electronic filing demonstrations are open to all interested persons.

The Capitol Connection will offer the electronic filing demonstration on November 8, 2000, as a special FERC meeting, live over the Internet as well as via telephone and satellite. For a reasonable fee, you can receive the demonstration in your office, at home or anywhere in the world. To find out more about The Capitol Connection's live Internet, phone bridge or satellite coverage, contact David Reininger or Julia Morelli at (703) 993-3100 or visit Capital Connection's website at www.capitolconnection.gmu.edu). The Capitol Connection also offers FERC Open Meetings through its Washington, DC area television service.

David Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–27620 Filed 10–26–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6612-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167, or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa, Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements, Filed October 16, 2000 Through October 20, 2000, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000363, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Ashland, Post-Fire Project, Proposal to Implement Restoration Activities to Maintain Watershed Custer National Forest Powder River and Rosebud Counties, MT, Due: December 11, 2000, Contact: Elizabeth McFarland (406) 784–2344.

EIS No. 000364, Final EIS, COE, CA, Upper Newport Bay Restoration Project To Develop a Long-Term Management Plan to Control Sediment Deposition Orange County, CA, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Larry Smith (213) 452–3846.

EIS No. 000365, Final EIS, FHW, WV, VA, WV–9 Improvements, from Charles Town Bypass (U.S. 340) to the Virginia Line, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Shenandoah River, Jefferson Co., WV and Loudoun Co., VA, Due: December 04, 2000, Contact: Thomas J. Smith (304) 347–5928.

EIS No. 000366, Final EIS, COE, CA, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project Including Santiago Creek, Proposal to Complete Channel Improvements along San Timoteo Creek Reach 3B to provide Flood Protection, San Bernardino County, CA, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Joy Jaiswal (213) 452–3871.

EIS No. 000367, Final EIS, COE, NC, Dare County Beaches (Bodie Island Portion) Hurricane Wave Protection and Beach Erosion Control, The towns of Nags Head, Kill Devil Hills, Kitty Hawk, Dare County, NC, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Chuck Wilson (910) 251–4746.

EIS No. 000368, Final EIS, COE, AZ, Rio de Flag Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, AZ, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Tim Smith (202) 761–4172.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000307, Draft EIS, FRC, AL, FL, Buccaneer Natural Gas Pipeline Project, Construction and Operations, To Deliver Natural Gas for Electric Power Generation, Mobile County, AL and Pasco, Polk, Hardee, Lake and Osceola Counties, FL, Due: November 07, 2000, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208–1611.

Revision of FR notice published on 09/08/2000: CEQ Comment Date has been Extended from 10/24/2000 to 11/07/2000.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–27677 Filed 10–26–00; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6611-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–COE–H36109–MO Rating EO2, Chesterfield Valley Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Chesterfield, St. Louis County, MO.

Summary: EPA raised objections, noting that significant floodplain management issues exist. EPA encouraged the Corps to reevaluate alternatives to lessen impacts.

ERP No. D-COE-K36134-CA Rating 3, Murrieta Creek Flood Control and Protection, Implementation, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA stated that the draft EIS failed to adequately assess potentially significant environmental impacts of the proposed project. EPA believes that the proposed project would result in significant unmitigated impacts to wetlands and other waters of the U.S., important wildlife habitat, and air quality and that alternatives exist that would reduce these impacts, provide flood protection, and restore and enhance Murrieta Creek within the proposed project area. EPA has determined that this project as proposed is not consistent with or otherwise in

compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines of the Clean Water Act. A Clean Air Act conformity determination is also needed for this project.

ERP No. D-DOE-L $0\bar{0}00\hat{8}$ -00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—Accomplishing Expanded Civilian, Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test, ID, TN, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about: The project need; site-specific impacts from the proposed accelerator(s) or research reactor; the project's consistency with future land uses at Superfund sites and the funding of clean-up at these sites; and, the inclusion of decommissioning of the fast flux test facility in the EIS. EPA requests that information to address these concerns be included in the final EIS/ ROD

ERP No. D-MMS-G39008-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Proposed Use of Floating Production, Storage and Offloading Systems on the Gulf of Mexico, Outer Continental Shelf, Western and Central Planning Areas, TX, LA, MS, AL and FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns relating to air quality/general conformity and requests additional information on these issues. In addition, EPA suggests Alternative B (Alternative A with General Restrictions or Conditions) be considered as the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-NRC-J00031-UT Rating EC2, Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians Reservation Project, Construction and Operation of Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation and Related Transportation Facilities, Permits and Approvals, Tooele County, UT.

Summary: ĚPA expressed concerns about occupational radiation exposures, about sufficiency of financial assurance to protect the environment, transportation emergency response and

water quality.

ERP No. Ď–USN–K11034–CA Rating LO, Point Mugu Sea Range Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division (NAWCWPWS), Proposes To Accommodate TMD Testing and Training, Additional Training Exercises, Ventura, Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, San Diego and San Luis Obispo Counties, CA.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the proposed action, EPA requests that the Final EIS and the Record of Decision include a formal commitment to project monitoring and mitigation.

ERP No. DS-AFS-G65062-NM Rating LO, Agua/Caballos Timber Sale,

Harvesting Timber and Managing Existing Vegetation, New Information and a New Preferred Alternative, Carson National Forest, EL Rito Ranger District, Arriba County, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of

ERP No. DS-COE-K32010-HI Rating EC2, Modifications to (Kalaeloa) Barbers Point Harbor, Proposal to Enhance Harbor Operations and Economic Efficiency, and Improve Port Safety,

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding water quality impacts due to project construction and operation, adverse impacts to coral populations, and mitigation for adverse impacts. Based upon information in the DSEIS it appears that wastewater from harbor operations may contribute to the documented water quality problems of the harbor and nearshore waters, and, accordingly, EPA believes it is appropriate to evaluate wastewater management improvements at the harbor as a component to this project.

ERP No. DS-ČOE-K36098-ČA Kating EO2, Prado Dam Water Conversion Plan, Implementation, New Information Concerning New and Modified Flood Protection Features, Remaining Features of the Santa Ana River Project (SARP) and Stabilization of the Bluff Toe at Norco Bluffs, Riverside, Orange and San Bernardino Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections based upon projected and potential impacts to air quality, and aquatic resources, as well as the adequacy of mitigation for air and water impacts. EPA asked the Corps to identify additional mitigation measures to reduce air emissions associated with the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) regulations. EPA raised serious concerns that the EIS presented an extremely limited range of alternatives for the three project components.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-G65073-NM Farmington Field Office Riparian and Aquatic Habitat Management, To Restore and Protect, Farmington Riparian and Aquatic Habitat Management Plan, San Juan, McKinley, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed no objections on the Final EIS.

ERP No. F-BLM-G65074-NM Taos Field Office Riparian and Aquatic Habitat Management, To Restore and Protect, Colfax, Harding, Los Alamos, Mora, Rio Arriba, San Miguel, Santa Fe, Taos and Unison Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections on the Final EIS.

ERP No. F-COE-E01013-FL Programmatic EIS—Rock Mining— Freshwater Lakebelt Plan, Limestone Mining Permit, Section 404 Permit, Implementation, Miami-Dade County,

Summary: EPA raised concerns over the cumulative impacts of this proposal, the significant uncertainties associated with the effectiveness of subsequent assessment/planning measures as well as in determining whether environmental impacts can be mitigated to acceptable levels.

ERP No. F-COE-K36118-CA Guadalupe River Watershed Planning Study, Multi-Objective Capital Improvement Project on the Guadalupe River between Highway 101 to Interstate 880 and Interstate 280 to Blossom Hill Road, Santa Clara Valley Water District, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter

was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. F-NPS-K61150-CA Anacapa Island Restoration Project, Implementation, Channel Islands National Park, Ventura County, CA. Summary: EPA's comments were

adequately addressed.

ERP No. F-SFW-K90030-CA San Dieguito Wetland Restoration Project, Implementation, Comprehensive Restoration Plan, COE Section 404 Permit, Cities of Del Mar and San Diego, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. F-USN-K11103-GU Surplus

Navy Property Identified in the Guam Land Use Plan (GLUP '94) for Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, GU.

Summary: No formal comment letter

was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. FA-IBR-J35005-00 Animas-La Plata Project (APL Project), Municipal and Industrial Water Supply, Reservoir Construction in Ridges Basin, Implementation and Water Acquisition, Additional Information concerning Project Alternatives Developed in 1996 through 1997, CO and NM.

Summary: EPA provided suggestions to ameliorate the adverse impact of operating the project upon the Jicarilla Apache and Navajo Tribes in New Mexico, including prioritizing construction of Indian water projects in the San Juan Basin based on currently unmet public health supply needs, considering legislative measures to allow the Colorado Ute Tribes to market their water to the tribal needs in New Mexico for the Navajo Nation and the Jicarilla-Apache Tribe, and equitably allocating water provided to San Juan River federal beneficiaries of the Animas-La Plata Project. EPA also recommended that the Record of

Decision include a commitment that allocation of water to San Juan River Basin users of federal facilities in the event of a shortage for fish flows will be reduced equitably, including the project beneficiaries of the Animas-La Plata Project.

ÉRP No. FS-UAF-G11031-TX
Programmatic EIS—Kelly Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, New and Updated Information, Joint Military and Civil Use of the Runway and other Airfield Facilities, Joint Use Agreement, Bexar County, San Antonio, TX.

Summary: EPA has no further comments to offer and awaits receipt of the Record of Decision.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–27678 Filed 10–26–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00686: FRL-6752-3]

National Assessment of the Worker Protection Program - Workshop #2; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Assessment of the Worker Protection Program Workshop #2 will be held in Sacramento, California. Workgroups will be established to further discuss national worker protection implementation and program effectiveness as related to training, enforcement, compliance and retaliation, and communications. This is the second in a series of workshops and represents an opportunity for EPA, states, agricultural employers and worker representatives to engage in problem solving workgroup discussions. In cooperation with the National **Environmental Education and Training** Foundation, the Office of Pesticide Programs is hosting this national assessment meeting to further discuss the agricultural worker protection regulation, the implementation and effectiveness of its provisions, the enforcement at the state level, and the possible future directions for the program.

DATES: December 11–13, 2000. The workshop is scheduled to begin at 12:30 pm on Monday, December 11 and will conclude at 5:30 pm. The Tuesday and

Wednesday sessions begin at 8:00 am and end at 5:30 pm.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Hyatt Regency Sacramento, 1209 L Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Walsh, U.S. EPA Office of Pesticide Programs (7506C), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460. Telephone: (703) 308–2972. e-mail: walsh.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general, however, the size of the meeting facilities could limit the number of participants. This action may be of interest to farm worker groups, agricultural employers, state governments, county extension services, and pesticide product manufacturers. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the party listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

II. How Can I Get Copies of this Document?

Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at http://www.epa.gov/. You may also go directly to the Federal Register listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

III. How Can I Participate in this Meeting and is there a Deadline?

You may request to participate in this meeting and register by phone, by fax, through the mail, or electronically by no later than November 14, 2000. Since space is limited, we recommend registering as soon as possible. Please contact Meetings Management, Inc., P.O. Box 30045, Alexandria, Virginia 22310, Tel: (703) 922–7944, Fax: (703) 922–7780, e-mail:

Mmagnini@BellAtlantic.net. Please also note that you must make your own hotel room reservations.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, agricultural worker protection.

Dated: October 19, 2000.

Anne E. Lindsay,

Director, Field and External Affairs Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 00–27663 Filed 10–26–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

October 20, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before December 26, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, 445 12th Street, SW, Room 1–A804, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060–0405. Title: Application for Authority to Construct or Make Changes in an FM Translator or FM Booster Station.

Form No.: FCC 349.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit, Not-for-profit institutions.