filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lindwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–15095 Filed 6–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Amendment of License and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene and Protests

June 9, 2000.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Request to amend Sebago Lake Level Management Plan.

b. Project Number: 2984-035

c. Date Filed: May 15, 2000

d. Name of Project: Eel Weir

Hydroelectric Project

f. *Location:* On the Presumpscot River in Cumberland County, Maine. The project does not utilize any federal or tribal lands.

g. Filed Pursuant to: 18 CFR 4.200 h. Applicant Contact: Thomas Howard, Project Engineer, S.D. Warren Company, 89 Cumberland Street, P.O. Box 5000, Westbrook, ME 04098–1597

i. *FERC Contact:* Any questions on this notice should be addressed to Thomas LoVullo, telephone (202) 219– 1168 or e-mail address: thomas.lovullo@ferc.fed.us

j. Deadline for Filing Comments or Motions: July 14, 2000.

All comments or motions must be filed by providing an original and eight copies as required by the Commission's regulations to: David P. Boergers, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

k. Description of Amendment Request: S.D. Warren requests Commission approval of its proposal to amend the Sebago Lake Level Management Plan. The licensee proposed to: (1) Install a real-time telemetering water level gage at White's Bridge; (2) further define the upper and lower limits of the lake level rages between May 1 and November 1; (3) provide a target range (+0/-6 inches)for the August 1 date; (4) increase the maximum flow of the operating parameters for Normal Flows from 833 cubic feet per second (cfs) to 1000 cfs; (5) compress the abnormal flow stages from three to two by increasing the maximum flows allowed to be released in stage 1 from 1,667 cfs to 2,667 cfs; and (6) modify the response times for each stage that actuate the increased flows.

The purpose of this notice is to invite comments on S.D. Warren's request to amend the Sebago Lake Level Management Plan. As a result of the drought conditions during the summer of 1999 and the unusual rainfall in September 1999, the operating parameters of the lake level management plan were challenged. S.D. Warren believes the proposed modifications would help improve the management objectives of balancing the competing interests of the lake's resources.

l. Locations of the application: A copy of the application is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208–1371. The application may be viewed on the web at www.ferc.fed.us. Call (202) 208–2222 for assistance. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of pies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–15096 Filed 6–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6716-7]

Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Executive Committee (EC) of US EPA's Science Advisory Board will conduct a public teleconference meeting on Friday, June 30, 2000 between the hours of 1:00 and 3:00 pm Eastern Davlight Time. The meeting will be coordinated through a conference call connection in Room 6013 in the USEPA, Ariel Rios Building North, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460. The public is encouraged to attend the meeting in the conference room noted above. However, the public may also attend through a telephonic link, to the extent that lines are available. Additional instructions about how to participate in the conference call can be obtained by calling Ms. Dorothy Clark no earlier than one week prior to the meeting (beginning on June 23) at (202) 5644537, or via e-mail at clark.dorothy@epa.gov.

Purpose of the Meeting: In this meeting, the Executive Committee plans to review reports from some of its Committees/Subcommittee, most likely including the following:

(1) Air Toxics Monitoring Subcommittee of the Executive Committee "Review of Draft Air Toxics Monitoring Strategy Concept Paper" (this report may be reviewed and approved at the June 16 EC Teleconference—see 65 FR 33318, dated May 23, 2000, and 65 FR 30589, dated May 12, 2000 for details).

(2) Environmental Economics Advisory Committee (EEAC): "Benefits Adjustments for Long-Term Effects."

Availability of Review Materials: Drafts of the reports that will be reviewed at the meeting will be available to the public at the SAB website (http://www.epa.gov/sab) by close-of-business on June 21, 2000 (Note: the Air Toxics draft report has already been posted).

For Further Information: Any member of the public wishing further information concerning this meeting or wishing to submit brief oral comments must contact Dr. Donald Barnes, Designated Federal Officer, Science Advisory Board (1400A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-4533; FAX (202) 501–0323; or via e-mail at barnes.don@epa.gov. Requests for oral comments must be in writing (e-mail preferred) and received by Dr. Barnes no later than noon Eastern Time on May 26, 2000.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

It is the policy of the Science Advisory Board to accept written public comments of any length, and to accommodate oral public comments whenever possible. The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. Oral Comments: In general, each individual or group requesting an oral presentation at a face-to-face meeting will be limited to a total time of ten minutes. For teleconference meetings, opportunities for oral comment will usually be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Deadlines for getting on the public speaker list for a meeting are given above. Speakers should bring at least 35 copies of their comments and presentation slides for distribution to the reviewers and public

at the meeting. Written Comments: Although the SAB accepts written comments until the date of the meeting (unless otherwise stated), written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office at least one week prior to the meeting date so that the comments may be made available to the committee for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to the appropriate DFO at the address/contact information noted above in the following formats: One hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file format: WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 95/98 format). Those providing written comments and who attend the meeting are also asked to bring 25 copies of their comments for public distribution.

General Information: Additional information concerning the Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition, may be found on the SAB Website (*http://www.epa.gov/sab*) and in The FY1999 Annual Report of the Staff Director which is available from the SAB Publications Staff at (202) 564–4533 or via fax at (202) 501–0256. Committee rosters, draft Agendas and meeting calendars are also located on our website.

Meeting Access: Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact the DFO at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: June 9, 2000.

Donald G. Barnes,

Staff Director, Science Advisory Board. [FR Doc. 00–15157 Filed 6–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6716-8]

Science Advisory Board, Office of Air and Radiation, Office of Research and Development Notification of Public Workshop

Notice is hereby given that the US EPA Offices of Research and Development (ORD) and Air and Radiation (OAR), along with the US EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB), will jointly host a public workshop on the Benefits of Reductions in Exposure to Hazardous Air Pollutants: Developing Best Estimates of Dose-Response Functions on the date and time noted below. The meeting is open to the public, however, seating is limited and available on a first come basis.

SAB/EPA Workshop on the Benefits of Reductions in Exposure to Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs Workshop): Developing Best Estimates of Dose-Response Functions—June 22–23, 2000

The Workshop will be held on June 22 and 23, 2000 at the Westin Grand Hotel, West 2350 M Street, NW, Washington DC 20037, Telephone 202– 429–0100. The meeting will begin by 9 a.m. on June 22, 2000 and adjourn no later than 12 p.m. on June 23, 2000.

Purpose of the Meeting—The workshop will convene experts from different disciplines and different backgrounds to discuss ideas for dose response assessment methods for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) that are appropriate for use in assessing benefits associated with HAP emission control measures. Such benefits assessments are not only required by statute to support EPA's Report to Congress under section 812 of the Clean Air Act Amendments, but they are also required as part of the regulatory activities associated with HAPs. EPA is seeking a wide spectrum of views at the workshop and is not seeking a consensus recommendation from workshop participants.

Expected outcomes from this workshop will include a report documenting: (1) Proposed approaches for hazard assessments for selected HAPs that would facilitate benefit assessments for those chemicals; (2) expert discussants' views on whether it is possible to produce a methodology for developing central tendencies and distributions in hazard assessments for HAPs for use in benefits analyses and how that might best be done; (3) how best to identify limitations and uncertainties in both risk assessment methods and economic models; and (4) suggestions and priorities for a research agenda to address identified gaps in available data and methods needed to conduct HAPs related benefit analyses.

Background—Hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) have been the focus of a number of EPA regulatory actions, which have resulted in significant reductions in emissions of HAPs. EPA has been unable to adequately assess the economic benefits associated with health improvements from these HAP reductions due to a lack of best estimate dose-response functions for health endpoints associated with exposure to HAPs and also due to the air quality and exposure models for HAPs available for use in benefits analysis. EPA is conducting two activities to develop a proposed methodology to generate estimates of the quantified and