

Prevention and Toxics (OPPTS) by maintaining the day-to-day operations of all security hardware, access controls, and alarm equipment.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68-W-00-104, SecTek will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract.

SecTek personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under all sections of TSCA that EPA may provide SecTek access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters.

SecTek will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at EPA Headquarters only, in accordance with the EPA *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until June 30, 2005.

SecTek personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection,  
Confidential business information.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

Allan S. Abramson,

Director, Information Management Division,  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 00-23781 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6610-8]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 or [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa)

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 04, 2000 Through September 08, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 000315, Draft EIS, TVA, TN, Future Water Supply Needs in the Upper Duck River Basin, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Bedford, Marshall, Maury and*

*Williamson Counties, TN, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Linda B. Oxendine (865) 632-3440.*

*EIS No. 000316, Draft Supplement, BLM, NV, Betze-Post Project, Updated Information, Dewatering Operations and a Proposed Pipeline, Elko and Eureka Counties, NV, Due: November 14, 2000, Contact: Kirk Laird (775) 753-0272.*

*EIS No. 000317, Draft Supplement, COE, MS, Yazoo Basin Reformulation Study, Supplement No: 1 To the 1982 Yazoo Area Pump Project, Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Yazoo Basin, MS and LA, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Gary Young (601) 631-5960.*

*EIS No. 000318, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, El Luky Duk Gold Suction Dredging, Proposal to Mine Gold, Plan-of-Operation, Implementation, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, ID, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Kevin Martin (208) 842-2245.*

*EIS No. 000319, Draft EIS, BOR, NM, Rio Granda and Low Flow Conveyance Channel Modification Channel System, From Rio Grande Valley between San Acacia Diversion Dam, NM and the Narrows of Elephant Butte Reservoir, NM, Due: November 07, 2000, Contact: Chris Gorbach (505) 348-5351.*

*EIS No. 000320, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Chugach National Forest, Proposed Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Glacier, Seward and Cordora Ranger Districts, Kenai Peninsula Borough, AK, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Dave Gibbons (907) 271-2500.*

### Amended Notices

*EIS No. 000286, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA, Due: October 31, 2000, Contact: Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.*

Published FR-8-25-00, Correction to agency from GSA to NPS.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-23809 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6610-9]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FRA-A54035-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—Maglev Deployment Program, Development and Construction of an Operating Public Transportation System using Magnetic Levitation, Grants Issuance, CA, FL, GA, LA, MD, NV and PA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concerns relating to disclosure of the existing state of knowledge regarding the safety and health effects and general operating characteristics of Maglev technology.

ERP No. D-FTA-L54004-WA Rating LO, Sound Transit, Lakewood-to-Tacoma Commuter Rail and WA-512 Park and Ride Expansion, Construction and Operation, Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, City of Tacoma and City of Lakewood, WA.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-NPS-K60030-CA Rating EC2, Legislative EIS—Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Homeland, To Establish a Permanent Tribal Land Base and Related Cooperative Activities, The Transfer of Federal Land and Acquisition of Private Land, Death Valley National Park, Saline Valley, CA and Lida Ranch near Lida, NV.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concerns regarding the range of alternatives and the lack of information on the reasonably foreseeable future development (RFFD) on proposed lands for transfer in trust. EPA requested more information on RFFD, that the alternatives section criteria be included in the body of the document, that alternatives be presented in comparative format, and that the Tribal and Federal agencies commit to the development of a comprehensive plan in the Record of Decision (ROD).

ERP No. DR-COE-K90029-CA Rating EC2, Delta Wetlands Project,

Construction and Operation Revised Information for the Water Storage Project on Four Islands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, Approval of Permits, San Joaquin and Contra Costa Counties, CA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed concerns that the project will yield degradation of Delta water with respect to its beneficial use as a source for drinking water. EPA requested that more stringent criteria for total organic carbons be used as a goal in the FEIS.

ERP No. DS-COE-K36083-CA Rating EC2, Guadalupe River Flood Control Plan, Improvement, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California

**Summary:** EPA expressed concerns regarding effects on the aquatic environment associated with the potential release of mercury-contaminated sediments and with the degradation and loss wetlands and riparian habitat in the Guadalupe River watershed. EPA urged the Corps and the local project sponsor to avoid and minimize such impacts to the fullest extent and identify appropriate mitigation measures.

ERP No. DS-FHW-L40198-WA Rating EC2, North Spokane Freeway Project, Improvements Transportation through the City of Spokane and Spokane County between I-90, Spokane County, WA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed concerns regarding potential wetland impacts and the lack of analysis of proposed road building activities associated with possible hazardous waste impacts.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-G40154-TX, Loop 1 Extension Project, From Farm-to-Market Road FM-734 (Palmer Lander) to I-35, Funding, Travis and Williamson Counties, TX.

**Summary:** EPA finds that the final EIS responded reasonably to EPA's comments on the draft EIS, therefore EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40163-CA, CA-238 Construction, near Industrial Parkway to CA-238/I-580 Interchange, Funding, and 404 Permit, City of Hayward, Alameda County, CA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed continuing objections to the environmental impacts of induced travel demand and cumulative impacts generated by the proposed project. EPA requested monitoring and mitigation for induced travel demand and the implementation of controls to prevent growth inducement.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

**Ken Mittelholtz,**

*Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-23810 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-01-U**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6869-7]

### Intent To Grant an Exclusive Patent License

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to grant an exclusive patent license.

**SUMMARY:** This is a correction and reissue of the notice of intent to grant an exclusive license originally published in the August 29, 2000 issue of the **Federal Register** (65 FR 52426). The deadline for comments is also extended. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 207 and 37 CFR part 404, EPA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive, royalty-bearing revocable license to practice the invention described and claimed in the patent application listed below, all U.S. patents issuing therefrom, all corresponding patents granted and issued throughout the world, and all reexamined patents and reissued patents granted in connection with such patent application to Horiba Instruments, Incorporated, Irvine, California. The patent application is:

U.S. Patent Application No. 09/226,920, entitled "Real-Time On-Road Vehicle Exhaust Gas Modular Flowmeter and Emissions Reporting System," filed January 5, 1999.

The invention was announced as being available for licensing in the March 1, 1999 issue of the **Federal Register** (60 FR 20490). The proposed exclusive license will contain appropriate terms, limitations and conditions to be negotiated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and the U.S. Government patent licensing regulations at 37 CFR part 404.

EPA will negotiate the final terms and conditions and grant the exclusive license, unless within 60 days from the date of this Notice, EPA receives, at the address below, written objections to the grant, together with supporting documentation. The documentation from objecting parties having an interest in practicing the above patent application should include an application for exclusive or nonexclusive license with the information set forth in 37 CFR 404.8. The EPA Patent Counsel and other EPA

officials will review all written responses and then make recommendations on a final decision to the Director and Deputy Director, Office of Transportation Air Quality, both of whom have been delegated the authority to issue patent licenses under 35 U.S.C. 207.

**DATES:** Comments to this notice must be received by EPA at the address listed below by November 14, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan Ehrlich, Patent Counsel, Office of General Counsel (Mail Code 2377A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone (202) 564-5457.

Dated: September 7, 2000.

**Marla E. Diamond,**

*Associate General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00-23777 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

September 8, 2000.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before November 14,