- 3. Monitoring OPDIV and StaffDiv information system security program activities by reviewing Operating Division and Staff Division security plans for sensitive systems, and evaluating safeguards to protect major information systems, or IT infrastructure.
- 4. Responsible for responding to requests in conjunction with OMB Circular A–130, the Computer Security Act of 1987, and Presidential Decision Directive 63, or other legislative or mandated requirements related to IT security or privacy.
- 5. Monitoring all Departmental systems development and operations for security and privacy compliance.
- 6. Recommending to the CIO to grant or deny programs the authority to operate information systems.
- 7. Establishing and leading inter-OPDIV teams to conduct reviews of OPDIV programs to protect HHS' cyber and personnel security programs. These teams will conduct vulnerability assessments of HHS' critical assets.
- 8. Coordinating activities with internal and external organizations reviewing the Department's information resources for fraud, waste, and abuse, and to avoid duplication of effort across these programs.
- 9. Developing, implementing, and evaluating an employee cyber security awareness and training program to meet the requirements as mandated by OMB Circular A–130, and the Computer Security Act.
- 10. Establishing and providing leadership to the subcommittee of the HHS CIO Council on Security.
- 11. Establishing and leading the HHS Computer Security Incident Response Capability team, the Department's overall cyber security incident response/coordination center and primary point of contact for Federal Computer Incident Response Capability (FedCIRC) and National Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC).

Dated: August 15, 2000.

### John J. Callahan,

Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget.

[FR Doc. 00–22569 Filed 9–1–00; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-00-48]

# Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is providing opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Office at (404) 639–7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

## **Proposed Project**

Evaluation of Effectiveness of NIOSH Publications—NEW— National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Through the development, organization, and dissemination of information, NIOSH promotes awareness about occupational hazards and their control, and improves the quality of American working life. Although NIOSH uses a variety of media

and delivery mechanisms to communicate with its constituents, one of the primary vehicles is through the distribution of NIOSH-numbered publications. The extent to which these publications successfully meet the information needs of their intended audience is not currently known. In a period of diminishing resources and increasing accountability, it is important that NIOSH be able to demonstrate that communications about its research and service programs are both effective and efficient in influencing workplace change. This requires a social marketing evaluation of NIOSH products to measure the degree of customer satisfaction and their adoption of recommended actions.

The present project proposes to do this by conducting a mail survey of a primary segment of NIOSH's customer base, the community of occupational safety and health professionals. In collaboration with the American Association of Occupational Health Nurses (13,000 members), the American Industrial Hygiene Association (12,400 members), the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (6,500 members), and the American Society of Safety Engineers (33,000 members), NIOSH will survey a sample of their memberships to ascertain, among other things: (1) Their perceptions and attitudes toward NIOSH as a general information resource; (2) their perceptions and attitudes about specific types of NIOSH publications (e.g., criteria documents, technical reports, alerts); (3) the frequency and nature of referral to NIOSH in affecting occupational safety and health practices and policies; (4) the extent to which they have implemented NIOSH recommendations; and (5) their recommendations for improving NIOSH products and delivery systems. The results of this survey will provide an empirical assessment of the impact of NIOSH publications on occupational safety and health practice and policy in the United States as well as provide direction for shaping future NIOSH communication efforts. There is no cost to the respondents.

Respondents	Number of responses/ respondents	Average burden per response	Total burden (hours)
3,000	1	40/60	2,000

Dated: August 29, 2000.

#### Nancy Cheal,

Acting Associate Director for Policy Planning, and Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 00–22603 Filed 9–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-00-49]

## Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is providing opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Office at (404) 639–7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road,

MS–D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

## **Proposed Project**

Thyroid Disease in Persons Exposed to Radioactive Fallout from Atomic Weapons Testing at the Nevada Test Site: Phase III—NEW—National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH), Centers for Disease Control (CDC). In 1997, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) released a report entitled, Estimated Exposures and Thyroid Doses Received by the American People from I–131 In Fallout Following Nevada Nuclear Bomb Test. This report provided county-level estimates of the potential radiation doses to the thyroid gland of American citizens resulting from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) in the 1950's and 1960's. The Institute of Medicine (IOM) conducted a formal peer review of the report at the request of the Department of Health and Human Services. In the review, IOM noted that the public might desire an assessment of the potential health impact of nuclear weapons testing on American populations. The IOM also suggested that further studies of the Utah residents who have participated in previous studies of radiation exposure and thyroid disease might provide this information.

The National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) proposes to conduct a study of the relation between exposure to radioactive fallout from atomic weapons testing and the occurrence of thyroid disease on an extension of a cohort study previously conducted by the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah. This study is designed as a follow-up to a retrospective cohort study begun in 1965. This is the third examination (hence Phase III) of a cohort of

individuals who were children living in Washington County, Utah, and Lincoln County, Nevada, in 1965 (Phase I) and who were presumably exposed to fallout from above-ground nuclear weapons testing at the Nevada Test Site in the 1950s. The cohort also includes a control group who were children living in Graham County, Arizona, in 1966 and presumably unexposed to fallout.

The study headquarters will be at the University of Utah in Salt Lake City, Utah. The field teams will spend the majority of their time in the urban areas nearest the original counties if the same pattern of migration holds that was found in Phase II. These urban areas include St. George, Utah, the Wasatch Front in Utah, Las Vegas, Nevada, Phoenix/Tucson, Arizona, and Denver, Colorado. In addition some time will be spent in California as a number of subjects had relocated there at the time of Phase II. The purposes of Phase III are three fold: First to re-examine the participants in Phase II for occurrence of thyroid neoplasia and other diseases since 1986. Residents of the three counties who moved before they could be included in the original cohort will be located and examined. Second, disease incidence will be analyzed in addition to period prevalence as used in the Phase II analysis. Use of incidence will allow for greater power to detect increased risk of disease in the exposed population through the use of persontime. Third, disease specific mortality rates for Washington County, Utah, and a control county, Cache County, Utah, will be compared for people who lived in these two counties during the time of above-ground testing. This comparison will determine if the risk of mortality in Washington County (the exposed group) is significantly greater than Cache County (the control group). CDC/NCEH is requesting a 3-year clearance. There is no costs to respondents.

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average bur- den response (hrs)	Total burden (in hours)
Exposure Questionnaire Questionnaire Preparation Booklet Group Member Information Consent Forms Interview Booklet Medical History Questionnaire (male) Medical Form Refusal Form	2400 2400 4800 4800 4800 2400 2400 48	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 30/60 5/60 10/60 30/60 1 1 5/60	2400 1200 384 816 2400 2400 2400 4
Total hours in burden				12004