

(Northwest) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, a number of tariff sheets which apply to the period from February 1, 1996 through February 28, 1997 during which Northwest's rates as established in Docket No. RP95-409 are applicable. The specific tariff sheets are enumerated in Appendix A of the filing.

Northwest states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's June 1, 1999 and September 29, 2000 orders in Docket No. RP95-409 (Orders).

Northwest states that its compliance filing is consistent with the Commission's Orders and directives that have been issued with respect to the Docket No. RP95-409 proceeding.

Northwest states that a copy of this filing has been served upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-27621 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01-111-000, et al.]

Cinergy Services, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 19, 2000.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-111-000]

Take notice that on October 13, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy) and Northern/AES Energy, L.L.C., are requesting a cancellation of Service

Agreement No. 99, under Cinergy Operating Companies, Resale of Transmission Rights and Ancillary Service Rights, FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 8.

Cinergy requests an effective date of October 9, 2000.

Comment date: November 3, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER01-125-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd), tendered for filing an Interconnection Agreement with Duke Energy Lee, LLC (Duke).

ComEd requests an effective date of October 17, 2000 and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Copies of the filing were served on Duke and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER01-128-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), tendered for filing first revised sheet No. 87U to its Open Access Transmission Tariff containing revisions to the "PJM Assignment Matrix" which governs the assignment of costs from PJM's various internal divisions to PJM's unbundled services. PJM states that the revisions are necessary to reflect an internal reorganization of PJM.

Copies of this filing were served upon the PJM Members, and the state electric utility regulatory commissions within the PJM control area.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-129-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), tendered for filing a Supplement to its Rate Schedule, Con Edison Rate Schedule FERC No. 130, a facilities agreement with the New York Power Authority (NYPA).

Con Edison has requested that the Supplement take effect as of September 1, 2000.

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon NYPA.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-130-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), tendered for filing a Supplement to its Rate Schedule FERC 117, an agreement to provide interconnection and transmission service to Keyspan/Long Island Power Authority (Keyspan). The Supplement provides for a decrease in the annual fixed rate carrying charges.

Con Edison has requested that this decrease take effect as of September 1, 2000.

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon Keyspan.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-131-000]

Take notice that on October 13, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy) and American Energy Trading, Inc., are requesting a cancellation of Service Agreement No. 135, under Cinergy Operating Companies, Market-Based Power Sales Tariff—MB, FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 7.

Cinergy requests an effective date of October 16, 2000.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-132-000]

Take notice that on October 13, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy) and American Energy Trading, Inc., tendered for filing a request for cancellation of Service Agreement No. 135, under Cinergy Operating Companies, Cost-Based Power Sales Tariff—CB, FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 6.

Cinergy requests an effective date of October 16, 2000.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-133-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy) and South Jersey Energy Company are requesting a cancellation of Service Agreement No. 214, under Cinergy Operating Companies, Market-Based

Power Sales Tariff—MB, FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 7.

Cinergy requests an effective date of October 16, 2000.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-134-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy) and South Jersey Energy Company tendered for filing a request for cancellation of Service Agreement No. 211, under Cinergy Operating Companies, Cost-Based Power Sales Tariff—CB, FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 6.

Cinergy requests an effective date of October 16, 2000.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER01-135-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing a Generator Special Facilities Agreement (GSFA) between PG&E and Sunrise Cogeneration and Power Company (Sunrise) providing for Special Facilities and the parallel operation of Sunrise's generating facility and the PG&E-owned electric system.

This GSFA permits PG&E to recover the ongoing costs associated with owning, operating and maintaining the Special Facilities including the cost of any alterations and additions. As detailed in the GSFA, PG&E proposes to charge Sunrise a monthly Cost of Ownership Charge equal to the rate for transmission-level, customer-financed facilities in PG&E's currently effective Electric Rule 2, as filed with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). PG&E's currently effective rate of 0.31% for transmission-level, customer-financed Special Facilities is contained in the CPUC's Advice Letter 1960-G/1587-E, effective August 5, 1996, a copy of which is included in this filing.

Copies of this filing have been served upon Sunrise and the CPUC.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Delta Person Limited Partnership

[Docket No. ER01-138-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Delta Person Limited Partnership (Delta), tendered for filing Notice of Succession pursuant to Section 35.16 of the Commission's Regulations. As a

result of a name change, Delta is succeeding to the FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1 of Cobisa-Person Limited Partnership, effective September 25, 2000.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER01-139-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing the following: (1) A Notice of Letter of Acquisition of Merger of Citizens Power LLC into Edison Mission Marketing & Trading; (2) a Notice of Name Change from Amoco Energy Trading Corporation to BP Energy Company; (3) a Notice of Name Change from Williams Energy Services Company to Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company; and (4) a Notice of Name Change from Engage Energy US, L.P. to Coastal Merchant Energy, L.P.

Cinergy respectfully requests waiver of any applicable regulation to the extent necessary to make the tariff changes effective as of the date of each of the listed name changes.

A copy of the filing was served upon the affected parties.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER00-3591-000 and ER00-3591-001]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EPMI) filed a non-substantive correction to a sentence in the prior version of the affidavit of Scott Englander in order to clarify its meaning.

Comment date: November 6, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. PPM One LLC

[Docket No. EG01-5-000]

Take notice that on October 16, 2000, PPM One LLC filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an Application for Determination of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations and Section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended. The applicant, a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Oregon, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc. PacifiCorp Power Marketing, Inc., an Oregon corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PacifiCorp Group

Holdings, Inc., an Oregon corporation with general offices in Portland, Oregon. PacifiCorp Group Holdings, Inc. is, in turn, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PacifiCorp, an Oregon corporation and an investor owned electric utility company with general offices in Portland, Oregon. PacifiCorp is a subsidiary of ScottishPower plc, a public limited corporation organized under the laws of Scotland. ScottishPower holds, through subsidiaries, all of the common stock of PacifiCorp. The applicant states that it will be engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning an eligible facility and selling at wholesale at market-based rates electric energy from the Facility. The facility consists of three NEG Micon Model NM 700/44 Wind Turbines and related plant facilities located on the Bureau of Land Management rights-of-way in Riverside County, California.

Copies of the application have been served upon the California Public Utilities Commission, the Oregon Public Utility Commission, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, the Public Service Commission of Utah and the Wyoming Public Service Commission, the "Affected State commissions," and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Comment date: November 9, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Beginning November 1, 2000, comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18

CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-27619 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. PL98-1-001]

Public Access to Information and Electronic Filing; Notice of Electronic Filing Demonstrations

October 19, 2000.

Take notice that the Commission Staff (Staff) will conduct demonstrations for filing comments electronically (excluding comments on rulemakings).

The electronic filing demonstrations will be held following the Commission meetings on October 25, 2000 and November 8, 2000.

The demonstration on October 25, 2000 will be held in Hearing Room 1 and the demonstration on November 8 will be held in the Commission Meeting Room at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The electronic filing demonstrations are open to all interested persons.

The Capitol Connection will offer the electronic filing demonstration on November 8, 2000, as a special FERC meeting, *live* over the Internet as well as via telephone and satellite. For a reasonable fee, you can receive the demonstration in your office, at home or anywhere in the world. To find out more about The Capitol Connection's live Internet, phone bridge or satellite coverage, contact David Reininger or Julia Morelli at (703) 993-3100 or visit Capitol Connection's website at www.capitolconnection.gmu.edu). The Capitol Connection also offers FERC Open Meetings through its Washington, DC area television service.

David Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-27620 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6612-1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167, or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa, Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements, Filed October 16, 2000 Through October 20, 2000, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000363, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Ashland, Post-Fire Project, Proposal to Implement Restoration Activities to Maintain Watershed Custer National Forest Powder River and Rosebud Counties, MT, Due: December 11, 2000, Contact: Elizabeth McFarland (406) 784-2344.

EIS No. 000364, Final EIS, COE, CA, Upper Newport Bay Restoration Project To Develop a Long-Term Management Plan to Control Sediment Deposition Orange County, CA, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Larry Smith (213) 452-3846.

EIS No. 000365, Final EIS, FHW, WV, VA, WV-9 Improvements, from Charles Town Bypass (U.S. 340) to the Virginia Line, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Shenandoah River, Jefferson Co., WV and Loudoun Co., VA, Due: December 04, 2000, Contact: Thomas J. Smith (304) 347-5928.

EIS No. 000366, Final EIS, COE, CA, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project Including Santiago Creek, Proposal to Complete Channel Improvements along San Timoteo Creek Reach 3B to provide Flood Protection, San Bernardino County, CA, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Joy Jaiswal (213) 452-3871.

EIS No. 000367, Final EIS, COE, NC, Dare County Beaches (Bodie Island Portion) Hurricane Wave Protection and Beach Erosion Control, The towns of Nags Head, Kill Devil Hills, Kitty Hawk, Dare County, NC, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Chuck Wilson (910) 251-4746.

EIS No. 000368, Final EIS, COE, AZ, Rio de Flag Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, AZ, Due: November 27, 2000, Contact: Tim Smith (202) 761-4172.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000307, Draft EIS, FRC, AL, FL, Buccaneer Natural Gas Pipeline Project, Construction and Operations, To Deliver Natural Gas for Electric Power Generation, Mobile County, AL

and Pasco, Polk, Hardee, Lake and Osceola Counties, FL, Due: November 07, 2000, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208-1611.

Revision of FR notice published on 09/08/2000: CEQ Comment Date has been Extended from 10/24/2000 to 11/07/2000.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-27677 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6611-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-H36109-MO Rating EO2, Chesterfield Valley Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Chesterfield, St. Louis County, MO.

Summary: EPA raised objections, noting that significant floodplain management issues exist. EPA encouraged the Corps to reevaluate alternatives to lessen impacts.

ERP No. D-COE-K36134-CA Rating 3, Murrieta Creek Flood Control and Protection, Implementation, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: EPA stated that the draft EIS failed to adequately assess potentially significant environmental impacts of the proposed project. EPA believes that the proposed project would result in significant unmitigated impacts to wetlands and other waters of the U.S., important wildlife habitat, and air quality and that alternatives exist that would reduce these impacts, provide flood protection, and restore and enhance Murrieta Creek within the proposed project area. EPA has determined that this project as proposed is not consistent with or otherwise in