

by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Large and small business receiving contracts from EPA.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 240.

Frequency of Response: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 12 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital and Operating & Maintenance Cost Burden: \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1962.01 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20460;
and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: July 30, 2000.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 00-19790 Filed 8-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6845-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; New Residential Wood Heaters

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: New source Performance Standards for New Residential Wood Heaters (Subpart AAA), OMB Control Number 2060-0161, expiration date: 9/30/00. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by email at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1176.06. For technical questions about the ICR, contact Robert C. Marshall, Jr. at EPA by phone at (202) 564-7021.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: 40 CFR 60.530 thru 60.539(b), New source Performance Standards for New Residential Wood Heaters (Subpart AAA), OMB Control No. 2060-0161, EPA ICR No. 1176.06, expiring 9/30/00. This is an extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Information is supplied to the Agency under the applicable rule by emission testing laboratories, manufacturers and commercial owners (e.g., distributors, retailers).

The information supplied by manufacturers to the Agency is used: (1) To ensure that the best demonstrated technology (BDT) is being used to reduce emissions from wood heaters, (2) to ensure that the wood heater tested for certification purposes is in compliance with the applicable emission standards, (3) to provide evidence that production-line wood heaters have emission performance characteristics similar to tested models and (4) to provide assurance of continued compliance.

Manufacturers submit a notification to the Agency stating the dates of certification testing, perform the certification testing at an accredited laboratory, supply detailed component drawings including manufacturing tolerances to the Agency, reapply for certification every five years, seal/store each tested model and maintain all necessary certification test records.

Most recordkeeping and reporting provisions of the rule consists of emissions-related data and other information not considered confidential. However, the confidentiality of certain information obtained by the Agency is safeguarded according to Agency policies.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 3/31/2000 (65 FR 17258); no comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities:

Manufacturers/Sellers of new residential wood heaters.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 54.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 7,653 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Non-labor Cost Burden: \$1,500,573.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing

respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1176.06 and OMB Control No. 2060-0161 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20460;

and
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: July 30, 2000.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 00-19791 Filed 8-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6609-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65300-CO Rating LO, Upper Blue Stewardship Project, Implementation of Vegetation Management, Travel Management, Designation of Dispersed Camping Sites, White River National Forest, Dillon Ranger District, Summit County, CO.

Summary: Based on a limited review, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65324-WY Rating EC2, State of Wyoming School Section 16 T.12N., R.83W., 6th P.M., Issuing a Forest Road Special-Use-Permit for Access, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Brush Creek/Hayden Ranger District, Carbon County, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about potential impacts on fisheries, threatened/endangered species and the roadless character of the land.

ERP No. D-AFS-J67028-MT Rating LO, Rocky Mountain Front Mineral Withdrawal, Implementation, Helena and Lewis and Clark National Forests, Great Falls, MT.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65358-ID Rating EC2, Starbuckey Restoration Project, Implementation of Vegetative Treatment, Road Construction and Watershed Improvements, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, Idaho County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential for sediment entering Santiam and Buckhorn Creeks; probability of intrusions into Buckhorn watershed riparian zone by off-road vehicles; uncertainty of whether restoration projects would receive funding; and degradation from possible mine exploration activities in the watersheds. The EPA requested that these concerns be addressed in final EIS and ROD.

ERP No. D-BIA-L65352-WA Rating EC2, Colville Indian Reservation Integrated Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Colville Indian Reservation, Okanogan and Ferry Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed concern that there appeared to be no clear NEPA process for use on projects that would tier off this document. EPA requested that the final document discuss the tiered NEPA process and also include additional information on potential air and water quality issues.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40169-MO Rating LO, US Route 65/US Route 36 in Livingston County, Transportation Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Livingston County, MO.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections to the proposed project, but recommended that MoDOT evaluate compatibility of the C-1 sub-alignment, with the City of Chillicothe's draft land use plan.

ERP No. D-FHW-K53008-NV Rating EC2, Reno Railroad Corridor, Implementation of the Freight Railroad Grade Separation Improvements in the Central Portion of the City of Reno, Washoe County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the treatment of contaminated groundwater during construction, the treatment of stormwater during operation, the impact of the project on the Glendale Water Treatment Plant, PM-10 emissions during construction, and diesel emissions during operations. EPA requested the development of more stringent stormwater quality controls, fugitive dust PM-10 controls, and the reconsideration of build alternative along alternative alignments located away from heavily populated areas and riparian areas.

ERP No. D-GSA-D80030-DC Rating LO, Department of Transportation Headquarters, Proposal to Lease 1.3 to 1.35 Million Rentable Square Feet of Consolidated and Upgraded Space, Five Possible Sites, Located in the Central Employment Area, Washington, DC.

Summary: While EPA has no objection to the alternative as presented, it did request that GSA mitigate any adverse Environmental Justice impacts, impacts to historic resources/archeology, including historic views and traffic impacts on local streets that may develop as the project proceeds.

ERP No. D-NPS-B61024-MA Rating LO, Boston Harbor Islands National Recreation Area, Implementation, General Management Plan, Boston, MA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the project described in the EIS.

ERP No. D-SFW-K99029-NV Rating EC2, Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take-of-79 Species, Clark County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about mitigation that relies on increased funding and coordination for conservation measures primarily on existing public lands. EPA asked that the FEIS describe how the plan would result in improved on-the-ground conditions which would not otherwise be achieved through existing resource management plans. EPA also believes a commitment to growth which is town-centered, transit and pedestrian oriented, and has a greater mix of housing, commercial and retail uses would significantly enhance the benefits of the regional conservation planning effort. In addition, EPA urged the adoption of the 20-year permit duration versus the preferred alternative's 30-year permit.

ERP No. DS-AFS-J65287-UT Rating LO, Rhyohite Fuel Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project to the South Spruce Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project, Implementation, Dixie National Forest, Cedar City Ranger District, Iron County, UT.

Summary: Based on a limited review. EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. RD-AFS-L61208-00 Rating EC2, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area (HCNRA), Comprehensive Management Plan, Revised and Updated Information on Five Alternatives, Implementation, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Nez Perce and Payette National Forests, Bake and Wallowa Counties, OR and Nez Perce and Adam Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential impacts to water