environmental and labor matters, and other issues.

Written comments with as much specificity as possible, including data, views and arguments, are invited on:

- (a) General and commodity-specific negotiating objectives for the agreement.
- (b) Economic costs and benefit to U.S. producers and consumers of removal of tariffs and non-tariff barriers to U.S.-Jordan trade.
- (c) Treatment of specific goods (described by Harmonized System tariff numbers) under the agreement, including comments on (1) product specific import or export interests or barriers, (2) experience with particular measures that should be addressed in the negotiations, and (3) in the case of articles for which immediate elimination of tariffs is not appropriate, recommended staging schedule for such elimination.
- (d) Adequacy of existing customs measures to ensure Jordanian origin of imported goods, and appropriate rules of origin for goods entering the United States under the agreement.
- (e) Proposals for service sectors to be addressed in the agreement, existing barriers to trade in those sectors, and economic costs and benefits of removing such barriers.
- (f) Relevant trade-related intellectual property rights issues that should be addressed in the negotiations.
- (g) Relevant trade-related environmental and labor issues that should be addressed in the negotiations.

(h) Possible environmental effects of the agreement.

USTR, through the TPSC, will perform an environmental review of the proposed agreement pursuant to Executive order 13141, 64 FR 63169.

Comments identifying as present or potential trade barriers laws or regulations that are not primarily traderelated should address the economic, political and social objectives of such regulations and the degree to which they discriminate against producers of the other country.

#### **Written Comments**

Persons submitting written comments should provide twenty (20) copies no later than noon, July 17, 2000, to Gloria Blue at address listed above. Where possible, please supplement written comments with a computer disk of the submission containing as much of the technical details as possible either in spreadsheet or word processing table format, with each tariff line/services sector in a separate cell. The disk should have a label identifying the software used and the submitter.

Written comments submitted in connection with this request, except for information granted "business confidential" status pursuant to 15 CFR 2003.6, will be available for public inspection in the USTR Reading Room (Room 101) at the address noted above. An appointment to review the file may be made by calling Brenda Webb at (202) 395–6186. The Reading Room is open to the public from 10:00 a.m. to 12 noon, and from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Business confidential information, including any information submitted on disks, will be subject to the requirements of 15 CFR 2003.6. Any business confidential material must be clearly marked as such on the cover letter or page and each succeeding page, and must be accompanied by a nonconfidential summary thereof. If the submission contains business confidential information, twenty copies of a public version that does not contain confidential information, must be submitted. A justification as to why the information contained in the submission should be treated confidentially must be included in the submission. In addition, any submissions containing business confidential information must be clearly marked "Confidential" at the top and bottom of the cover page (or letter) and each succeeding page of the submission. The version that does not contain confidential information should also be clearly marked, at the top and bottom of each page, "public version" or "nonconfidential.'

#### Nancy A. LeaMond,

USTR, Chief of Staff.

[FR Doc. 00–15060 Filed 6–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3901–01–M

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/D-175]

WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding Indian Measures Affecting Trade and Investment in the Motor Vehicle Sector

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative ("USTR" is providing notice of the request for the establishment of a dispute settlement panel under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization ("WTO"), by the United States, to examine Public Notice No. 60

((PN)/97-02) of the Indian Ministry of Commerce, published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary, effective 12 December 1997 ("Public Notice 60"); the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act 1992; the Export and Import Policy, 1997–2002; memoranda of understanding signed by the Government of India with manufacturing firms in the motor vehicle sector pursuant to Public Notice No. 60; and certain related Indian legislative and administrative measures. In this dispute, the United States alleges that these measures are inconsistent with the obligations of India under Articles III:4 and XI:1 of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (GATT 1994) and Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures. The USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

**DATES:** Although the USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted by July 28, 2000, to be assured of timely consideration by the USTR in preparing its first written submission to the panel.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Sandy McKinzy, Monitoring and Enforcement Unit, Office of the General Counsel, Room 122, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20508, Attn: India Motor Vehicle Dispute. Telephone: (202) 395–3582.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven F. Fabry, Associate General Counsel, telephone: (202) 395–3582; Christopher Rosettie, Director for Multilateral Services and Investment Affairs, telephone: (202) 395–7271; or Joseph Damond, telephone: (202) 395–6813.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant** to section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)), the USTR is providing notice that on May 15, 2000, the United States submitted a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel to examine the United States' claim that certain Indian measures affecting trade and investment in the motor vehicle sector are inconsistent with India's obligations under the GATT 1994 and the Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures. The WTO Dispute Settlement Body is likely to establish a dispute settlement panel no later than the end of July, 2000. Under normal circumstances, the panel, which will

hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report detailing its findings and recommendations within six to nine months after it is established.

# Major Issues Raised and Legal Basis of the Complaint

The United States claims that Public Notice 60; the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act 1992; the Export and Import Policy, 1997-2002; memoranda of understanding signed by the Government of India with manufacturing firms in the motor vehicle sector pursuant to Public Notice No. 60; and certain related Indian legislative and administrative measures are inconsistent with India's obligations under the WTO Agreement. The foregoing measures require manufacturing firms in the motor vehicle sector to achieve specified levels of purchase or use of domestic content; to achieve a neutralization of foreign exchange and to balance the value of certain imports with the value of exports of cars and components over a stated period; and to limit imports to a value based on previous exports. These requirements are enforceable under Indian law and rulings, and manufacturing firms in the motor vehicle sector must comply with these requirements in order to obtain certain Indian import licenses. The United States claims that the Indian measures in question are therefore inconsistent with India's obligations under Article III:4 and XI:1 of the GATT 1994 and Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures.

### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies to Sandy McKinzy at the address provided above. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitting person. Confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by the USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19

U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitting person believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitting person—

(1) Must so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a nonconfidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537)e)), the USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room: Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include a listing of any comments received by the USTR from the public with respect to the proceeding; the U.S. submissions to the panel in the proceeding, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other parties in the dispute, as well as the report of the dispute settlement panel, and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/D-175, India Motor Vehicle Dispute) may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

#### A. Jane Bradley,

Assistant United States Trade Representative. [FR Doc. 00–15138 Filed 6–14–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/D-195]

WTP Consultations Regarding Certain Measures in the Philippines' Motor Vehicle Development Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on May 23, 2000, the United States requested consultations with the Government of the Philippines under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO), regarding tariff advantages to motor vehicle manufacturers located in the Philippines who meet certain

requirements, including a requirement to use parts and components produced in the Philippines and a requirement to earn a percentage of the foreign exchange needed to import those parts and components by exporting finished vehicles. The US alleges that these measures are inconsistent with Articles III:4, III:5 and XI:1 of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 ("GATT 1994"), Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures, and Article 3.1(b) of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. Pursuant to Article 4.3 of the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding ("DSU"), such consultations are to take place within a period of 30 days from the date of the request, or within a period otherwise mutually agreed between the United States and the Philippines. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in the dispute.

**DATES:** Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before July 28, 2000, to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Sandy McKinzy, Monitoring and Enforcement Unit, Office of the General Counsel, 122, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20508, Attn: Philippines Motor Vehicle Dispute. Telephone: (202) 395–3582.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven F. Fabry, Associate General Counsel, telephone: (202) 395–7271; or Sean Murphy, Director for ASEAN Affairs, telephone: (202) 395–6813.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 127(b) of the Uruguav Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)) requires that notice and opportunity for comment be provided after the United States submits or receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. Consistent with this obligation, but in an effort to provide additional opportunity for comment, USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding. If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within six to nine months after it is established.