

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18352 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,820]

#### **Ametek U.S. Gauge, Inc., Sellersville, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 19, 2000, in response to a petition which was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers on behalf of workers at Ametek U.S. Gauge, Inc., Sellersville, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has withdrawn the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18363 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 612]

#### **AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37, 612; AST Research, Inc., Fort Worth, Texas (July 10, 2000)

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18355 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,647 and 647D]

#### **Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. the Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, AL and Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, GA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on September 16, 1999, applicable to workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., The Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, Alabama. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 1999 (64 FR 55750).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of men's shirts. New information shows that worker separations will occur at the Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia location of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. The workers provide administration and support function services for the subject firm's production facilities located in Alabama and Georgia.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia.

The Intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports of men's shirts.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-36,647 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Cluett, Peabody and Company, Inc., The Enterprise Plant, Enterprise, Alabama (TA-W-36,647) and Corporate Office and Administration, Smyrna, Georgia (TA-W-36,647D) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 10, 1998 through September 16, 2001 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington D.C. this 30th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18360 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 608]

#### **Concord Fabrics, Inc., New York City, New York; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Concord Fabrics, Inc., New York City, New York. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37,608; Concord Fabrics, Inc. New York City, New York (July 12, 2000)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-18356 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,796]

#### **Invensys Best Power, Necedah, Wisconsin; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 19, 2000, in response to a petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Invensys Best Power, Necedah, Wisconsin. The workers produce power protection equipment.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 29th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**  
Program Manager, Office of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-18364 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[Docket No. TA-W-37,685]

#### **Makco Manufacturing Co., Inc., Edinboro, Pennsylvania; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 22, 2000, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Makco Manufacturing Co., Inc., Engineering Department, Edinboro, Pennsylvania.

All workers were separated from the subject firm more than one year prior to the date of the petition. Section 223 of the Act specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than one year before the date of the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of June, 2000.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**  
Program Manager, Office of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-18362 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,409 and NAFTA -3738]

#### **Quaker Oats Company, St. Joseph, Missouri; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Quaker Oats Company, St. Joseph, Missouri. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-37,409 and NAFTA-3738; Quaker Oats Company, St. Joseph, Missouri (July 10, 2000)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 12th day of July, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**  
Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-18358 Filed 7-19-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,425]

#### **SKF USA Inc., Hub Bearing United Division, Glasgow, Kentucky; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated June 15, 2000, one of the petitioners request administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 31, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40134).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The workers at SKF USA Inc., Hub Bearing Unit Division, Glasgow, Kentucky, producing hub wheel bearings on a contract basis for automobile manufacturers were denied TAA because the "contributed importantly" criterion of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that the subject firm sales increased and imports declined during the relevant time period. Layoffs occurred as the inventory levels of product mix changed with demand from subject firm's contract customers.

The petitioner feels that the petition investigation was not conducted in a proper manner and was not given the full consideration necessary in the petitioners' special case. The Department acknowledges the decision was not issued in the statutorily

required 60 day time limit; a final determination for the petition, instituted on March 6, 2000, was not rendered by the Department until May 31, 2000. Additional time was required by the investigator in order to obtain all of information necessary to present the findings of the petition investigation to the Certifying Officer.

The petitioner asserts in the application for reconsideration that while sales may have increased due to a stockpile of inventory, the company has not recalled workers affected by the initial round of layoffs. The petition investigation revealed that layoffs occurred and production declined at the subject firm. Therefore, criteria (1) and (2) of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 are met.

The petitioner states that there are companies abroad shipping product (like those produced by the subject firm workers) to Glasgow, Kentucky for repackaging. The petitioner states that there is reason to believe (without providing evidence) that the CDW and the EN/FN bearings produced in Glasgow are manufactured overseas and are imported to the Glasgow, Kentucky for repackaging. Import data provided by the subject firm included imports of the articles cited by the petitioner. Total company imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by workers in the Glasgow plant decreased during the time period covered by the investigation. Criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 requires that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm workers contribute importantly to declines in sales or production and worker separations.

### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 7th day of July 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**  
Program Manager, Division of Trade  
Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-18359 Filed 7-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M