

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Workers producing industrial valves at DeZurik Corporation, McMinnville, Tennessee, were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that the contributed importantly criterion of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Layoffs at the subject firm plant were attributable to the transfer of production to another domestic facility. The subject firm did not import articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the McMinnville plant. Customer imports of industrial valves were minor and accompanied by increased domestic purchases during the time period relevant to the investigation.

The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied based on the Department's finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the worker group eligibility requirements contained in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the workers' firm. Customer imports of industrial valves from Mexico or Canada did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the workers' firm. There was no shift in production of industrial valves from the McMinnville plant to Mexico or Canada. Layoffs at the subject firm were attributable to a shift in production to another domestic facility.

The IAM provided documentation on company imports of cylinders, knife gate valve bodies ready for assembly, and multiple parts, that were formerly produced by workers at the subject firm. Additionally, the IAM provided a listing of machines that will be sent to the company's plant in Canada.

For both the TAA and NAFTA-TAA petition investigations, the Department is required to determine import impact of the articles produced at the workers' firm. In this case, during the time period relevant to the investigation, the primary output at the plant was industrial valves. Although the company acknowledges imports of cylinders and other components, those articles cannot be considered like or directly competitive with the finished product, industrial valves. Machinery sent to Canada is not a basis for worker group certification. A small percentage of production at the McMinnville plant

will be shifted to Canada but that has not as yet occurred.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of June, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15143 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,637]

##### **Fort James Operating Company, Wauna Mill, Clatskanie, OR; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 1, 2000, in response to a worker petition which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at the Wauna Mill, Fort James Operating Company, Clatskanie, Oregon.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of May, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15145 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 542, 542A, 542B, 542C]

##### **GPM, Bartlesville, OK and Operating at Various Locations in the States; Amended Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker adjustment Assistance

on April 24, 2000, applicable to workers of GPM, Bartlesville, Oklahoma. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30442).

At the request of the petitioner, the Department reviewed the negative determination for workers of the subject firm. The workers of the subject firm are engaged in employment related to gathering, transporting and marketing natural gas. Review of the investigation shows that the Department's negative determination inadvertently excluded the workers of the subject firm's other Oklahoma locations, in addition to the Texas and New Mexico locations of GPM.

It was the Department's intent to issue the negative determination for all workers of the subject firm cited in the petition form. The negative determination is being amended to expand the denial to workers of GPM at various locations in Oklahoma (except Bartlesville), Texas and New Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-37,542 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of GPM, Bartlesville, Oklahoma (TA-W-37,542), and operating at various locations in the States of Oklahoma, except Bartlesville (TA-W-37,542A), Texas (TA-W-37,542B), and New Mexico (TA-W-37,542C) are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of May, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15144 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[Docket No. TA-W-35,319]

##### **Simpson Pasadena Paper Company, Pasadena, Texas; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand**

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) in the matter of *Former Employees of Simpson Pasadena Paper Company v. Alexis Herman, United States Secretary of Labor*, USCIT, No. 99-04-00249, remanded for additional customer survey, the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under the Trade Act of 1974.

On remand, the Department conducted a survey of additional declining customers of Simpson

Pasadena Paper Company, Pasadena, Texas. The additional major declining customers surveyed report an increasing reliance on imports of paper while decreasing purchases from the subject firm during the time period relevant to the investigation.

Information that was obtained in the initial investigation show that workers at the Pasadena, Texas, plant of Simpson Pasadena Paper Company were used interchangeably and were not separately identifiable by product. Accordingly, the worker separations resulting from increase imports of paper indirectly affected all lines of production (pulp, coated and uncoated paper).

### Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained on remand, I conclude that there was an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm which contributed to declines in sales or production and employment at Simpson Pasadena Paper Company, Pasadena, Texas. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Simpson Pasadena Paper Company, Pasadena, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from

employment on or after November 13, 1997, through two years from the date of this issuance, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th Day of June 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15139 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for

adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than June 26, 2000.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than June 26, 2000.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of May, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

#### APPENDIX.—PETITIONS INSTITUTED ON 05/30/2000

TA-W	Subject firm (Petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
37,713 .....	Vinson Timber Products (Comp) .....	Trout Creek, MT .....	05/12/2000	Lumber Studs.
37,714 .....	Gambro Renal Products (Comp) .....	Lakewood, CO .....	05/11/2000	Kidney Dialysis Machines.
37,715 .....	Murray, Inc. (UAW) .....	Lawrenceburg, TN .....	05/11/2000	Bicycles, Lawn Mowers.
37,716 .....	Brunswick Bicycles (Comp) .....	Balmorhea, TX .....	05/10/2000	Bicycles.
37,717 .....	CV Materials Ltd (Wrks) .....	Urbana, OH .....	05/16/2000	Frit and Mill Products
37,718 .....	Robertson World Wide (UAW) .....	Rochester, IN .....	05/12/2000	Electronic Boards.
37,719 .....	Southland Mfg/Skilstaf (Comp) .....	Ashland, AL .....	05/15/2000	Men's Slacks.
37,720 .....	Doe Run Resources Corp (Comp) ..	Viburnum, MO .....	05/17/2000	Lead Mining, Smelting.
37,721 .....	OshKosh B'Gosh, Inc (Wrks) .....	Jamestown, TN .....	05/18/2000	Boy's and Girl's Knit Shirts.
37,722 .....	Pro-Emp Solutions (Comp) .....	Odessa, TX .....	05/16/2000	Staff Leasing Service.
37,723 .....	Glove Corp. (The) (Comp) .....	Calico Rock, AR .....	05/15/2000	Leather Work Gloves
37,724 .....	Volex, Inc., Power Cord (Comp) ..	Clinton, AR .....	05/12/2000	Power Cords.
37,725 .....	Cadillac Curtain Corp (Wrks) .....	Dyer, TN .....	05/10/2000	Curtains—Kitchen and Livingroom.
37,726 .....	Zebco (Comp) .....	Tulsa, OK .....	05/04/2000	Fishing Tackle.
37,727 .....	Seton Company (Wrks) .....	El Paso, TX .....	05/18/2000	Leather Pieces for Car Seats.
37,728 .....	Hill Knitting Mill (Comp) .....	Richmond Hill, NY .....	05/18/2000	Fabric.
37,729 .....	Biljo, Inc. (Comp) .....	Dublin, GA .....	05/22/2000	Men's and Boy's slacks.
37,730 .....	Artesyn Technologies (Wrks) .....	Broomfield, CO .....	05/16/2000	Power Supplies.
37,731 .....	Cupples Rubber Co. (Comp) .....	St. Louis, MO .....	05/17/2000	Rubber Inner Tubes for Tires.
37,732 .....	Choctaw Miad Farms (Wrks) .....	Newton, MS .....	05/16/2000	Hatches Chicken Eggs.
37,733 .....	L. Peter Larson Co. (Comp) .....	Olney, MT .....	05/19/2000	Raw Logs.
37,734 .....	Glacier Gold Compost (Comp) .....	Olney, MT .....	05/19/2000	Compost, Potting Soil, Mulch.
37,735 .....	IBM Corp. (Wrks) .....	Rochester, MN .....	05/04/2000	Nickel and Glass Substrate.