

35. The provisions of this Settlement Agreement and Order shall apply to Respondent and each of its successors and assigns.

Respondent Crawford Textile Corporation  
Dated: August 30, 2000

John C. McCuiston,  
*President, Crawford Textile Corporation,  
319-A South Westgate Drive, Greensboro, NC  
27407.*

Commission Staff

Alan H. Schoem,  
*Assistant Executive Director, Consumer  
Product Safety Commission, Office of  
Compliance, Washington, D.C. 20207-0001.*

Eric L. Stone,  
*Director, Legal Division, Office of  
Compliance.*

Dated: August 31, 2000

Dennis C. Kacoyanis,  
*Trial Attorney, Legal Division, Office of  
Compliance.*

#### Order

Upon consideration of the Settlement Agreement entered into between Respondent Crawford Textile Corporation (hereinafter, "Respondent"), a corporation, and the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("Commission"); and the Commission having jurisdiction over the subject matter and Respondent; and it appearing that the Settlement Agreement and Order is in the public interest. IT IS

*Ordered*, that the Settlement Agreement be and hereby is accepted, and it is

*Further ordered*, that upon final acceptance of the Settlement Agreement and Order, Respondent Crawford Textile Corporation shall pay to the United States Treasury a civil penalty in the amount of *One Hundred Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars* (\$150,000.00) in three (3) payments each. The first payment of *Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars* (\$50,000) shall be paid within twenty (20) days after service of the Final Order of the Commission (hereinafter, "the anniversary date"). The second payment of *Fifty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars* (\$50,000.00) shall be paid within one (1) year of the anniversary date. The third payment shall be paid within two (2) years of the anniversary date. Upon the failure of Respondent Crawford Textile Corporation to make a payment or upon the making of a late payment by Respondent Crawford Textile Corporation (a) the entire amount of the civil penalty shall be due and payable, and (b) interest on the outstanding balance shall accrue and be paid at the federal legal rate of interest under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. 1961(a) and (b).

Provisionally accepted and provisioned Order issued on the 2nd day of October, 2000.

By Order of the Commission.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Deputy Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 00-25659 Filed 10-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Army Science Board; Notice of Open Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting.

*Name of Committee:* Army Science Board (ASB).

*Date of Meeting:* October 30, 2000—November 1, 2000.

*Time of Meeting:* 0730—1700.

*Place:* Fort Benning, Georgia (Classroom Six in the Infantry Hall).

*Agenda:* The Army Science Board's (ASB) will hold their annual Fall Membership meeting at Fort Benning. This meeting will be open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee. For further information, please contact Mr. Everett R. Gooch at (703) 604-7479.

**Wayne Joyner,**

*Program Support Specialist, Army Science Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-25759 Filed 10-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy

**AGENCY:** United States Military Academy, Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 10 (a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Board of Visitors, United States Military Academy.

*Date of Meeting:* 17 November 2000.

*Place of Meeting:* Superintendent's Conference Room, Taylor Hall, United States Military Academy, West Point, New York.

*Start Time of Meeting:* Approximately 1 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information, contact Lieutenant Colonel John L. Pothin, United States Military Academy, West Point, NY 10996-5000, (914) 938-4200.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Proposed Agenda:* Review of the Academic, Military and Physical Programs, Bicentennial Campaign, Athletic Program, Admissions at USMA

and USMAPS Program update. All proceedings are open.

**Gregory D. Showalter,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-25657 Filed 10-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

#### Withdrawal of Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Whitney Point Lake Project Modification, Susquehanna River Basin Water Management Feasibility Study, Broome County, NY

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, is withdrawing its intent to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for modification of the Whitney Point Lake, Broome County, New York. The Corps' environmental analyses have not identified any significant adverse impacts associated with the proposed action; therefore, intent to prepare a DEIS is hereby terminated. The Corps is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed project modification. The draft EA evaluates the elimination of the 7-foot annual winter drawdown and the release of water from the lake for low flow augmentation (drought conditions) for the enhancement of aquatic habitat downstream of the lake, in the Otselic, Tioughnioga, and Chenango River reaches. The Susquehanna River Basin Commission is the non-Federal, cost-sharing partner and is participating in the feasibility study and draft EA development. The goal of this project is to provide for low flow augmentation during drought conditions and reduce the stress upon the aquatic ecosystem. Formulation of the restoration measures focus on examining existing conditions, maximizing potential benefits to the in-lake and downstream aquatic resources, and minimizing impacts to in-lake resources and recreational facilities due to lake drawdowns resulting from low flow augmentation releases. The draft feasibility report and integrated EA is scheduled to be released for a 30-day public review and comment period in October 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Questions about the termination of the DEIS can be addressed to Ms. Maria E. de la Torre, Study Manager, Baltimore

District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CENAB-PL-P, P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, Maryland 21203-1715. E-mail address: maria.e.delatorre@usace.army.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** None.

**Gregory D. Showalter,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-25658 Filed 10-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-41-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### **Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Establishment of New and/or Modification of Existing Military Operations Areas Near Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point, NC**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the potential environmental consequences of the establishment of new and/or modification of existing Military Operations Areas (MOAs) near Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Cherry Point, North Carolina. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) will serve as a cooperating agency on this EIS.

**DATES:** All written scoping comments should be postmarked by November 20, 2000. Public scoping open houses will be held to receive oral and/or written comments on environmental concerns that should be addressed in the EIS on October 23, 2000, from 5:30 pm to 8:30 pm, in the Cape Hatteras High School, Highway 12, Buxton, North Carolina; October 24, 2000, from 5:30 pm to 8:30 pm, in the Northside High School, 7860 Free Union Church Road, Pinetown, North Carolina; October 25, 2000, from 5:30 pm to 8:30 pm, in the East Carteret High School, 3263 Highway 70, Beaufort, North Carolina; and October 26, 2000, from 5:30 pm to 8:30 pm, in the New Bern Riverfront Convention Center, 203 South Front Street, New Bern, North Carolina.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments, statements and/or questions regarding scoping issues should be addressed to: Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, (Code BD33KK), 1510 Gilbert Street, Norfolk, Virginia, 23511.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Kelly Knight, telephone (757) 322-4886, fax (757) 322-4894.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Establishment of new and/or modification of existing MOAs is required to provide military aviators with more realistic training opportunities. The purpose of this action is to eliminate required administrative airspeed reductions so that aircraft can maintain tactical flight profiles, and create additional SUA in order to optimize existing aviation training. Military aviators using existing SUA in the MCAS Cherry Point area are required to reduce airspeed to 250 knots or less prior to entering non-SUA below 10,000 feet mean sea level (MSL) and when transiting from one non-contiguous SUA to another. This airspeed reduction requires aircrews to initiate speed reduction prior to departing existing SUA, thereby terminating their tactical profile. This greatly diminishes training value due to decreased realism in tactical flight profiles and restricted tactical approach options, which require the repetition of non-tactical maneuvers during training. Second, expansion of SUA over land is desired to accommodate critical aircrew training requirements that do not require the use of restricted airspace such as air combat maneuvers, formation flight, air intercepts, staging and packaging of multiple aircraft strikes, and a larger acquisition area for simulated weapon systems delivery. These objectives cannot be met through non-SUA actions due to the space requirements to accommodate such operations and restrictive procedures inherent in Airspace for Special Use development and utilization.

Seven MOA alternatives have been identified so far, four individual MOA alternatives and three combination MOA alternatives, for consideration in the EIS. The individual MOA alternatives include: (1) Establishment of the Core MOA at a proposed location east of MCAS Cherry Point and extending from Cape Lookout north to Portsmouth Island and overlying the Cape Lookout National Seashore; (2) establishment of the Mattamuskeet MOA at a proposed location located northeast of MCAS Cherry Point and overlying portions of Pamlico, Beaufort, Hyde, Tyrrell, and Washington counties and the Lake Mattamuskeet and Swanquarter National Wildlife Refuges; (3) establishment of the Cherry MOA at a proposed location northwest of MCAS Cherry Point and overlying portions of Craven, Pamlico, Beaufort and Hyde counties; and (4) modification of the

Pamlico B MOA located northeast of MCAS Cherry Point and overlying the Pamlico Sound and portions of Carteret, Hyde and Dare counties. Unlike the first three proposed MOAs, Pamlico B is an existing MOA the use of which would be modified by lowering its base altitude from 8,000 feet mean sea level (MSL) to 3,000 feet MSL. All other existing dimensions would remain unchanged. The proposed floor for any MOA alternative under consideration is 3,000 feet above ground level. In addition to these four individual MOA alternatives, three combination MOA alternatives have been identified. They include: (1) Core and Mattamuskeet MOAs, (2) Core and Cherry MOAs, and (3) Core and Pamlico B MOAs. The No Action Alternative, which would neither establish new nor modify existing MOAs, will also be analyzed in this EIS.

Environmental issues to be addressed in the EIS include, but are not limited to, the effects on wildlife and threatened and endangered species, public lands and waters, civilian aviation, public health and safety, recreation, and community noise.

The Marine Corps will initiate a scoping process for the purpose of determining the extent of issues to be addressed, and identifying significant issues related to this action. Public scoping meetings will be held as noted in the Dates section of this notice. These meetings will be advertised in area newspapers.

Marine Corps representatives will be available at these meetings to receive comments from the public regarding issues of concern to the public. Federal, state and local agencies, and interested individuals are encouraged to take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that should be addressed during the preparation of the EIS. Agencies and the public are also invited and encouraged to provide written comment on scoping issues in addition to, or in lieu of, oral comments at the public meeting. To be most helpful, scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the commentator believes the EIS should address. Written statements and/or questions regarding the scoping process should be mailed by November 20, 2000 to: Commander, Atlantic Division (Attn: Code BD33KK), Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1510 Gilbert Street, Norfolk, Virginia 23511.