

change in the implicit price deflator of the gross national product (as indexed for the most recent 12-month period for which statistics are available). However, this has been replaced by the gross domestic product by the Department of Commerce as a more appropriate measure for the short-term monitoring and analysis of the U.S. economy.

The number of bales to be classed by the United States Department of Agriculture from the 2000 crop is estimated at 17,945,000 bales. The 2000 base fee was decreased 15 percent based on the estimated number of bales to be classed (one percent for every 100,000 bales or portion thereof above the base of 12,500,000, limited to a maximum adjustment of 15 percent). This percentage factor amounts to a 33 cents per bale reduction and was subtracted from the 2000 base fee of \$2.17 per bale, resulting in a fee of \$1.84 per bale.

With a fee of \$1.84 per bale, the projected operating reserve would be 49.77 percent. The Act specifies that the Secretary shall not establish a fee which, when combined with other sources of revenue, will result in a projected operating reserve of more than 25 percent. Accordingly, the fee of \$1.84 must be reduced by 49 cents per bale, to \$1.35 per bale, to provide an ending accumulated operating reserve for the fiscal year of 25 percent of the projected cost of operating the program. This will establish the 2000 season fee at \$1.35 per bale.

Accordingly, § 28.909, paragraph (b) will reflect the continuation of the HVI classification fee at \$1.35 per bale.

As provided for in the Uniform Cotton Classing Fees Act of 1987, as amended, a five cent per bale discount would continue to be applied to voluntary centralized billing and collecting agents as specified in § 28.909(c).

Growers or their designated agents requesting classification data provided on computer punched cards will continue to be charged the fee of 10 cents per card in § 28.910(a) to reflect the costs of providing this service. Requests for punch card classification data represented less than 1.0 percent of the total bales classed from the 1999 crop, down from 2.6 percent in 1997. Growers or their designated agents receiving classification data by methods other than computer punched cards would continue to incur no additional fees if only one method of receiving classification data was requested. The fee for each additional method of receiving classification data in § 28.910 would remain at five cents per bale, and it would be applicable even if the same method was requested. However, if computer punched cards were

requested, a fee of ten cents per card would be charged. The fee in § 28.910(b) for an owner receiving classification data from the central database would remain at five cents per bale, and the minimum charge of \$5.00 for services provided per monthly billing period would remain the same. The provisions of § 28.910(c) concerning the fee for new classification memoranda issued from the central database for the business convenience of an owner without reclassification of the cotton will remain the same.

The fee for review classification in § 28.911 will be maintained at \$1.35 per bale.

The fee for returning samples after classification in § 28.911 will remain at 40 cents per sample.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is determined that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) the cotton crop year begins July 1, 2000, (2) a uniform fee for the entire crop is mandated by the Cotton Statistics and Estimates Act, and (3) a 30 day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule and no comments were received.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 28

Administrative practice and procedure, Cotton, Cotton samples, Grades, Market news, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Standards, Staples, Testing, Warehouses.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR Part 28 is amended as follows:

PART 28—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 28, Subpart D, continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 471–476.

2. In § 28.909, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 28.909 Costs.

* * * * *

(b) The cost of High Volume Instrument (HVI) cotton classification service to producers is \$1.35 per bale.

* * * * *

3. In § 28.911, the last sentence of paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 28.911 Review classification.

(a) * * * The fee for review classification is \$1.35 per bale.

* * * * *

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 00–14114 Filed 6–1–00; 4:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1160

[DA–00–07]

Fluid Milk Promotion Order; Amendments to the Order

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document amends the Fluid Milk Promotion Order to allow a fluid milk processor to be represented by up to 3 members on the 20-member Board and allow a Board member whose fluid milk processor company affiliation has changed to serve for a period of up to 6 months or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner. The amendments, requested by the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board (Board), which administers the Order, are necessary to ensure Board continuity and full representation and should allow the Board to operate in an efficient and effective manner.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 7, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David R. Jamison, Chief, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, Promotion and Research Branch, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Stop 0233, Room 2958 South Building, Washington, DC 20250–0233, (202) 720–6909, David.Jamison2@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) requires the Agency to examine the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. Small businesses in the fluid milk processing industry have been defined by the Small Business Administration as those processors employing not more than 500 employees. For purposes of determining a processor's size, if the plant is part of a larger company operating multiple plants that collectively exceed the 500-employee limit, the plant will be considered a large business even if the local plant has fewer than 500 employees. There are approximately 275 fluid milk processors subject to the provisions of the Fluid Milk Promotion Order. Most of these processors are considered small entities.

The Fluid Milk Promotion Order (7 CFR Part 1160) is authorized under the Fluid Milk Promotion Act of 1990 (Act) (7 U.S.C. 6401 *et seq.*). The amendments, proposed by the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board, modifies the membership provisions of the Order.

The amendments will allow up to three representatives of a fluid milk processor to serve on the 20-person Board. Currently, the Order states that a fluid milk processor shall be represented on the Board by no more than two members. This action takes into account changes in the industry which have resulted in the formation of larger regional and national companies. This amendment should help reduce Board vacancies and foster continuity in Board activities and membership.

The amendments also will allow a Board member whose fluid milk processor company affiliation changes to serve on the Board for a period of up to six months or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that the eligibility requirements of the Order are still met. Under current Order provisions, a Board member whose company affiliation changes may continue to serve on the Board for a period of up to 60 days or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that such member continues to meet the Order's eligibility standards. The amendment will more accurately reflect the time needed to fill a Board vacancy.

The amendments will allow the Board to operate in an effective and efficient manner. The amendments to the Order will not add any burden to regulated parties because they relate to provisions concerning Board membership. Additionally, the changes will not impose additional reporting or collecting requirements. No relevant Federal rules have been identified that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

Accordingly, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Agricultural Marketing Service has certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Prior document in this proceeding:

Proposed Rule: Issued March 14, 2000, published March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14484).

Executive Order 12866 and the Paperwork Reduction Act

The Department is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil

Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have a retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Fluid Milk Promotion Act of 1990, as amended, authorizes the Fluid Milk Promotion Order. The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 1999K of the Act, any person subject to a Fluid Milk Promotion Order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the Order, any provision of the Order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the Order is not in accordance with the law and request a modification of the Order or to be exempted from the Order. A person subject to an order is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After a hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the person is an inhabitant, or has his principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the forms and reporting and recordkeeping requirements that are included in the Fluid Milk Promotion Order have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and were assigned OMB No. 0581-0093, except for Board members' nominee information sheets that were assigned OMB No. 0505-0001.

Statement of Consideration

This final rule amends certain provisions of the Fluid Milk Promotion Order. The amendments will modify the membership provisions of the Order. One proposal will allow up to three representatives of a fluid milk processor to serve on the 20-member Board. Currently, the Order states that a fluid milk processor shall be represented by no more than two representatives on the Board. This amendment takes into account changes in the industry which have resulted in the formation of larger regional and national companies. Additionally, the amendment would provide the Secretary greater flexibility in those situations that warrant additional representation for a fluid milk processor.

The amendments also will allow a Board member who changes fluid milk processor company affiliation to serve on the Board for a period of up to six

months or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that the eligibility requirements of the Order are still met. Under current Order provisions, a Board member whose company affiliation changes may continue to serve on the Board for a period of up to 60 days or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that such member continues to meet the Order's eligibility standards. The amendment would more accurately reflect the time needed to fill a Board vacancy.

The Board states that the amendments would ensure Board continuity and full representation and allow it to operate effectively and efficiently.

A notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the **Federal Register** on March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14484), concerning the proposed suspension. Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to file written data, views and arguments thereon. One comment supporting and one opposing the proposed amendments were received.

The Board reiterated its support for the amendments. The opposing comment stated the proposed changes would provide larger processors more power and suggested reducing the number of Board members.

The Order should be amended to allow a fluid milk processor to be represented by up to 3 members on the 20-member Board. The Order provisions provide for a 20-member Board with 15 members representing geographic regions and five at-large members which include at least three fluid milk processors and at least one member from the general public. Further, to the extent practicable, members representing geographic regions should represent processing operations of differing sizes.

This amendment provides needed flexibility in the appointment process. The Secretary appoints Board members on the basis of the Order's provisions. Through the appointment process, the Secretary maintains control over the Board's composition, including the number of multi-member processors. As stated by the proponent of the proposals, the fluid milk processing industry has experienced and continues to undergo changes in processing operations which result in larger regional and national companies operating in various geographical regions. Accordingly, this amendment will ensure Board continuity and full representation while maintaining the Board at its current membership level.

The Order also should be amended to permit a Board member who changes fluid milk processor company affiliation

to serve on the Board for a period of up to six months or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that the eligibility requirements of the Order are still met. The amendment will more accurately reflect the time needed to fill a Board vacancy.

The amendments will ensure Board continuity and full representation and allow the Board to operate in an effective and efficient manner and should be made effective as soon as possible. Therefore, good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. The proposed amendments to the order are made final in this action.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1160

Fluid milk products, Milk, Promotion.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1160 is amended as follows:

PART 1160—FLUID MILK PROMOTION PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 1160 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 6401–6417.

2. In § 1160.200, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1160.200 Establishment and membership.

(a) There is hereby established a National Fluid Milk Processor Board of 20 members, 15 of whom shall represent geographic regions and five of whom shall be at-large members of the Board. To the extent practicable, members representing geographic regions shall represent fluid milk processing operations of differing sizes. No fluid milk processor shall be represented on the Board by more than three members. The at-large members shall include at least three fluid milk processors and at least one member from the general public. Except for the member or members from the general public, nominees appointed to the Board must be active owners or employees of a fluid milk processor. The failure of such a member to own or work for a fluid milk processor or its successor fluid milk processor shall disqualify that member for membership on the Board except that such member shall continue to serve on the Board for a period of up to six months following the disqualification or until appointment of a successor Board member to such position, whichever is sooner, provided that such person continues to meet the

criteria for serving on the Board as a processor representative.

* * * * *

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Kathleen A. Merrigan,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 00–14186 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

10 CFR Part 1703

FOIA Fee Schedule

AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

ACTION: Update of FOIA Fee Schedule.

SUMMARY: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board is publishing its annual update to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Fee Schedule pursuant to 10 CFR 1703.107(b)(6) of the Board's regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kenneth M. Pusateri, General Manager, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004–2901, (202) 694–7060.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FOIA requires each Federal agency covered by the Act to specify a schedule of fees applicable to processing of requests for agency records. 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(i). On March 15, 1991, the Board published for comment in the **Federal Register** its proposed FOIA Fee Schedule. 56 FR 11114. No comments were received in response to that notice and the Board issued a final Fee Schedule on May 6, 1991.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 1703.107(b)(6) of the Board's regulations, the Board's General Manager will update the FOIA Fee Schedule once every 12 months. Previous Fee Schedule updates were published in the **Federal Register** and went into effect, most recently, on June 1, 1999, 99 FR 14685.

Board Action

Accordingly, the Board issues the following schedule of updated fees for services performed in response to FOIA requests.

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Schedule of Fees for FOIA Services

(Implementing 10 CFR 1703.107(b)(6))
Search or Review Charge—\$54 per hour
Copy Charge (paper)—\$.04 per page, if done in-house, or generally available

commercial rate (approximately \$.10 per page)
Copy Charge (3.5' diskette)—\$5.00 per diskette
Copy Charge (audio cassette)—\$3.00 per cassette
Duplication of Video
\$25.00 for each individual videotape;
\$16.00 for each additional individual videotape
Copy Charge for large documents (e.g., maps, diagrams)—Actual commercial rates.

Dated: May 31, 2000.

Kenneth M. Pusateri,

General Manager.

[FR Doc. 00–14043 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3670–01–M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

13 CFR Part 121

Small Business Size Standards; Help Supply Services

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Small Business Administration (SBA) is establishing a size standard of \$10 million in average annual receipts for Help Supply Services—Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) 7363. The current size standard for this industry is \$5.0 million. This revision is made to better define the size of business in this industry that SBA believes should be eligible for Federal small business assistance programs. SBA is also clarifying language about affiliation when a Professional Employer Organization (PEO) is co-employer of a firm's employees.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 6, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia B. Holden, Office of Size Standards, (202) 205–6618 or (202) 205–6385.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: SBA proposed a revision to the size standard for the Help Supply Services industry (SIC 7363) from \$5.0 million to \$10.0 million average annual receipts (64 FR 55873, dated October 15, 1999). The proposal was made following comments from the public expressing concern that the size standard has not kept pace with the rapid growth in the industry due in part to the trends of outsourcing and downsizing. The industry has changed in two ways—help supply firms are larger and they are providing a wider range of personnel to businesses. We also had a request to allow help supply