

consistent with adopted local plans. Although I-80 runs east/west through this area, this interstate freeway is currently one of the few roads that spans the distance between these jurisdictions. Therefore, the project would also serve as an alternative travel route to I-80. Additionally, the project is needed to relieve existing traffic congestion, improve safety, and accommodate future traffic associated with planned growth.

Alternatives to be considered may include: (1) A limited expressway that includes grade separations at selected locations; (2) bus and high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, in addition to mixed-flow lanes; (3) alternative locations for selected portions of the corridor to reduce environmental impacts associated with the project; and (4) taking no action.

Because project construction will likely require a Clean Water Act Section 404 individual permit, project planning and development will be consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding concerning the NEPA/404 Integration Process for Surface Transportation Projects.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. A public scoping meeting will be held at 7 p.m. on August 9, 2000 at the Suisun City Hall, 701 Civic Center Boulevard, Suisun City, California. A public hearing will be held for the draft EIS following preparation of various technical studies and approval for public availability by the FHWA. Public notice will be given as to the time and place of this hearing, currently projected for summer 2001. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the draft EIS public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposal are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address listed above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway and Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: July 31, 2000.

C. Glenn Clinton,

Team Leader, Project Delivery Team North, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 00-19819 Filed 8-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the Central Link Light Rail Transit Project

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS).

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is issuing this notice to advise the public, tribes and agencies that an SEIS will be prepared to evaluate a new alternative in Tukwila, Washington for the Central Link Light Rail Transit Project. This action is a supplement to the Central Link Light Rail Transit Project Final Environmental Impact Statement (November 1999).

DATES: *Agency Scoping Meeting:* An agency scoping meeting will be held on: Thursday, August 10, 2000, from 10:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m., Tukwila Community Center, 12424 42nd Avenue South, Tukwila, WA.

Scoping comments may be submitted after the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Witmer, Federal Transit Administration, 915 2nd Avenue Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174-1002, Telephone: 206-220-7964. James Irish, Sound Transit, 401 South Jackson St., Seattle, WA 98104-2826, Telephone: 206-398-5140

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FTA and the Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority (Sound Transit) will prepare an SEIS on a new light rail alternative route in the City of Tukwila known as the Tukwila Freeway Route. The Tukwila Freeway Route alternative was proposed by the City of Tukwila and follows East Marginal Way, State Route 509, Interstate-5, and State Route 518, mostly using an elevated configuration within existing freeway right-of-way. Stations are proposed at (1) Boeing Access Road and (2) South 154th Street, which would include a 670-stall park-and-ride lot. This 5.5 mile alternative route would bypass the adopted route along Tukwila International Boulevard (State Route 99) and be completely within exclusive right-of-way.

Issued on: August 1, 2000.

Linda M. Gehrke,

Federal Transit Administration Deputy Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-19836 Filed 8-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-51-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration (MARAD)

Reports, Forms and Recordkeeping Requirements; Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the information collection abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. Described below is the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection was published on May 26, 2000, 65 FR 34247.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James J. Zok, Associate Administrator for Shipping Analysis and Cargo Preference, MAR-500, Room 8126, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20590, telephone number 202-366-0364 or fax 202-366-7901. Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Maritime Administration (MARAD)

Title of Collection: Customer Service Surveys.

OMB Control Number: 2133-0528.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Entities directly served by the MARAD.

Form(s): MA-1016; MA-1017; MA-1021.

Abstract: Executive Order 12862 requires agencies to survey customers to determine the kind and quality of services they want and the level of their satisfaction with existing services. This collection covers MARAD forms used to carry out such surveys covering MARAD programs and services. Responses to the "Customer Service Questionnaire" are needed to obtain prompt customer feedback on the quality of specific services and products

provided to the customer by MARAD. The information provided will be used to ascertain the customer's level of satisfaction. Responses to the "Program Performance Survey" are needed to obtain customers' views on MARAD's major programs and activities with which the customers were involved during the preceding year. Responses to the new "Conference/Exhibit Survey" are needed to obtain feedback from conference attendees on the quality and success of a particular MARAD sponsored conference or event. The information provided will be used by MARAD's senior management and MARAD's program managers to monitor the overall level of customer satisfaction and to identify areas for improvement.

Annual Estimated Burden Hours: 256 Hours:

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725-17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention MARAD Desk Officer.

Comments: Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://dmses.dot.gov/submit>. Specifically, address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the function of the agency and will have practical utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: August 1, 2000.

Murray A. Bloom,

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration.
[FR Doc. 00-19810 Filed 8-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2000-7522]

Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2000-2001 BMW Z8 Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is September 5, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. § 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. § 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

J.K. Technologies LLC of Baltimore, Maryland ("J.K.") (Registered Importer 90-006) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which J.K. believes are substantially similar are 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer, Bayerische Motoren Werke, A.G., as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

J.K. submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2000-2001 BMW Z8 passenger cars are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence* * * *, 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 109 *New Pneumatic Tires*, 113 *Hood Latch Systems*, 114 *Theft Protection*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Retention*, 216 *Roof Crush Resistance*, 219 *Windshield Zone*