

**ADDRESS:** The Madison Hotel, 15th and M Streets, NW, Mt. Vernon Room—Salon C, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 862-1600.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Elizabeth Lyons, Special Assistant to the Director, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 510, Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606-4649.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The National Museum Services Board is established under the Museum Services Act, Title II of the Arts, Humanities, and Cultural Affairs Act of 1976, Public Law 94-462. The Board has responsibility for the general policies with respect to the powers, duties, and authorities vested in the Institute under the Museum Services Act.

The United States National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) is established under Public Law 91-345 as amended, The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Act. In accordance with section 5(b) of the Act, the commission has the responsibility for advising the Director of the Institute of Museum and Library Services on general policies relating to library services.

The meeting on Friday, September 15, 2000 will be open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact: Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506—(202) 606-8536—TDD (202) 606-8636 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting date.

*Agenda*

4th Annual Meeting of the National Museum Services Board and The National Commission on Libraries and Information Science at The Madison Hotel, 15th and M Streets, NW, Mt. Vernon Room—Room C, Washington, DC 20005 on Friday, September 15, 2000

9:00 am–12:00 pm

- I. The Chairs' Welcome and Minutes of the 3rd Annual Meeting
- II. Director's Welcome and Opening Remarks
- III. Outcomes-based Evaluation: Methodology/Training Schedule
- IV. National Leadership Grants
  - a. Analysis: National Leadership Grants 2000
  - b. Panel and Field Review Process
  - c. Review of Guidelines
- V. Emerging Issues in Digitization
  - a. Presenters
  - b. Q and A
- VI. National Award for Museum Service/ National Award for Library Service
- VII. Reauthorization update

Dated: August 15, 2000.

**Linda Bell,**

*Director of Policy, Planning and Budget, National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities, Institute of Museum and Library Services.*

[FR Doc. 00-21824 Filed 8-22-00; 3:38 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7036-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al.; Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

[Docket No. 50-410]

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-69, issued to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, located in Oswego County, New York.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would amend Section 3.10.8, "SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) Test—Refueling," of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, Technical Specifications (TS), correcting an administrative error introduced when Amendment No. 92 was processed.

The proposed action is in response to the licensee's application dated June 8, 2000.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

On February 15, 2000, the staff issued Amendment No. 91, converting the TS to the Improved Technical Specifications format and style. Amendment No. 91 was to be fully implemented by August 31, 2000; in the interim, the licensee will continue to use the pre-Amendment No. 91 TS. On March 2, 2000, the staff issued Amendment No. 92, which imposes requirements on the Oscillating Power Range Monitor (OPRM) system on both the pre-Amendment No. 91 TS and post-Amendment No. 91 TS. Subsequently, the licensee found that certain pages contain inadvertent administrative errors (i.e., numbering of sections) in that certain pre- and post-Amendment No. 91 pages differ for no technical reason. By letter dated June 7, 2000, the licensee proposed to correct these errors which were inadvertently introduced during the review process of Amendment No. 92.

The proposed amendment involves administrative changes to the TS only. No actual plant equipment, regulatory requirements, operating practices, or analyses are affected by the proposed amendment.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendment is granted. No changes will be made to the design, licensing bases, or the applicable procedures at the unit. Other than the administrative changes, no other changes will be made to the TS. The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2.

#### Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 7, 2000, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Mr. Jack Spath, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendment. The State official had no comments.

### Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the foregoing environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's request for the amendment dated June 7, 2000, which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of August, 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Peter S. Tam,**

*Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-21667 Filed 8-23-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 11Ac1-3, SEC File No. 270-382, OMB Control No. 3235-0435.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 11Ac1-3, 17 CFR 240.11Ac1-3, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires disclosure on each new account and on a yearly basis thereafter, on the annual statement, the firm's policies regarding receipt of payment for order flow from any market makers, exchanges or exchange members to which it routes customers' order in national market system securities for execution; and information regarding the aggregate amount of monetary

payments, discounts, rebates or reduction in fees received by the firm over the past year.

It is estimated that there are approximately 7,500 registered broker-dealers.<sup>1</sup> The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary for each broker-dealer to comply with Rule 11Ac1-3 is fourteen hours annually. Thus, the total burden is 105,000 hours annually. The average cost per hour is approximately \$85. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for broker-dealers is \$8,925,000.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: August 17, 2000.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-21633 Filed 8-23-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

#### [Declaration of Disaster #3272, Amdt. #4]

#### State of Wisconsin

In accordance with a notice from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated August 9, 2000, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to include Juneau County, Wisconsin as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding beginning on May 26, 2000 and continuing through July 19, 2000.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the contiguous counties of

<sup>1</sup> This estimate is based on FYE 1999 Focus Reports received by the Commission.

Jackson and Wood in the State of Wisconsin may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location. Any counties contiguous to the above-named primary county and not listed herein have been previously declared.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is September 9, 2000 and for economic injury the deadline is April 11, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: August 15, 2000.

**Herbert L. Mitchell,**

*Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-21620 Filed 8-23-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8025-01-P**

### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

#### [Declaration of Economic Injury Disaster #9106, Amdt. #1]

#### State of Alaska

The above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to include the Regional Educational Attendance Area #45, Southwest Region (previously referred to as REAA #6), as a contiguous area as a result of a fishery resource disaster, as determined by the Secretary of Commerce, due to extremely low salmon returns beginning in 1997 and continuing.

All other information remains the same, i.e., applications for economic injury may be filed until May 9, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59002.)

Dated: August 16, 2000.

**Kris Swedin,**

*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 00-21621 Filed 8-23-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8025-01-P**

### SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

#### [Declaration of Disaster #3280]

#### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties and the contiguous Counties of Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Cambria, Fayette, Indiana, Somerset, and Washington in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania constitute a disaster area as a result of damages caused by flash flooding that occurred August 6 through 8, 2000. Applications for loans for physical damage from this disaster may be filed until the close of business on October 16, 2000 and for economic