the Act, and after providing notice and an opportunity for Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc. to make a written submission to the Bureau of Export Administration before issuing an Order denying its export privileges, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations, I, following consultations with the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, have decided to deny Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc.'s export privileges for a period of 10 years from the date of its conviction. The 10-year period ends on January 26, 2010. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act in which Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc. had an interest at the time of its conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered I. Until January 26, 2010, Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc., 6157 Johnston Street, Lafayette, Louisiana 70503, may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States, that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to

the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States:

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported form the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc. by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until January 26, 2010.

VI. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc. may file an appeal from this Order with the Under Secretary for Export Administration. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Dien's Auto Salvage, Inc. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 22, 2000.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.
[FR Doc. 00–22249 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 52-2000]

Foreign-Trade Zone 44—Mount Olive, New Jersey, Area; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board), by the New Jersey Commerce and Economic Growth Commission, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 44, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Mt. Olive, New Jersey, area, within the New York/Newark Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on August 22, 2000.

FTZ 44 was approved on October 19, 1978 (Board Order 139, 43 FR 50234,10/27/78). The general-purpose zone currently consists of one site (77 acres) within the 650-acre International Trade Center located in the Mt. Olive Township of Morris County, New Jersey.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand its general purpose zone to include an additional site: Proposed Site 2 (309 acres, 2 parcels)—Rockefeller Cranbury Industrial Park (Carter-Wallace, Inc./the Rockefeller Group), Half Acre Road and north of Cranbury Station Road in Cranbury Township, Middlesex County. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 30, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to November 14, 2000.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Office of the Rockefeller Group, 500 International Drive—North, Suite 345, Mount Olive, NJ 07828; Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: August 24, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–22217 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-046]

Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 26, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register the notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber from Japan for Denki Kagaku Kogyo K.K. ("Denka") and Tosoh Corporation ("Tosoh"). See 65 FR 4228. This review was requested by the petitioner, DuPont Dow Elastomers L.L.C. ("DuPont"), and covers the period December 1, 1998, through November 30, 1999. We are now rescinding this review as a result of DuPont's timely withdrawal of its request for an administrative review for Denka and the non-shipper status of

FFECTIVE DATE: August 31, 2000. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nova Daly or Ron Trentham, Group II, Office 4, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0989 or 482–6320, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations

are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On December 28, 1999, the petitioner, DuPont, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber from Japan for the period December 1, 1998, through November 30, 1999, covering two producers and/or exporters: Denka and Tosoh. No other interested party requested that the Department conduct an administrative review. On January 26, 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review (65 FR 4228).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.42.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00, 4462.15.21 and 4462.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and for the U.S. Customs Service purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

Rescission of 1998/1999 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

On February 10, 2000, in response to the Department's questionnaire, Tosoh stated that it had made no shipments to the United States of the subject merchandise during the period of review ("POR"). The Department independently confirmed with the U.S. Customs Service that there were no shipments from Tosoh during the POR. Therefore, in accordance with section 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations, and consistent with our practice, we are treating this firm as a non-shipper for purposes of this review. Moreover, the Department invited interested parties to comment on our intent to rescind this review with respect to Tosoh. See Rescission Memorandum from Ron Trentham to Holly A. Kuga, dated August 4, 2000 ("Recission Memo"). Interested parties were given until the close of business on August 18, 2000, to submit their comments. No parties submitted comments. Therefore, we are rescinding this review with respect to Tosoh (see, e.g. Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review, 63 FR 35190, 35191 (June 29, 1998)).

On February 23, 2000, the petitioner filed a letter with the Department withdrawing its request that the

Department conduct an administrative review of Denka's sales. This withdrawal complies with section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations which grants parties 90 days from the publication of the notice of initiation of review to withdraw their request for review. Because of the nonshipper status of Tosoh, DuPont's timely request for the termination of the review for Denka, and the fact that there were no responses to the Rescission Memo, the Department is rescinding this review in its entirety in accordance with section 351.213(d) of our regulations.

This notice is in accordance with section 751 of the Act and section 351.213(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: August 24, 2000.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–22355 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-804]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Sparklers from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of continuation of antidumping duty order: Sparklers from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On February 3, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. See 65 FR 5312 (February 3, 2000).

On July 6, 2000, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See 65 FR 41728 (July 6, 2000). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from the PRC.